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Abstract

The processing code SPECTRA-PKA produces energy spectra of primary atomic recoil events (or primary knock-on atoms, PKAs) for any material composition exposed to an irradiation spectrum. Such evaluations are vital inputs for simulations aimed at understanding the evolution of damage in irradiated material, which is generated in cascade displacement events initiated by PKAs. These PKA spectra present the full complexity of the input (to SPECTRA-PKA) nuclear data-library evaluations of recoil events. However, the commonly used displacements per atom (dpa) measure, which is an integral measure over all possible recoil events of the displacement damage dose, is still widely used and has many useful applications – as both a comparative and correlative quantity. This paper describes the methodology employed that allows the SPECTRA-PKA code to evaluate dpa rates using the energy-dependent recoil (PKA) cross section data used for the PKA distributions. This avoids the need for integral displacement kerma cross sections and also provides new insight into the relative importance of different reaction channels (and associated different daughter residual and emitted particles) to the total integrated dpa damage dose. Results are presented for Fe, Ni, W, and SS316. Fusion is compared to fission, highlighting the increased contribution to damage creation in the former from high-energy threshold reactions.
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1. Introduction

The displacement per atom (dpa) measure of damage dose due to particle bombardment (irradiation) of materials is commonly (ubiquitously) used to estimate the atomic-level structural damage in irradiated materials. The so-called Norgett-Robinson-Torrens dpa or NRT-dpa [1] has been the standard evaluation method for several decades. While such an integral measure has obvious limitations [2, 3], and should be viewed as a “atomic damage dose” rather than a quantitative measure of damage creation [4], it has nonetheless shown to correlate with certain damage phenomena (see, for example, [5]). Furthermore, it provides a useful standard comparison between different irradiation experiments reported in the literature (provided the dpa model remains unchanged), and so there is continued interest in stable calculation of NRT-dpa values. For neutron irradiation, dpa rates are often obtained by folding the energy-dependent irradiation spectrum, usually measured/evaluated in units of neutrons cm\textsuperscript{−2} s\textsuperscript{−1}, with (neutron) energy-dependent displacement kerma cross sections \(\sigma_d\) (expressed in barns where 1 barn is \(10^{-24}\) cm\textsuperscript{2}).

A modified Kinchin-Pease [6] formula, which has subsequently become known as the NRT model, is then applied to the scalar product result to obtain a dpa contribution from a particular target nuclide. A sum over all target nuclides in a material or element (weighted according to their fractional concentrations) produces the total NRT-dpa per second [4] for that composition.

However, the \(\sigma_d\) cross section vectors, which are essentially totals over all possible reactions on a given tar-
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get nuclide, must be pre-computed from the raw nuclear reaction cross section data and are thus already integral values. In this paper we describe a different approach, wherein the dpa formulas can be applied separately for each nuclear reaction based on the energy spectrum of the reaction daughter products (the primary knock-on atoms or PKAs). The resulting dpa rates associated with each nuclear reaction can still be summed to recover the full dpa rate in a material, but the individual dpa contributions provide useful additional information and insights – for example, showing if neutron multiplication reactions contribute significantly to the total dpa rate. They also highlight the importance of the nuclear reaction data for certain channels, which might otherwise have been neglected because they are not important for criticality or burn-up calculations (although they may be relevant for transmutation and/or radioactivity).

The methodology, as implemented in the recently developed SPECTRA-PKA code [7, 8], is described below. Several examples are presented and discussed, including comparisons between nuclear fission and fusion environments.

2. Methodology

For a given nuclear reaction on a target (parent) nuclide the recoil energy distribution for the daughter (that might be a different nuclei to the parent) is readily available for neutron interactions (and other light charged particles, such as protons) from various alternative nuclear data libraries. For SPECTRA-PKA and its parent code – the inventory simulation system FISPACT-II [9] – the recommended libraries are from the TENDL [10, 11] series (TENDL-2017 [12] is the latest release) because they are the most complete (for cross sections, angular recoil distributions and emitted spectra) and modern (they contain, for example, covariance data) databases available.

Processing codes such as NJOY [13] are commonly used to evaluate the displacement kerma cross section (a derived quantity) for a given target nuclide from this primary input library data, which can then be combined with the incident (neutron) particle spectrum to calculate the dpa rate for that nuclide. NJOY, and codes like it, apply the Lindhard-Scharff-Schiott (LSS) partition theory [14, 15] to evaluate the damage energy available to cause displacements for the recoiling daughter nuclide (the PKA) \( j \) as a function of its energy \( E_{PKA} \). Focussing on neutron interactions (the evaluation method for other irradiating particles is similar), the subsequent sum across all \( E_{PKA} \) values for the daughter produced at each neutron energy \( E_n \) in eV is multiplied by the energy-dependent reaction cross section \( \sigma(E_n) \) to give the contribution to the total displacement kerma cross section from that particular reaction. There is usually a probability distribution of PKA energies for each neutron energy due to the energy-angular dependence of multiple emitted particles produced in nuclear reactions.

The total displacement kerma cross section \( \sigma^{\text{disp}}_{ij} \) for target nuclide \( i \) at neutron energy \( E_n \) is then the sum over all possible nuclear reactions \( i \to j \) (denoted as \( ij \)):

\[
\sigma^{\text{disp}}_{ij}(E_n) = \sum_j \sum_k \sigma_{ij}(E_n) T_{ij}(E_{PKA}) P_{ij}(E_{PKA}, E_n),
\]

where \( P_{ij}(E_{PKA}, E_n) \) is the probability of producing daughter PKA energy \( E_{PKA} \) for reaction \( i \to j \) at neutron energy \( E_n \). Each probability is multiplied by the damage energy \( T_{ij} \) for daughter \( j \) as a function of PKA energy according to a modified version [15] of LSS partition theory [14]. The sum (or integral if a continuous distribution function is available) over the \( k \) possible PKA energies is sometimes referred to as the transferred energy for reaction \( i \to j \) at the given neutron energy [4]. \( \sigma_{ij}(E_n) \) is the cross section for reaction \( i \to j \) at energy \( E_n \).

An inventory code, such as FISPACT-II, applies the NRT formula to find the NRT-dpa cross section:

\[
\sigma^{\text{dpa}}_{ij}(E_n) = \frac{0.8 \sigma^{\text{disp}}_{ij}(E_n)}{2E_d},
\]

and then folds \( i.e \) vector dot or scalar product) the result with the neutron flux vector to give the dpa rate for each target in a material, which are then weighted by the inventory (nuclide) composition and summed to evaluate the total dpa rate in the material. \( E_d \) is known as the threshold displacement energy, and is the energy required to produce a Frenkel pair atomic defect in the material lattice.

SPECTRA-PKA [7], on the other hand, reads a data library of vectors over \( k \)

\[
\{\sigma_{ij}(E_n) P_{ij}(E_{PKA}, E_n)\} \equiv \{m_{kn}^{ij}\},
\]
where \( m_{kn}^{ij} \) is the cross section in barns for a recoil in energy group (or bin) \( k \) for an incident neutron in energy group \( n \) for reaction \( i \to j \) (following the same notation conventions used in [7, 16]). These vectors, which are processed into a grid of energy bins by the GROUPR module of NJOY [13], are folded with the incident particle fluxes \( \phi_n \) to calculate PKA distributions. However, they can also be used to evaluate the displacement kerma cross section for individual reactions by multiplying each \( m_{kn}^{ij} \) value by the damage energy \( T_{ij}^k(= T_j(E_{PKA}^k)) \) associated with the emitted daughter \( j \) at PKA energy \( k \).

The damage energy is obtained via the formulas described by Robinson [17], assuming that the “target” atom for the collisions of the daughter projectile \( j \) from reaction \( i \to j \) are the same as the original parent atom \( i \) (a valid approximation in most situations). From [17], we have

\[
T_j^k = T_j(E_{PKA}^k) = \frac{E_{PKA}^k}{(1 + k_{LSS} G(\frac{E_{PKA}^k}{E_{LSS}}))},
\]

where \( E_{LSS} \) was introduced in [15] to make the description of nuclear stopping dimensionless and \( k_{LSS} \) is a parameter (constant for a given projectile-target pair) which collects together constants of the Thomas-Fermi description of atomic interactions [14]. For a projectile \( j \) with mass and atomic number \( M_j \) and \( Z_j \), respectively, and target \( i \) with equivalent \( M_i \) and \( Z_i \), these LSS parameters are defined via:

\[
E_{LSS} = \frac{Z_j Z_i e^2}{a_{12}} \frac{1 + A}{A},
\]

\[
k_{LSS} = \frac{32}{3\pi} \left( \frac{m_e}{M_i} \right)^{1/2} (1 + A)^{3/2} Z_j^{2/3} Z_i^{1/2} \left( Z_j^{2/3} + Z_i^{2/3} \right)^{3/4},
\]

where \( m_e \) is the mass of an electron (=5.4857991 atomic mass units u) and \( e^2 \) is the square of the elementary charge (=1.4399652 eV nm), and

\[
A = M_i/M_j, \quad a_{12} = \left( \frac{9\pi}{128} \right)^{1/3} \frac{r_{bohr}}{\left( Z_j^{2/3} + Z_i^{2/3} \right)^{1/2}}.
\]

\( a_{12} \) is the screening length for ion-atom interactions using the Bohr radius \( r_{bohr} (=0.52917722 \text{ nm}) \). \( G(\epsilon) \) is the universal function [1] and is evaluated via a numerical approximation [18]:

\[
G(\epsilon) = \epsilon + 0.40244e^{3/4} + 3.4008e^{1/6}.
\]

Note that this formalism is actually the Lindhard et al. [14, 15] formalism for non-identical target and projectile atoms (described by Robinson [17]), rather than the equations for damage energy applied by Norgett-Robinson-Torrens in their original presentation of the modified Kinchin-Pease method for displacement dose rates [1], which was partially taken from an earlier work by Robinson [18]. That original approach in [1] only considered an expression for \( k_{LSS} \) appropriate to the case where the recoiling atom and target atoms are identical. The complete formalism shown here is more appropriate for SPECTRA-PKA where we may be considering reaction channels with a recoiling particle \( j \) that has a very different mass to that of the parent (and recoil target) \( i \). For example, light atoms of helium and hydrogen are emitted from many threshold transmuting (different proton number \( Z \) of daughter) reactions. This somewhat overcomes the limitations noted in [1], that the formulation therein was strictly limited to monatomic systems.

However, the assumption that the target atom is the same as the original parent atom in a particular reaction channel still limits the validity. In most situations, including mono-elemental systems and alloys of similar mass metals, this approximation is reasonably valid because the PKA daughter atom will be moving through a lattice of similar mass (to the parent) atoms. In more heterogeneous material compositions with a wide distribution of atomic masses, such as in a heavy-metal oxide or a ceramic, an alternative approach should be applied: the concentrations of different atomic species can be taken into account when evaluating the displacements caused by a recoil of each species. For example, see [20], which also discusses the use of variable threshold displacement energies in the NRT formula.

Following the calculation of the damage energy via equation (3), the total NRT-dpa rate for the given \( i \to j \) reaction channel is obtained via:

\[
d_{i,j} \text{ rate} = \frac{0.8}{2E_d} \sum_n \phi_n \sum_k m_{kn}^{ij} T_j^k, \quad (4)
\]
where \( \phi_n \) is the particle (neutron) flux in units of neutrons cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) at neutron energy \( E_n \). In SPECTRA-PKA the particle fluxes and reaction cross sections are all defined on a grid of energy bins/groups and so the \( \{T_k^j\} \) vectors are also calculated as a set of bin boundaries.

The total dpa rate in a material can be recovered from equation (4) by summing over the \( j \) possible reactions (the result is equivalent to equations (1) and (2) rearranged), and then over the \( i \) different reaction targets in the system with a relative concentration weighting.

### 3. Example results

Fig. 1 demonstrates the application of the methodology described in the previous section. Fig. 1a shows the PKA spectra, calculated by SPECTRA-PKA, for pure \(^{56}\)Fe (91.754% of pure iron) under the neutron irradiation conditions predicted in the first wall of a conceptual design of a demonstration fusion power plant [19]. The neutron irradiation spectrum for this scenario is also shown in the plot. The input nuclear data for these results, and for all results in the present work, are recoil cross section matrices produced by NJOY [13] from the raw, point-wise data form of the TENDL-2015 [21] library of nuclear reaction cross sections and energy-angular distributions. Fig. 1a shows, for example, the dominance of the contribution from elastic scattering, particularly at PKA energies below 100 keV. It also shows that non-scattering reaction channels, such as neutron multiplication \((n,2n)\) [one incident neutron producing two emitted neutrons] or transmuting reactions like \((n,\alpha)\) [one incident neutron producing a heavy residual nuclide with an atomic number \( Z \) reduced by two and an emitted light \( \alpha \) particle], become important at higher PKA energies from where most damage will be created.

Fig. 1b shows the application of equation (4) for each neutron energy group (i.e. equation (4) without the sum over \( n \)) to the same \( \{m_{kn}^{ij}\} \) vectors of recoil cross sections, and using the accepted standard threshold displacement energy \( E_d \) for Fe of 40 eV (see, for example, Table II in [22]). The figure shows the conversion from \( E_{PKA} \) to damage energy \( T_j \), resulting in the shift to lower energy values on the x-axis, which can be clearly seen by comparing the upper limits of each curve to the curve of the same reaction channel in Fig. 1a. The calculation of dpa rates, which involves multiplication of cross sections by energy (see equation (4)) produces the change in profile of each curve compared to the PKA distributions: small damage energies (and hence PKA energies) produce relatively small dpa rates compared to higher energies. In
contrast to the situation with the PKA distributions, for dpa the contributions from inelastic scattering and (n,2n) on \(^{56}\text{Fe}\) are as significant as those from the previously dominant elastic scattering.

Note that the results presented in this paper are for zero-time \((t = 0)\) compositions of materials/nuclides before there has been any build-up of other, non-input nuclides due to transmutation reactions. As was shown previously \([16]\), for the case of tungsten in a fusion environment, the growth of transmutation impurities during irradiation can cause a significant change in the PKA distributions (and hence possibly the dpa rate) as a function of time. This is beyond the scope of the present paper, but it is straightforward in SPECTRA-PKA to consider complex nuclide compositions, such as produced via transmutation and predicted by the time-evolution in an inventory simulation with FISPACT-II (see \([16]\) for examples).

Of course, a real material, even if only a pure element, will usually be comprised of a number of different nuclides (including isotopes of the same element). For example, in pure Fe, there are four naturally occurring isotopes: \(^{56}\text{Fe}\) (91.754 atomic %), \(^{54}\text{Fe}\) (5.845%), \(^{57}\text{Fe}\) (2.119%), and \(^{58}\text{Fe}\) (0.282%). In such situations, the picture shown in Fig. 1 can become very complex and it is then difficult to discern anything useful from energy-dependent distributions of either PKAs or dpa rates. For dpa, applying equation (4) to obtain the total dpa rates for each reaction is more useful for understanding and interpretation (total and cumulative PKA distributions have been discussed elsewhere \([7]\) as simplifications of the PKA picture).

The bar-plot in Fig. 2a, shows the SPECTRA-PKA results for the NRT-dpa rate contributions to various reaction channels in pure Fe under the fusion first wall spectrum (in Fig. 1a). The majority of the total dpa rate (9.2 NRT-dpa/year) comes from five main reactions on the primary \(^{56}\text{Fe}\) isotope, with relatively small contributions from elastic and inelastic scattering on \(^{54}\text{Fe}\) (0.4 dpa/year) and all other reactions on any target isotopes (0.6 dpa/year). For the reactions on \(^{56}\text{Fe}\), elastic scattering dominates (3.8 dpa/year or 40.9% of the total, as shown in the plot in blue), followed by inelastic scattering (2.6 dpa/year or 28.1%) and the (n,2n) channel (1.2 dpa/year or 12.9%).

The total dpa rate in Fig. 2a, obtained as the sum over all reaction channels and all target nuclides, agrees with
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equivalent dpa calculations performed using the standard application of equation (2) in FISPACT-II [9]. In turn, FISPACT-II has been shown to agree with other evaluations of integrated damage; for example, FISPACT-II predicts 0.042 total dpa in steel after 40 years in a typical PWR reactor pressure vessel (at quarter thickness with a 0.8 load factor), in agreement with the 0.045±0.05 dpa reported in [23].

Fig. 2a also includes an estimate of the uncertainty associated with the dpa rate computed for each channel. The % uncertainties shown (as red text) above each bar in the plot are taken directly from the nuclear data uncertainties calculated by FISPACT-II for each reaction channel during folding with the fusion first wall neutron spectrum. There are exceptions for the total dpa uncertainty, which comes from the total cross section data channel (MT=1 in ENDF-6 [24] format nomenclature) of $^{56}$Fe, and the scatter uncertainty on $^{54}$Fe, which again comes from the MT=1 uncertainty (elastic and inelastic scattering make up more than 99.9% of the total cross section on $^{54}$Fe in this case). Uncertainties are not presented in any other figures in this paper because those plots show the combined results for multiple reaction channels (e.g. the same reaction across multiple nuclides of the same element) and thus uncertainties are not straightforward to compute (or extract from elsewhere). Full inclusion and propagation of uncertainties, both for PKA spectra and dpa calculations, in SPECTRA-PKA is part of ongoing development of the code, and those given in Fig. 2a exemplify how this could be achieved.

Another summing approach is to consider the dpa contributions as a function of the recoiling (PKA) species that produces the damage. This is built-in to the analysis in SPECTRA-PKA and Fig. 2b displays the distribution of dpa rate contributions from different PKA species for the same Fe evaluation as in Fig. 2a. As would be expected, dpa from $^{56}$Fe PKAs dominate – producing nearly 70% of the total dpa rate – because elastic and inelastic scattering on that nuclide, which is the majority of the target composition, also generate it as a PKA. $^{55}$Fe nuclides, produced by (n,2n) reactions on $^{56}$Fe, are responsible for around 13% of the dpa and scattering on $^{54}$Fe also makes a small contribution (as dpa from $^{54}$Fe recoils). There are also contributions from $^{56}$Mn and $^{53}$Cr, i.e. damage from non-iron nuclides, produced via (n,p) and (n,α) reactions, respectively on $^{56}$Fe. It is worth highlighting here that the atomic number, excitation state, and decay properties of the PKA daughter are not presently accounted for in simulations (atomistic or otherwise) of radiation damage production and evolution; a situation that SPECTRA-PKA can help to address in the future with this separation of PKA and dpa rates by reaction channel (and daughter type). Note further, that SPECTRA-PKA includes the dpa from the recoiling protons (p) and $^3$He nuclei (α) of these latter two reactions, but the resulting dpa rates are very small due to the large disparity in mass between atoms of the host iron lattice and the emitted p/α particles.

Fig. 3 shows results for another pure element, W, under the same fusion spectrum shown in Fig. 1a. Once again the dpa results are separated by reaction type (Fig. 3a) and PKA species (Fig. 3b). However, differing from the Fe case above, tungsten has four major isotopes, $^{182}$W, $^{184}$W, $^{185}$W, and $^{186}$W, that all have similar concentrations in naturally occurring pure W (ranging from 14 to 30 atomic %). Thus, in Fig. 3a, the dpa contributions from different reaction channels are actually the sum over all W isotopes. Note that the threshold displacement energy $E_d$ assumed for W in these calculations was 55 eV, which is a recent evaluation [25] based on experimental measurements of single atomic displacements [26], and not the historical 90 eV quoted elsewhere (e.g. in [22]).

In contrast to the scattering dominance seen in Fe, for W, Fig. 3a shows that neutron multiplication (n,2n) reactions are responsible for almost as much of the predicted dpa damage dose as scattering (elastic and inelastic scattering have been summed together here) under this fusion neutron irradiation spectrum; 44.7% for (n,2n) versus 54.1% for scattering out of the ~ 5 dpa/year total. There is also virtually no contribution from other reaction types, particular gas producing ones, such as (n,p) and (n,α), which is in agreement with calculations elsewhere, e.g. in [27], showing that gas production is relatively minor in W under fusion conditions compared to Fe. Note, however, that in W these gas-producing channels – particularly the (n,α) reactions – have positive Q-values, meaning that energy is released when they occur. Even in the latest TENDL [10] evaluations these non-threshold reactions may be underestimated below the unresolved resonance region [28], and so a different picture of their relative importance, for both gas production and dpa damage, may be predicted in the future.

The absence of significant contributions from reactions
other than scattering and (n,2n) results in negligible dpa from non-W PKA species in Fig. 3b, where there is a fairly even distribution of dpa rates among six different W nuclides. The highest contributions come from recoiling $^{182}\text{W}$ and $^{183}\text{W}$ nuclides because PKAs of these nuclides are produced via both neutron-scattering and neutron multiplication (on $^{183}\text{W}$ and $^{184}\text{W}$, respectively).

Conversely, in Ni, there is a much greater contribution to the dpa from non-scattering, transmuting reactions – (n,p), (n,np) and (n,$\alpha$) – due to the relatively high reaction cross sections of such reactions in this element, particularly at the 14 MeV peak of the fusion spectrum considered here (see, for example, [29, 30]). Fig. 4a shows that more than 30% of the total dpa/year in pure Ni comes from such reactions in a first wall fusion environment, including 12.6% from (n,p), 7.1% from (n,$\alpha$), and 14.7% from (n,np). Scattering is still dominant (more than 60% of the 11 dpa/year total), but other reactions, such as (n,2n), are not significant contributors to the total. This results in a much greater variety of non-Ni recoil nuclides being responsible for significant amounts of the predicted dpa, including more than 20% of the total from Co PKAs (see Fig. 4b), which would result in different damage production and evolution.

### 3.1. Complex materials

A key benefit of the SPECTRA-PKA approach for dpa calculation is that $E_d$ is a user-defined variable and so can be chosen to best fit the material composition being considered. This differs from the approach taken for mixed compositions in FISPACT-II [9], where the threshold displacement energy varies with the parent nuclide – i.e. in a material containing, for example, Fe and W, the dpa contribution from nuclear reaction on the Fe nuclides would apply the Fe 40 eV standard $E_d$ value, while for that calculated for reactions with the W nuclides, the W $E_d$ value of 55 eV would be applied. While this might be a reasonable approximation in some cases, in a lattice dominated by nuclides of one particular element it could be more appropriate to use the threshold displacement energy of that element. Similarly, in an evenly mixed material, using an average $E_d$ value might be suitable – a facility afforded by the SPECTRA-PKA methodology.

Furthermore, there is no limit to the complexity of the material (i.e. number of different nuclides) that may be considered in a SPECTRA-PKA calculation (subject to
computational memory limits), and so it is straightforward to analyze both the PKA distributions and dpa/year breakdown for complex alloy compositions. 316 stainless steel (SS316) is a commonly used steel in nuclear applications and is being considered as the primary structural steel for the vacuum vessel of a future demonstration power plant (although not necessarily for in-vessel components due to its poor activation characteristics) [19]. The contributions to the total 9.5 dpa/year in the starting composition (as given in [19]) of SS316 under fusion first wall conditions are shown in Fig. 5.

Here the contributions are grouped according to the element type of the recoiling PKA species – there are too many different channels and recoiling nuclides to group the contributions according to those. A value of 40 eV has been assumed for $E_d$, which is the literature value for the dominant Fe, Cr, and Ni elements in the matrix. As would be expected, recoiling nuclides of Fe, Cr, and Ni, which make up 63.4, 19.2 and 11.8 atomic %, respectively, of the input composition, are the dominant contributions to the dpa/year – responsible for 55.6, 19.3 and 8.9%, respectively, of the total dpa. However, Co, which is only present in SS316 at the 500 appm level, nonetheless contributes almost 4% of the dpa because, as seen in Fig. 4b, it is produced in significant amounts as a recoiling element in Ni. Similarly, PKAs of vanadium contribute more than 1% of the dpa, despite not being present in SS316, due to their creation via (n,p) reactions on Cr.

### 3.2. Fusion vs. Fission

The authors have previously [27] compared the fusion and fission environments using FISPACT-II [9], in particular considering gas-to-dpa ratios for a wide range of different elements. With the new developments described here for SPECTRA-PKA, it is now instructive to consider the variation in contributions to dpa due to changing the irradiation environment. Fig. 6 shows reaction channel contributions to the total dpa/year in pure Fe and W under typical conditions at the high-flux reactor (HFR) at Petten in the Netherlands (further details of the spectrum used are given in [27]). This spectrum is significantly softer (has a greater proportion of low-energy or thermal neutrons) than the hard, fusion spectrum considered elsewhere in this paper. For both Fe (Fig. 6a) and W (Fig. 6b), and indeed for materials in general, this results in a greater importance of the scattering reaction channels.
because elastic scattering, in particular, has no threshold and is thus dominant below the thresholds of other reactions.

In contrast to the picture in fusion (Fig. 2a), for Fe under HFR conditions (Fig. 6a) the scattering channels make up almost 100% of the 3.9 dpa/year total (even the “other” in the figure is almost entirely scattering on the two remaining naturally occurring isotopes of Fe), while the contributions from the more complex (n,2n), (n,p), and (n,α) reactions on $^{56}$Fe, which contributed around 20% of the total dpa/year under fusion conditions, are entirely absent here. The situation is even more stark in W, where in HFR, (n,2n) reactions produce less than 1% of the total (1.6 dpa/year) instead of nearly 50% of the total under fusion conditions (Fig. 3a), although in both cases recoiling W nuclides produce nearly 100% of the dpa.

These examples highlight how different the neutron environments in a fusion reactor will be compared to existing fission reactors (next generation, GEN-IV, fast fission systems will be more similar to fusion), and thus how challenging and damaging the different environment is for the materials and components that must withstand it.

4. Summary

This paper described an alternative approach to calculating displacement per atom (dpa) rates in materials.
The recently developed SPECTRA-PKA code uses the same recoil cross sections that it has previously [7] used to evaluate the energy distributions of primary knock-on atoms (PKAs) in (neutron) irradiated materials, to instead apply the modified Kinchin-Pease or Norgett-Robinson-Torrens (NRT) formula (and associated Lindhard-Schaff-Schött energy partition functions) to calculate dpa on a per-reaction-channel basis.

The application of this new methodology to several important elements under neutron irradiation provides insight into the contribution to dpa from different reaction channels. Elastic and inelastic scattering channels are predictably dominant, due to their high cross sections at low neutron-energies, but in certain materials and under predicted fusion power plant conditions, more exotic nuclear reactions are responsible for a significant proportion of the predicted dpa rates. In W, for example, neutron multiplication \((n,2n)\) contributes almost 50% of the predicted dpa rate, while in Ni, gas-producing reactions (e.g. \((n,p)\), \((n,α)\)) contribute more than 30% to the dpa/year.

The contributions from different reaction channels can also vary significantly with the irradiation environment. Under typical light water reactor (LWR) fission conditions (i.e. HFR) it was found that scattering produces most of the damage, even in materials where other reactions were important under fusion conditions. Under typical fission conditions it was found that scattering produces most of the damage, even in materials where other reactions were important under fusion conditions.

The rigorous methodology described in this paper, and implemented in SPECTRA-PKA, provides scope for new and novel interpretation and understanding of nuclear reaction data, and the relative importance of different parts (channels) of that data to material degradation.
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