Landau damping

John Wesson

Citation: Physics of Plasmas **22**, 022519 (2015); View online: https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4913426 View Table of Contents: http://aip.scitation.org/toc/php/22/2 Published by the American Institute of Physics

Articles you may be interested in

Effects of ion motion on linear Landau damping Physics of Plasmas **24**, 022101 (2017); 10.1063/1.4975020

Electron holes in phase space: What they are and why they matter Physics of Plasmas **24**, 055601 (2017); 10.1063/1.4976854

Effects of Landau damping on ion-acoustic solitary waves in a semiclassical plasma Physics of Plasmas **24**, 052116 (2017); 10.1063/1.4983308

Extended MHD modeling of tearing-driven magnetic relaxation Physics of Plasmas **24**, 056107 (2017); 10.1063/1.4977540

Analysis of self-consistent nonlinear wave-particle interactions of whistler waves in laboratory and space plasmas Physics of Plasmas **24**, 056501 (2017); 10.1063/1.4977539

A generalized two-fluid picture of non-driven collisionless reconnection and its relation to whistler waves Physics of Plasmas **24**, 052114 (2017); 10.1063/1.4982812





Landau damping

John Wesson CCFE, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxfordshire OX14 3DB, United Kingdom

(Received 28 November 2014; accepted 6 February 2015; published online 27 February 2015)

Landau damping is calculated using real variables, clarifying the physical mechanism. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4913426]

I. INTRODUCTION

Landau's calculation of electron plasma oscillations demonstrated the phenomenon now known as Landau damping.¹ The calculation used a Fourier-Laplace transform and regarded the electron velocity as complex in order to properly locate the pole in the complex frequency plane that gives the dispersion relation containing the wave damping.

Here, the same subject is examined using real variables and straightforward algebra. The aim is to understand the damping and growth of plasma oscillations, shown by Landau to arise from particles with velocities close to that of the plasma wave. It is found that the physics underlying the damped oscillations is different from that in the case of growth.

Discussions of the physical interpretation of Landau damping usually rely on calculation of the dynamics of the electrons and the resulting energy transfer between the electrons and the electric field.² Such calculations are quadratic in the linear variables and are quite involved. The present calculation is linear in the perturbed variables, making the physics transparent. The treatment follows Landau, using the Vlasov and Poisson equations. However, it differs from Landau's calculation in that the Vlasov equation is solved for the electron distribution function directly, whereas Landau first solved for the time dependence of the electric field as an initial value problem; that solution then determining the distribution function.

II. THE EQUATIONS

The governing equations for both growth and damping are the linearised Vlasov equation for the distribution function f_1

$$\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x} = \frac{E_1 e}{m} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v}, \qquad (1)$$

where E_1 is the electric field, and Poisson's equation

$$\frac{\partial E_1}{dx} = -\frac{e}{\varepsilon_0}n_1 = -\frac{e}{\varepsilon_0}\int f_1 dv.$$
⁽²⁾

For simplicity, the wave number k will be taken to be sufficiently small that the wave velocity is much larger than the thermal velocity v_T , that is $\omega_p/k \gg v_T$ where ω_p is the plasma frequency.

III. THE FRAME

Since the Landau effect arises from particles travelling close to the wave velocity, the algebra is more transparent if the calculation is carried out in the frame of the wave, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Damping and growth depend on the sign of $(\partial f_0/\partial v)$ at the wave velocity and as the behaviour is different for the cases $(\partial f_0/\partial v)_w > 0$ and $(\partial f_0/\partial v)_w < 0$, the calculations will be made clearer by treating them separately.

IV. THE CASE WITH $(\partial f_0 / \partial v)_w > 0$

With $(\partial f_0/\partial v)_w > 0$ there is a homogeneous solution for which all of the terms in Eqs. (1) and (2) have a factor $e^{\gamma t}$.

So the electric field can be written

$$\mathbf{E}_1 = \mathbf{E} \sin \mathbf{k} \mathbf{x} \, \mathbf{e}^{\gamma \mathbf{t}} \tag{3}$$

and the distribution function takes the form

$$\mathbf{f}_1 = (\hat{\mathbf{f}}_s \sin \mathbf{kx} + \hat{\mathbf{f}}_c \cos \mathbf{kx}) \mathbf{e}^{\gamma t}.$$
 (4)

Substituting Eqs. (3) and (4) into Eq. (1) and equating sine terms and cosine terms lead to the solution

$$f_1 = \frac{\hat{E}e}{m} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma^2 + k^2 v^2} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v} \sin kx - \frac{kv}{\gamma^2 + k^2 v^2} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v} \cos kx \right) e^{\gamma t}.$$
 (5)

In calculating n_1 there are two contributions from f_1 . One is the contribution, n_1^w , localised around v = 0, coming from velocities for which $v \sim \gamma/k$. The other, n_1^b , comes from the basic thermal distribution around $v = -v_w$. Since $v_T \ll v_w$ and anticipating $\gamma/k \ll v_w$, the two contributions are well separated and can be treated independently. The contribution n_1^b is obtained by taking $\gamma \ll kv_w$, writing



FIG. 1. Illustrating the choice of frame. v_w is the wave velocity in the frame of the plasma.

$$\mathbf{n}_{1}^{\mathrm{b}} = \frac{\hat{\mathrm{E}}\mathbf{e}}{\mathrm{m}} \left(\int \frac{\gamma}{\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{v}^{2}} \, \frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{0}}{\partial \mathrm{v}} \mathrm{dv} \sin \mathrm{kx} - \int \frac{1}{\mathrm{kv}} \frac{\partial \mathrm{f}_{0}}{\partial \mathrm{v}} \mathrm{dv} \cos \mathrm{kx} \right) \mathrm{e}^{\gamma \mathrm{t}}$$

and integrating by parts to obtain

$$\mathbf{n}_{1}^{\mathrm{b}} = \frac{\hat{\mathrm{E}}\mathbf{e}}{\mathrm{m}} \left(\int \frac{2\gamma}{\mathrm{k}^{2}\mathrm{v}^{3}} f_{0} \mathrm{dv} \sin \mathrm{kx} - \int \frac{1}{\mathrm{kv}^{2}} f_{0} \mathrm{dv} \cos \mathrm{kx} \right) \mathrm{e}^{\gamma \mathrm{t}}.$$

The leading order terms in the required integrals are obtained by taking f_0 to have the form of a delta function at the velocity $-v_w$ in the wave frame. Thus

$$n_1^b = \frac{\hat{E}en}{m} \left(-\frac{2\gamma}{k^2 v_w^3} \sin kx - \frac{1}{k v_w^2} \cos kx \right) e^{\gamma t}.$$
 (6)

In the localised contribution from particles with velocities close to the wave velocity, $\partial f_0 / \partial v$ can be taken to be constant, and the resulting contribution to n_1 is

$$\begin{split} n_{1}^{w} &= \frac{\text{Ee}}{m} \left(\frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial v} \right)_{w} \left(\int \frac{\gamma}{\gamma^{2} + k^{2}v^{2}} \, dv \sin kx \right. \\ &- \int \frac{kv}{\gamma^{2} + k^{2}v^{2}} \, dv \cos kx \right) e^{\gamma t}, \\ &= \frac{\hat{\text{Ee}}}{m} \left(\frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial v} \right)_{w} \left(\frac{1}{k} \, \tan^{-1} \frac{kv}{\gamma} \Big|_{kv \ll -|\gamma|}^{kv \gg |\gamma|} \sin kx + 0 \right) e^{\gamma t}, \\ &= \frac{\hat{\text{Ee}}}{m} \left(\frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial v} \right)_{w} \frac{\gamma}{|\gamma|} \frac{\pi}{k} \, \sin kx \, e^{\gamma t}. \end{split}$$

The integrand $\frac{\gamma}{\gamma^2 + k^2 v^2}$ has the form shown in Fig. 2, the contribution to n_1^w being independent of the magnitude of γ .

The complete n_1 is now given by $n_1^b + n_1^w$

$$n_{1} = \frac{e\hat{E}}{m} \left(\left(-\frac{2\gamma n}{k^{2}v_{w}^{3}} + \frac{\gamma}{|\gamma|} \frac{\pi}{k} \left(\frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial v} \right)_{w} \right) \sin kx - \frac{n}{kv_{w}^{2}} \cos kx \right) e^{\gamma t}$$

and the dispersion relation is obtained by its substitution into Eq. (2) to obtain

$$\frac{1}{\omega_{p}^{2}} \cos kx = \left(\left(\frac{2\gamma}{k^{3}v_{w}^{3}} - \frac{\gamma}{|\gamma|} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\pi}{k^{2}} \left(\frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial v} \right)_{w} \right) \sin kx + \frac{1}{k^{2}v_{w}^{2}} \cos kx \right),$$
(7)

where $\omega_{\rm p} = ({\rm ne}^2/\varepsilon_0 {\rm m})^{1/2}$.



FIG. 2. The form of the velocity dependence of the density contribution around the phase velocity.

Equating the cos kx terms in Eq. (7) gives the wave velocity $v_w = \pm \omega_p/k$ and for the present case

$$v_w = \frac{\omega_p}{k}.$$

The sin kx term in Eq. (7) is zero, and this condition determines $|\gamma|$

$$|\gamma| = \frac{\pi \omega_{\rm p}^3}{2k^2 n} \left(\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v}\right)_{\rm w}.$$
(8)

It is clear that Eq. (8) does not allow a solution for $(\partial f_0 / \partial v)_w < 0$, and for $(\partial f_0 / \partial v)_w > 0$ the required solution is

$$\gamma = \frac{\pi \omega_{\rm p}^3}{2k^2 n} \left(\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v}\right)_{\rm w}.$$

It is seen from the equation for n_1^w that the contribution that leads to growth comes from sojourning particles which, during a growth time, $1/\gamma$, travel a distance v/γ that is less than $2\pi/k$ and so do not sample the whole wave.

The sojourning particles do not make a direct growth of charge in phase with the basic charge of the wave, but produce an out-of-phase charge as illustrated in Fig. 3. This out-of-phase charge is balanced out by the modified contribution from the main particle distribution, which is proportional to γ . The balancing of these two contributions determines γ , as given by Eq. (7). The growth of the charge in the wave actually arises simply from the divergence of the basic "flow" of the particles in the main distribution passing through the wave.

V. THE CASE WITH $(\partial f_0 / \partial v)_w < 0$

It is necessary now to determine the procedure that allows continuation of the case with $(\partial f_0/\partial v)_w > 0$ to that with $(\partial f_0/\partial v)_w < 0$.

It was seen from Eq. (8) that a homogeneous solution is only possible with $(\partial f_0 / \partial v)_w > 0$. For $(\partial f_0 / \partial v)_w < 0$, the outof-phase, sin kx, part of n₁ cannot be made zero and a solution with $\gamma < 0$ is not possible. This constraint arises from Eq. (4) at the outset of the calculation.



FIG. 3. Sojourning particles produce an out-of-phase charge.

Returning to Eq. (1), the treatment of f_1 in calculating the contributions to n_1 for $(\partial f_0/\partial v)_w < 0$ is unaffected except for the sin kx contribution for particles with velocities close to that of the wave. This contribution needs closer attention. With no assumed $e^{\gamma t}$ time dependence for f_1 , it can be written

$$f_1 = f_s \sin kx + f_c \cos kx$$

Substituting f_1 into Eq. (1) leads to the equation for f_s

$$\frac{\partial^2 f_s}{\partial t^2} + k^2 v^2 f_s = \gamma \frac{e}{m} \hat{E} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v} e^{\gamma t}.$$

The calculation of f_s^w , the wave contribution to f_s , in the $(\partial f_0/\partial v)_w>0$ case used the particular integral solution. In the case with $(\partial f_0/\partial v)_w<0$, it is necessary to add the complementary function to obtain

$$\mathbf{f}_{s}^{w} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{e}}{m} \left(\frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial \mathbf{v}}\right)_{w} \frac{\gamma}{\gamma^{2} + k^{2} \mathbf{v}^{2}} \mathbf{e}^{\gamma t} + \varphi(\mathbf{v}) \cos(\mathbf{k} \mathbf{v} t).$$

The factor $\varphi(v)$ represents the initial form of the complementary function contribution to f_s^w . The procedure now is to determine the solution for f_s^w that allows continuity with the $\partial f_0/\partial v)_w > 0$ case. The first step is to choose $\varphi(v)$ to make the functional form of f_s^w (v, t=0) the same as that for $(\partial f_0/\partial v)_w > 0$. f_s^w then becomes

$$f^w_s = \frac{\hat{E}e}{m} \left(\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v} \right)_w \, \frac{\gamma}{\gamma^2 + k^2 v^2} \left(e^{\gamma t} + \alpha \cos(kvt) \right), \label{eq:fs}$$

where α is a constant.

Noting that the contribution from the cos(kvt) term is localised around v = 0 and that, for $\gamma < 0$,

$$\int_{kv\ll -|\gamma|}^{kv\gg |\gamma|} \frac{\gamma}{\gamma^2 + k^2 v^2} \, \cos(kvt) \, dv = -\frac{\pi}{k} e^{\gamma t}$$

integration of $f_s^w(v)$ over v gives

$$n_{1}^{w} = -\frac{\hat{E}e}{m} \left(\frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial v}\right)_{w} (1+\alpha) \frac{\pi}{k} \sin kx \, e^{\gamma t} \quad \gamma < 0.$$
 (9)

Recalling that for $(\partial f_0 \partial v)_w > 0$

$$n_1^{\rm w} = \frac{\hat{\rm E}e}{m} \left(\frac{\partial f_o}{\partial v}\right)_{\rm w} \frac{\pi}{k} \, \sin kx \, e^{\gamma t}, \tag{10}$$

continuity of n_1^w as expressed by Eqs. (9) and (10) requires $\alpha = -2$.

Adding the n_1^b contribution, given in Eq. (6), the complete sin kx component of n_1 now becomes

$$n_{1}^{s} = \frac{e\hat{E}}{m} \left(-\frac{2\gamma kn}{\omega_{p}^{3}} + \frac{\pi}{k} \left(\frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial v} \right)_{w} \right) \sin kx \, e^{\gamma t} \quad \gamma < 0$$

and putting this out-of-phase term to zero gives the damping rate for $(\partial f_0/\partial v)_w\!<\!0$

$$\gamma = \frac{\pi \omega_{\rm p}^3}{2k^2 n} \left(\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v} \right)_{\rm w}$$

The mechanism of damping is not an inverse form of the sojourning particle mechanism responsible for growth. The additional part of the f_1 arising from the complementary function has introduced an out-of-phase component, which has a time dependence $\cos(kvt)$. Initially $\cos(kvt) = 1$, giving a full density contribution. As t increases the particles involved see increasingly different phases of the electric field and this phase mixing leads to damping, as shown in Fig. 4. Since the particles involved have $v \sim \gamma/k$, the characteristic time for phase mixing is $1/\gamma$ as would be expected. There are equal damping contributions from those particles moving faster than the wave and those moving slower. The sojourning particles are still there but their contribution is outweighed by the damping due to phase mixing.

VI. ENERGY BALANCE

In the present formulation, calculation of the energy balance is straightforward.

The energy exchange is between the particles in the outof-phase component of the distribution function f_1^s and the electric field. The power transfer per unit volume, P, is

$$\mathbf{P} = \int \mathbf{f}_1^s \mathbf{e} \mathbf{v} \, \mathbf{E} \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{v}.$$

In the *frame of the wave*, $v_f = 0$, the distribution function f_1^s for particles with velocities close to the wave velocity is symmetric in v and their contribution to P is therefore zero. So the only contribution is that from the basic plasma, and using Eq. (5) with $\gamma \ll kv_w$

$$\mathbf{P} = \int \frac{\mathrm{Ee}}{\mathrm{m}} \frac{\gamma}{\mathrm{k}^2 \mathrm{v}^2} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mathrm{v}}. \, \mathrm{evEdv}.$$



FIG. 4. The time development of the phase-mixing component of $f_1(v)$ is illustrated by its form at t = 0, $t = 2/\gamma$, and $t = 10/\gamma$.

Integrating by parts

$$P = \frac{E^2 e^2}{m} \frac{\gamma}{k^2} \int \frac{1}{v^2} f_0 \, dv$$

and putting $v = -v_w$,

$$\mathbf{P} = \frac{\mathbf{E}^2 \mathbf{e}^2}{\mathbf{m}} \frac{\gamma \mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{k}^2 \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{w}}^2}.$$

Recalling that $E \propto e^{\gamma t}$, putting $k^2 v_w^2 = \omega_p^2$ and noting that $ne^2/mk^2 v_w^2 = \varepsilon_0$, the energy balance equation becomes

$$P = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\varepsilon_0 E^2}{2}.$$
 (11)

Thus, in the wave frame damping of the electrical energy is solely due to energy transfer to the particles in the main thermal distribution.

However, in the *frame of the plasma*, $v_f = -v_w$, there are two additional contributions, one from the basic plasma and the other from the particles around the wave speed. Using the sine component of the basic plasma density perturbation given by Eq. (6)

$$\begin{split} n_{s}^{b} &= -\frac{2 E e n \gamma}{m k^{2} v_{w}^{3}} \\ &= -\frac{2 \epsilon_{0} \gamma E}{e v_{w}}, \end{split}$$

the change in the power transferred by the basic plasma contribution is

$$\begin{split} \Delta P_b &= -\frac{2\epsilon_0\gamma E}{ev_w}.ev_w E \\ &= -2\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\epsilon_0 E^2}{2}. \end{split}$$

So adding ΔP_b to the P given in Eq. (11), P_b in this frame is

$$P_{b} = -\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\varepsilon_{0} E^{2}}{2}$$

Since the out-of-phase density contribution of the particles close to the wave speed, n_s^w , is equal to $-n_s^b$, their power contribution, which was zero in the wave frame, is now

$$P_w = 2 \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\epsilon_0 E^2}{2}.$$

Summing the two contributions, the total power is

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{P} &= P_{\mathrm{b}} + P_{\mathrm{w}} \\ &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \frac{\varepsilon_0 \mathrm{E}^2}{2}, \end{split}$$

as before.

VII. DISCUSSION

In Landau's treatment, an arbitrary initial perturbation, $f_1(x, v, t=0)$, of the distribution function is taken and the time development of the electric field, E(x, t), is calculated using a Fourier-Laplace transform. Each component of E(x, t) has a time dependence $e^{-i\omega t}$ with an eigenvalue $\omega(k)$. "Landau damping" is associated with an eigenvalue for which the real part of ω is such that $\omega_r/k \gg v_T$, the thermal velocity, and the imaginary part gives damping, or growth, proportional to $(\partial f_0/\partial v)_{w}$.

In Landau's derivation of the damping rate, there is no involvement of the specific associated perturbed distribution function, and little attention has been paid to it. However, using the solution for E(x, t), the distribution function $f_1(x, v, t)$ can be calculated retrospectively, thus identifying the specific initial distribution function required to give the Landau damping mode.

The present paper proceeds in a different way by solving the Vlasov equation directly to obtain $f_1(k, v, t)$ and substituting this solution into Poisson's equation to obtain the dispersion relation giving the damping or growth rate.

For $(\partial f_0/\partial v)_w > 0$, the oscillation is unstable, and in this case the calculation proceeds straightforwardly. But in the damped case with $(\partial f_0/\partial v)_w < 0$, calculation of the $f_1(k, v, t)$ requires the imposition of continuity with the $(\partial f_0/\partial v)_w > 0$ case, as in Landau's calculation.

The focus on the distribution function in the present calculation makes explicit the underlying mechanisms of growth and damping. The growth associated with $(\partial f_0/\partial v)_w > 0$ arises from the charge produced by electrons with velocities sufficiently close to the wave velocity that they do not sample all phases of the wave during a growth time. The damping associated with $\partial f_0/\partial v)_w < 0$ is due to phase mixing of particles with velocities close to the wave velocity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am very grateful to Jeff Freidberg, Jack Connor, and Jim Hastie for their interest, advice, and encouragement.

¹L. D. Landau, J. Phys. (USSR) **10**, 25 (1946).

²J. Dawson, Phys. Fluids **4**, 869 (1961).