

SOL profile and fluctuations in different divertor recycling conditions in H-Mode plasmas

Wednesday, 12 May 2021 12:10 (20 minutes)

Plasma Exhaust and Plasma Wall Interaction are subjects of intense studies in fusion energy research for the understanding of the amount of heat loads and the lifetime of Plasma Facing Components. In order to ensure reliable predictive edge modeling in this context, it is mandatory to determine the transport properties of the Scrape Off Layer (SOL), a region largely influenced by the presence of turbulent filaments which contribute to particle and energy losses in both L and H modes. From the ITER divertor perspective, to keep the power fluxes acceptable for target material, high neutral pressure and partial detachment are needed to ensure maximum tolerable loads [1]. Thus experimental investigation of SOL transport needs to be extended to these regimes.

Presently the regimes matching the ITER divertor conditions are obtained with high gas throughput and high density. In L-Mode these conditions are associated with a *density shoulder* i.e. progressive flattening of the density scrape off layer profile at high density [2-4]. It has been shown that density shoulder appear starting from high-recycling regimes and become broader after target density rollover [5], even though differences have been observed depending on divertor geometry [6], or if high recycling conditions are achieved through impurity seeding rather than high fueling [6,7]. The density shoulder is actually accompanied by an increase of the filamentary activity [4,5], with an increase of their associated heat and particle transport [4]. Preliminary investigations suggested that similar inter-ELM SOL density profile broadening is observed also in H-mode [4,5,8], with a stronger dependence on the neutral pressure [5]. The possible increase of convective heat and particle fluxes to the wall poses serious issues in terms of acceptable sputtering yield of the first wall. In H-mode, with highly dissipative divertor, the plasma changes its stability moving towards a small-ELM regime [9] where a clear increase of the SOL density decay length is observed. Despite the large effort, a comprehensive understanding of the mechanism leading to an H-mode shoulder formation is presently lacking and this motivated a joint experimental program within the Eurofusion framework. The present contribution will show a unique comparison of H-Mode SOL density shoulder properties across 3 different devices, JET, ASDEX-Upgrade (AUG) and TCV focusing on the SOL profile evolution in different divertor recycling states, correlating the profile modification with different turbulent SOL plasma transport.

On JET, 2MA/2.3T low δ plasma with 16 MW of applied NBI power were analyzed, with different levels of fueling exploring different divertor shapes in order to tackle the dependence of neutral compression as well [10]. On AUG 0.8MA/2.5T scenarios at different power levels (from 3 to 17 MW) and different fuelling schemes were analyzed in order to explore a wide range of divertor parameters and recycling states. Finally on TCV high- δ low current (0.18 MA) discharges were investigated with 1 MW of NBI heating with different fuelling levels and locations. In all the devices we have identified conditions where inter-ELM density profiles exhibit a clear profile broadening as shown in figure 1.




Figure 1: Upstream profiles, normalized with respect to values at the separatrix in different recycling conditions for JET (a), AUG (b) and TCV (c). In all the cases symbols represent raw data whereas the solid line represent a Gaussian Process Regression fit.

To access the contribution of SOL turbulence in modifying the SOL profile, fluctuations in the main SOL and at the wall have been investigated as shown in figure 2. On AUG, filaments velocities of inter-ELM filaments have been determined using the Thermal Helium Beam diagnostic and compared with the fluctuations observed in high-recycling state during the small-ELM regime. The comparison of the Probability Distribution Function (PDF) of these velocities is shown in figure 2 (b) and a clear increase of the filament velocity during high recycling state is observed. For TCV and JET we show the PDF of the ion saturation current density J_s as measured at the wall respectively in panels (a) and (c) of figure 2.

In high density/high recycling state more skewed PDFs are observed for both the machines suggesting an increase of the fluctuation induced convective transport towards the first wall.




Figure 2: Fluctuation properties in different recycling states for the 3 devices:(a) PDF of J_{sat} fluctuations at the wall on JET (b) inter-ELM filament velocity in the far SOL from THB diagnostic (c) PDF of J_{sat} fluctuations at the wall on TCV.

In high density/high recycling state more skewed PDFs are observed for both the machines suggesting an increase of the fluctuation induced convective transport towards the first wall. These experimental results form an excellent basis to benchmark SOL modelling under various conditions in differently sized machines, providing a more complete characterization of the explored conditions in terms of divertor properties, upstream profiles, SOL fluctuation and induced transport and pedestal evolution. These observations consequently will strongly contribute to improve the understanding of SOL transport in conditions relevant for the ITER divertor operation.

Acknowledgment

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014 - 2018 and 2019 - 2020 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission. This work was supported in part by the US Department of Energy under Award Number DE-SC0010529. This work was supported in part by the Swiss National Science Foundation

References

1. Pitts, R *et al.*, *Nucl. Mat. Energy*, **20**, 100696 (2019)
2. Asakura, N *et al.*, *J. Nucl. Mater.*, **241-243**, 559 (1997)
3. LaBombard, B *et al.*, *Phys. Plasmas* **8**, 2107 (2001)
4. Carralero, D *et al.*, *Nucl. Fusion*, **57**, 056044 (2017)
5. Vianello, N *et al.*, *Nucl. Fusion*, **57**, 056044 (2017)
6. Wynn, A *et al.*, *Nucl. Fusion*, **58**, 056001 (2018)
7. Kuang, A *et al.*, *Nucl. Mater. Energy* **19**, 295 (2019)
8. Muller, H W *et al.*, *Journ. Nucl. Mater.*, **463**, 739 (2015)
9. Harrer, G *et al.*, *Nucl. Fusion*, **58**, 112001 (2018)
10. Tamain, P *et al.*, *J. Nucl. Mater.*, **463**, 450 (2015)

†See the author lists of B. Labit *et al.* 2019 *Nucl. Fusion* 59 086020, †† H. Meyer *et al.* 2019 *Nucl. Fusion* 59 112014 and ††† E. Joffrin *et al.* 2019 *Nucl. Fusion* 59 112021

Country or International Organization

Italy

Affiliation

Consorzio RFX

Primary authors: VIANELLO, Nicola (Consorzio RFX); Dr WALKDEN, Nicholas (UKAEA); DUNNE, Mike (IPP-Garching); Dr LOMANOWSKI, Bartosz (Oak Ridge National Laboratory); WOLFRUM, Elisabeth (Max Planck Institut fuer Plasmaphysik); TSUI, Cedric (University of California San Diego (UCSD)); Dr GRIENER, Michael (Max-Planck-Institute for Plasma Physics); Dr TAL, Balazs (Max-Planck-Institute for Plasma Physics); Mr DANIEL, Refy (Centre for Energy Research, Hungary); Dr BRIDA, Dominik (Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik); Dr CZIEGLER, Istvan (York Plasma Institute, University of York); FÉVRIER, Olivier (Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Swiss Plasma Center (SPC), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland); Mr DE OLIVEIRA, Hugo (Swiss Plasma Center (SPC), EPFL); AGOSTINI, Matteo (Consorzio RFX); ALEIFERIS, Spyridon (National Centre for Scientific Research 'Demokritos', Athens, Greece); BERNERT, Matthias (Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik); Dr BOEDO, J.A. (Department of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering, University of California); Dr BRIX, Mathias (UKAEA); Dr CARRALERO, Daniel (CIEMAT); Dr CARVALHO, Ivo (Instituto de Plasmas e Fusão Nuclear, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal); FRASSINETTI, Lorenzo (KTH, Royal Institute of Technology); Dr GIROUD, Carine (UKAEA); HAKOLA, Antti (VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland Ltd.); HUBER, Alexander (Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Institut für Energie- und Klimaforschung – Plasmaphysik); Dr KARHUNEN, Juuso (Aalto University); Dr KARPUSHOV, A. (SPC-EPFL); Dr LABIT, Benoit (Swiss Plasma Center (SPC), Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL)); Dr MEIGS, Andrew (UKAEA); Prof. NAULIN, Volker (DTU Physik); Dr PEREIRA, Tiago (Instituto de Plasmas e Fusão Nuclear, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal); REIMERDES, Holger (Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Centre de Recherches en Physique des Plasmas); Prof. THEILER, C. (Swiss Plasma Center (SPC), Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL))

Presenter: VIANELLO, Nicola (Consorzio RFX)

Session Classification: P3 Posters 3

Track Classification: Magnetic Fusion Experiments