UKAEA-CCFE-CP(20)103

M J Dunn, T W Morgan, J W Genuit, T Loewenhoff,
A J Thornton, K J Gibson, the Magnum-PSI team

Thermographic Investigation of the Effect of Plasma Exposure on the Surface ofa MAST Upgrade Divertor Tile in Magnum-PSI

Thermographic Investigation of the Effect of Plasma Exposure on the Surface ofa MAST Upgrade Divertor Tile in Magnum-PSI

M J Dunn, T W Morgan, J W Genuit, T Loewenhoff, A J Thornton, K J Gibson, the Magnum-PSI team

This paper has been submitted to
24th International Conference on Plasma Surface Interactions in Controlled Fusion Devices (PSI), South Korea, 24 - 29 January 2021

Thermographic Investigation of the Effect of Plasma Exposure on the Surface of a MAST Upgrade Divertor Tile in Magnum-PSI

M J Dunn[a,b,*], T W Morgan[c], J W Genuit[c], T Loewenhoff[d], A J Thornton[b], K J Gibson[a], the Magnum-PSI team[c]

[a] *York Plasma Institute, Department of Physics, University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD, United Kingdom*
[b] *United Kingdom Atomic Energy Authority, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxfordshire, OX14 3DB, United Kingdom*
[c] *DIFFER - Dutch Institute for Fundamental Energy Research, De Zaale 20, 5612 AJ Eindhoven, the Netherlands*
[d] *Forschungszentrum Jülich, Institut für Energie und Klimaforschung, 52428 Jülich, Germany*

Abstract

One of the issues faced by future fusion devices will be high divertor target heat loads. Alternative divertors can promote detachment, flux expansion and dissipation mechanisms to mitigate these heat loads. They have been investigated in several devices including TCV and DIII-D, and will be investigated on MAST-U. To evaluate their effectiveness, accurate target heat flux and power balance measurements are required in these machines. Infrared (IR) thermography is a widely used technique to determine the target heat flux, but is susceptible to surface effects and emissivity in carbon-walled machines. In this work, the effect of plasma exposure on graphite is assessed to understand what may happen in MAST-U. A sample of fine grain graphite, as used on MAST-U, is exposed to 30 minute plasma exposures, with density $n_e = 6 \times 10^{18}\,\mathrm{m}^{-3}$ and temperature $T_e = 0.08\,\mathrm{eV}$ as measured by Thomson scattering. During these pulses, the temperature is measured by a medium wave IR camera and is seen to decrease by $\approx 70°\mathrm{C}$ over the course of 3 hours of plasma exposure. Pyrometer measurements suggest that the IR camera data is affected by a change in the surface emissivity. Profilometry confirms erosion of graphite at the tile centre to a depth of $\approx 100\,\mu\mathrm{m}$, and a larger region of deposition further out, amounting to $\approx 40\,\mu\mathrm{m}$ of material.

Keywords: Power Balance, Divertor, Infrared thermography

1. Introduction

The problem of handling the extreme heat and particle fluxes to plasma facing components is one of the major challenges facing the design of the next generation of fusion devices. The heat loads associated with ITER [1] and DEMO [2, 3] like devices are at or above the limit of thermal performance of existing materials and, as a result, alternative approaches to divertor geometries are being investigated on devices such as MAST-U [4].

To characterise the performance of different divertor geometries requires a careful accounting of the various power sources and sinks in the tokamak, necessitating the use of many different diagnostic techniques. In particular, the direct measurement of divertor heat load is challenging and is usually obtained either through electrostatic probes, thermocouples, infrared (IR) thermography, or a combination thereof. The last of these approaches is susceptible to interference from surface effects and changes in emissivity, since changes to the surface properties of plasma facing components such as divertor tiles can lead to significant changes to the inferred temperature of the tile surface (and hence the calculated heat flux onto the tile). This is especially true in tokamaks with carbon walls, such as MAST-U.

For this reason, it is important to understand the impact of plasma-surface interactions on the tile surface, and also to monitor how these can affect IR measurements over extended periods of plasma operation. To perform such a systematic study on an actual tokamak device is challenging since the divertor tile surfaces typically evolve over a time period representing many thousands of tokamak pulses, encompassing many different operating scenarios and imposed heat loads.

To address this, in these studies we present initial results from the systematic exposure of a divertor tile from MAST-U on the Magnum-PSI linear plasma device. These experiments have sought to identify changes in the tile surface properties over a period of many hours

*Corresponding author
Email address: `md828@york.ac.uk` (M J Dunn)



Figure 1: A diagram of the experimental setup, showing the position of key diagnostics (dimensions not to scale)

of reproducible plasma operation, and how these can lead to significant changes in the observed heat fluxes inferred from IR measurements.

2. Experimental Method

The tile used was a fine grain graphite tile, identical to those that will be used in the MAST-U divertor. The sample measured approximately 150×150×15 mm. The Magnum-PSI linear plasma device (located at the Dutch Institute For Fundamental Energy Research - DIFFER) can deliver a continuous plasma of $\approx$ 2 cm beam radius (FWHM) to the tile surface for extended periods (several hours if desired), allowing a plasma exposure equivalent to a MAST-U campaign in a single day.

In order to maintain a tile surface temperature comparable to that expected in MAST-U, Magnum-PSI was operated with a magnetic field of 0.5 T, current of 140 A and hydrogen gas flow of 10 standard litres per minute (slm) with 1.5 slm gas puffing near the target. This resulted in plasma electron density of $5-7\times10^{18}\,\mathrm{m}^{-3}$ and electron temperature of 0.07 – 0.09 eV as measured by Thomson Scattering (TS). Numerous other diagnostics were also used, including a FLIR SC7500M infrared camera (filtered at 4 μm), and a FAR SpectroPyrometer FMPI, operating at 900 − 1700 nm (enabling an emissivity-independent temperature measurement). There was also an in-tile thermocouple, located in a hole drilled into the side of the tile to the centre, and a calorimetry system, giving an indication of heat conducted out of the active water cooling system at the back of the tile.

The basic experimental setup for these experiments is shown in figure 1. The TS measurement was taken 81.5 mm from the sample surface in the centre of the plasma column. An indication is also given as to the arrangement of the IR camera and the pyrometer, but the dimensions are not to scale; the viewing angles are approximately 30° from the surface normal.

The tile was exposed to plasma for six 30-minute intervals, with the parameters above including 1.5 slm gas puffing. These 30-minute plasma exposures were continuously monitored by the IR camera at 25 Hz. There were TS measurements at five-minute intervals, each of which was averaged over 100 measurements to minimise the statistical uncertainty of the measurement at such low temperatures and densities.

In order to allow additional temperature measurements with a multiwavelength pyrometer (which operates only at temperatures above 550°C), the tiles were exposed to higher plasma power for 1 minute intervals, in between the longer plasma exposures mentioned above. Because it measures at multiple wavelengths, the pyrometer gives a measurement that is independent of the tile emissivity, and can even be used to measure the emissivity [5]. The higher plasma power to the tiles was achieved by lowering the target gas puffing from 1.5 to 0.5 slm, resulting in plasma conditions of $n_e\approx2\times10^{19}\,\mathrm{m}^{-3}$ and $T_e\approx0.15\,\mathrm{eV}$.

After these experiments had taken place, the tile was sent to Forschungszentrum Jülich, for a surface topology profile to be taken using a laser profilometer with a KF3 sensor from OPM Messtechnik GmbH. This offers insight into whether the observations from the experiments were caused by erosion or deposition (or a combination thereof). The profilometer also reports surface reflectivity, at a wavelength of 670 nm which can be used to validate any conclusions about changes to the tile emissivity.

3. Results

Figure 2 shows the temperature evolution of the tile when it was exposed repeatedly to 30-minute plasma discharges. The temperature at the centre of the beam and at a location 15 mm from the centre of the beam are shown as a function of the plasma exposure time, assuming an emissivity of 0.65. It can be seen that the apparent temperature decreased by about 70°C during this time. The trend is approximately linear, suggesting that it was not approaching a saturation point, but would have continued to decrease for several more hours. The heat flux to the tile has been estimated using the temperature and density measurements from the Thomson scattering measurements, and was approximately constant throughout.



Figure 2: The temperature of certain regions of the tile as measured by the IR camera (labelled by distance from the centre in mm) throughout the long pulses. On the second axis, the heat flux inferred from Thomson scattering, using a similar method to [6] (blue squares) with error estimated from the instrument resolution.



Figure 3: The temperature of certain regions of the tile as measured by the IR camera (labelled by distance from the centre in mm), and also the temperature measured by the multi-wavelength pyrometer (red dots labelled P). On the second axis, the heat flux inferred from Thomson scattering, using a similar method to [6] (blue squares) with error estimated from the instrument resolution.

The results of the short exposures are shown in figure 3. Similar to the long exposures, the infrared camera reports a decrease in surface temperature during (and in some cases between) these shorter pulses, of about 140°C. The heat flux derived from TS data, although it suffers from random noise, had no discernible downward trend. Temperature readings from the pyrometer were available for the short pulses because of the higher temperatures, and are directly comparable with the IR reading of the centre of the plasma. This suggests that the temperature was not actually decreasing. The agreement between IR and pyrometer in the fifth exposure suggests that the emissivity was equal to the assumed value of 0.65 at this time (after 2.5 hours of exposure).

In both figures 2 and 3 the heat flux is calculated using appropriate temperature-dependent values of sheath heat transmission coefficient. These values are unusually high, in the region of $\gamma \approx 100 - 200$, because the non-linear inverse temperature dependence of γ becomes significant at such low temperatures [7]. Two dimensional modelling of the tile temperature evolution shows that this calculated heat flux is too low to reproduce the observed temperature rise of the tile. A simple finite-element model was used, simulating only the first 450 ms of each pulse, which is the time taken for the heat to conduct to the back of the tile, since the model does not account for active cooling. To match the temperature rise seen in the experiment, the required heat flux is of the order $2\,\mathrm{MW\,m^{-2}}$ for the 30-minute exposures and $7\,\mathrm{MW\,m^{-2}}$ for the 1-minute exposures. These calculated values are comparable to the machine input power. However, it is conceivable that the normal method for calculating γ no longer applies at temperatures $< 0.1\,\mathrm{eV}$. The determination of an appropriate value of γ is the subject of ongoing study.

In both experiments, the IR-inferred temperature of the tile was found to decrease during and between plasma exposures, despite the heat flux (inferred from either TS or machine power) remaining constant. Investigation of the rate of cooling at the end of each exposure shows no evidence of the sudden cooling associated with a surface layer [8]. The pyrometer in the short exposures showed no decrease in temperature. Such an inferred decrease in temperature could be explained by a change in tile surface emissivity.

3.1. Post Mortem Analysis

After the experiments had taken place, visible inspection of the tile showed rings of alternating lightening and darkening, as seen in figure 4. These rings are circular, centred around where the plasma was incident on the tile (except the thin outer ring, which is an artefact of



Figure 4: Photograph of the tile surface after the experiments, with lighter and darker rings visible. The red box indicates the portion of the tile shown in the surface profile in figures 5 and 6



Figure 5: The surface reflectivity of part of the tile that was exposed to the plasma (indicated by the red box in figure 4. The measurement was made at 670 nm.



Figure 6: The surface profile of part of the tile that was exposed to the plasma (indicated by the red box in figure 4. Profile (z) resolution is 20 nm averaged over 1 unit of the lateral (x and y) resolution, which is 10 µm.

Figure 7: Some of the tiles in the divertor of MAST during shot 25735. The tile in the centre of the image had just been replaced, and the strike point is very faint on this tile compared to the others. This indicates that the new tile had lower emissivity than those which had been exposed to several campaigns already.

the Magnum-PSI skimmer). The rings are also visible in surface visible light reflectivity measurements, see figure 5. The higher reflectivity measured in the centre of the tile corresponds to the lower emissivity observed in the experiment. A topology profile, given in figure 6, shows that this equates to erosion and deposition. Erosion causes the surface emissivity to lower (it appears lighter) and erosion of up to 100 µm is observed within the FWHM of the plasma column. At such low plasma temperatures and densities, this is likely due to chemical erosion rather than physical sputtering. At the periphery of the plasma, where the plasma power was lower, similar to the MAST divertor, the plasma-surface interactions were deposition dominated, with deposits up to 40 µm thick. This caused the surface to become darker, thereby raising the emissivity in this region. This has been observed before on MAST, see figure 7, and also on ASDEX Upgrade [9].

4. Conclusion

The results presented in this paper suggest that exposure to certain plasma conditions decreases the surface emissivity of the graphite, which reduces the apparent temperature of the tile. Erosion can clearly be seen at the centre of the tile, and therefore no surface layers are deposited there. Further from the centre, there are signs of deposition, similar to what has been observed on the inner divertor of MAST. Further analysis of these experiments will include a focus on these areas of the tile, and what the implications are for MAST-U.

In particular, consideration must then be given to any inaccuracies in the procedure for analysis of MAST-U data which become apparent as a result. This analysis uses a code called THEODOR, and proceeds according to the process described in [10]. It uses a parameter called surface layer coefficient, α, which is a single scalar parameter intended to capture any surface layers created by deposition, defined as $\alpha = \lambda_{layer}/d$ where λ_{layer} is the layer thickness and d is the diffusion coefficient [11]. It does not account for erosion. This greater understanding may lead to a change in the analysis used for MAST-U.

This investigation does not account for the influence of transient loading on the evolution of MAST-U divertor tiles. To examine this further, a second tile was exposed to ELM-like plasma loading in Magnum-PSI [12]. Initial analysis shows similar emissivity decrease as presented here. Further analysis is ongoing.

Acknowledgements

This work was part funded by the UKRI EPSRC Energy Programme [grant numbers EP/T012250/1 and EP/L01663X/1]. DIFFER is part of the institutes organisation of NWO. DIFFER is a partner in the Trilateral Euregio Cluster TEC. This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 and 2019-2020 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

References

[1] Ikeda K. Progress in the ITER Physics Basis. Nuclear Fusion 2007;47(6). URL: http://stacks.iop.org/0029-5515/47/i=6/a=E01.

[2] Wenninger RP, Bernert M, Eich T, Fable E, Federici G, Kallenbach A, et al. DEMO divertor limitations during and in between ELMs. Nuclear Fusion 2014;54(11):114003. URL: http://stacks.iop.org/0029-5515/54/i=11/a=114003.

[3] Asakura N, Shimizu K, Hoshino K, Tobita K, Tokunaga S, Takizuka T. A simulation study of large power handling in the divertor for a Demo reactor. Nuclear Fusion 2013;53(12):123013. URL: https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0029-5515/53/12/123013. doi:10.1088/0029-5515/53/12/123013.

[4] Morris W, Harrison JR, Kirk A, Lipschultz B, Militello F, Moulton D, et al. MAST Upgrade Divertor Facility: A Test Bed for Novel Divertor Solutions. IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science 2018;46(5):1217–26.

[5] Tank V, Dietl H. MULTISPECTRAL INFRARED PYROMETER FOR TEMPE˜TURE MEASUREME˜ WITH AUTOMATIC CORRECTION OF THE INFLUENCE OF EMISSIVITY. Infrared Physics 1990;30(4):331–42.

[6] Morgan T, van den Berg M, De Temmerman G, Bardin S, Aussems D, Pitts R. Power deposition on misaligned castellated tungsten blocks in the Magnum-PSI and Pilot-PSI linear devices. Nuclear Fusion 2017;57(12):126025. URL: https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1741-4326/aa8109. doi:10.1088/1741-4326/aa8109.

[7] Van Den Berg MA, Bystrov K, Pasquet R, Zielinski JJ, De Temmerman G. Thermographic determination of the sheath heat transmission coefficient in a high density plasma. Journal of Nuclear Materials 2013;438(SUPPL). doi:10.1016/j.jnucmat.2013.01.087.

[8] Lott F, Kirk A, Counsell GF, Dowling J, Taylor D, Eich T, et al. Thermographic power accounting in MAST. In: Journal of Nuclear Materials. 2005,doi:10.1016/j.jnucmat.2004.10.053.

[9] Herrmann A, Sieglin B, Faitsch M. Surface temperature measurement of in-vessel components and its real-time validation. Fusion Science and Technology 2016;69(3):569–79. doi:10.13182/FST15-187.

[10] Herrmann A, Junker W, Gunther K, Bosch S, Kaufmann M, Neuhauser J, et al. Energy flux to the ASDEX-Upgrade diverter plates determined by thermography and calorimetry. Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion 1995;37(1):17–29. URL: https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0741-3335/37/1/002. doi:10.1088/0741-3335/37/1/002.

[11] Herrmann A, Team AU. Limitations for Divertor Heat Flux Calculations of Fast Events in Tokamaks. In: Silva C, Varandas C, Campbell D, editors. 28th EPS Conference on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics. Contributed Paper; vol. 25A of *ECA*. Funchal: European Physical Society; 2001, p. 2109–12.

[12] Morgan TW, de Kruif TM, van der Meiden HJ, van den Berg MA, Scholten J, Melissen W, et al. A high-repetition rate edge localised mode replication system for the Magnum-PSI and Pilot-PSI linear devices. Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion 2014;56(9):095004. URL: http://stacks.iop.org/0741-3335/56/i=9/a=095004?key=crossref.13871096e1e8be4ed77490031be37c8d. doi:10.1088/0741-3335/56/9/095004.