UKAEA-CCFE-CP(20)118

E. Organ, S. Ha, J. Holt, G. Keech, J, Keep, C. Shaw,
A. Wilde

Integration of service pipes into the lower port for the DEMO Double Null Concept Design Study

Integration of service pipes into the lower port for the DEMO Double Null Concept Design Study

E. Organ, S. Ha, J. Holt, G. Keech, J, Keep, C. Shaw, A. Wilde

This paper has been submitted to
31st Symposium on Fusion Technology (SOFT), Dubrovnik, Croatia, 20 - 25 September 2020

Integration of service pipes into the lower port for the DEMO Double Null Concept Design Study

E.Organ, J. Holt, S. Ha, J. Keep, A. Wilde, C. Shaw, G. Keech
UKAEA, Culham Science Centre, Oxfordshire, United Kingdom

A study into the viability of a double null concept for DEMO has been undertaken as part of the work to address Key Design Integration Issues within the DEMO powerplant. The objective of this study was to evaluate the feasibility of a double null architecture featuring split blanket segments to enable a wider range of maintenance options.

One of the main challenges found was the integration of the service pipes to provide connections to the cooling and tritium breeding systems for the divertor and breeding blanket. By understanding how these pipes might be integrated, the constraints on key details such as the length of the lower port could be understood. This allowed for progression of other areas of the design and investigations into the remote handling of these pipes during maintenance periods.

The key requirements identified for the service pipe routing included determining the removal sequence for the blanket and divertor segments during maintenance; access for the cutting and welding tool at the pipe/blanket interface; pipes grouped as modules per component; and optimisation of port space for the vacuum pumps.

Two proposals were considered due to their impact on the insertion location for the cutting and welding tool: pipes with large radii, and pipes with smaller radii. The former was found to be preferable due to the remote maintenance advantages, versus the limited space gains offered by the latter. This paper will describe the development of these requirements, both proposals, and discuss the challenges uncovered during the study in more detail.
Key Words: DEMO, Double Null, Service Pipes, Remote Maintenance, Vertical Lower Port

1. Introduction

As part of the ongoing work to address Key Design Integration Issues (KDIIs) within the EUROfusion demonstration powerplant (DEMO), a double null (DN) architecture has been considered (Figure 1-1) [1] [2]. In order to facilitate a range of maintenance possibilities, the pre-concept generated featured split blanket segments and a vertical lower port to allow for further exploration into the value of this port orientation [2].



Figure 1-1: Cross section of proposed Double Null infrastructure with split blankets

By splitting the blankets, the number of service pipes required doubles, and so one of the challenges faced during the development of this DN pre-concept design was the integration of the service pipes. These pipes provide connections to the tritium breeding and cooling systems in sizes ranging from DN80 to DN125. The focus of the work was on the routes of these pipes within the lower port area in order to facilitate the remote maintenance activities for the lower blanket segments and divertor segments, as well as to ensure the space availability for components relevant to the vacuum pumping system. By understanding how the pipes are integrated, constraints on key details such as the length of the lower port and maintenance viability could be understood.



Figure 1-2: Lower port divertor and blanket segments

2. Key Design Requirements

A number of key requirements were identified which directly impacted the design and routing of the lower port pipework.

2.1 Remote Handling/maintenance

Remote handling is one of the most significant requirements, as the lower inboard blankets and the lower outboard blanket and divertor segments need to be periodically removed and replaced/maintained as part of the DEMO maintenance strategy [3] [4]. This must be carried out remotely and, in some cases, the lower port pipes will still be in place, for instance the divertor segments must be removed whilst there are blanket service pipes in place due to the higher maintenance frequency of the divertor [5]. Preferably, the pipe design should not increase the complexity of the manipulations required to remove in-vessel components.

2.2 Access for the Cutting/Welding Tool

The existing design of the pipe cutting and welding tool imposes additional constraints on the pipe routing design. This tool requires any bends to have a minimum

Author's Email: Emily.Organ@ukaea.uk

one and a half metre bend radius [6] to allow it to travel internally along the formed pipe. A design proposed for the upper port pipes utilised tighter bends [4] and had the addition of chutes after the bend to allow for the insertion of the tool. The insertion location for this tool has served as a driving force in the two pipework proposals generated and examined in this study.

2.3 Lower Port Space

Access to the lower blanket and divertor segments is an important requirement to facilitate their remote removal from the port, and for other components, namely the metal foil pump and linear diffusion pump, to be incorporated within or near to the lower port [7] to enhance vacuum pumping performance. These occupy a considerable volume and must be removed to enable divertor and blanket maintenance. The pipework must fit alongside these pumps during operation.

2.4 Pipe Modules

Pipes are to be grouped into modules and removed as one module, as opposed to each pipe being individually removed. The pipes are grouped per blanket or divertor segment as this is the most logical grouping arrangement due to the difference in maintenance periods for the divertor versus the blankets and consequential requirement to be able to remove individual divertor and blanket segments. This concept will aid remote maintenance efficiency during the removal of these pipes and will provide optimal mechanical support to the pipes. Although a consideration, a design concept for the pipe module support was not included as part of this study.

2.5 Mechanical Pipe Connections

Some form of MPC [4] is required to make the connection between the portion of pipe connected to the blanket and divertor segments, and the portion connected to the rest of plant via the pipe chases incorporated into the building. These negate the need for in-vessel welding and allow the pipe modules to be released remotely and removed during maintenance operations. These portions of pipe will be periodically replaced.

2.6 Drain Angle

A drain angle of at least a five-degree declination must be incorporated on the lithium lead drain pipes to allow for adequate draining of the blankets prior to removal of the segments during maintenance. This requirement is specific to the water-cooled lithium lead (WCLL) breeding blanket design, adding an additional challenge to the pipe routing which is not experienced with the helium-cooled pebble bed (HCPB) design.

3. Challenges

To ensure a viable solution was generated for the pipe routing, a WCLL breeding blanket design was assumed as this poses the most challenging scenario in terms of the number of pipes exiting the lower port. This is because the lithium lead drain pipes for the upper blankets must also exit via the lower port to allow them to drain under gravity alone. Conversely, there are fewer pipes exiting the upper port with the WCLL design.

The main challenge with generating a pipe routing concept for the lower port is the quantity of large diameter pipes required to come out of the lower port. Routing the upper blanket drain pipes past the rest of the blanket segments without interfering with the removal of any components from the lower port whilst enabling the remote maintenance strategy added to the challenge.

When designing the pipe routes, the order of removal of all parts had to be considered as some pipes will still be in place when removing in-vessel components.

Finally, the drain pipes for the upper inboard blanket segments are long, roughly eighteen metres to the outboard port wall. These must remain in place during the removal of all other pipes. Space is also required for these to be cut and dropped down to facilitate the removal of the upper inboard blankets from the upper port. The cutting/welding tool must have access along this pipe but may have limitations in its length of travel.

4. Lower Port Pipe Routing Design Concepts

Two concepts for the lower port pipe routing were considered that evaluated different tool entry points and hence minimum pipe radii.

Concept 1 focused on incorporating large bend radii of one and a half metres in the pipe route design to allow the pipe cutting and welding tool to be inserted outside the outboard port wall (Figure 4-1). The tool would travel the length of the pipe up to the pipe cuff to make the connection. This concept incorporates MPCs within the port near the outboard port wall. These allow the latter portion of the pipe to be retracted when released so the main pipe run can be removed. Routes were generated for all pipes and met the key design requirements. Some key space and maintenance advantages were realised with this concept;

- It is possible to route the lower inboard blanket pipes and lower outboard divertor pipes around the outer edges of the port, enhancing the space available in the centre of the port for vacuum pumping equipment and remote maintenance.
- The upper inboard blanket pipes can be routed to one side of the port, alongside the lower inboard pipes, allowing for these pipes to be removed last. Enough space is also available to cut them and drop them down to allow the removal of the upper blankets. However, the challenges associated with the length of these pipes were recognised and investigated further. The solution is discussed further in Section 5.1.
- The upper outboard pipes are routed vertically down before exiting the port with enough clearance (~425mm) above the outboard blanket and divertor pipes to allow the pipes to be cut and dropped down to allow the removal of the upper blanket segments if required.
- All remaining pipes run down the centre of the port but are angled away towards the outboard port wall before dropping vertically down to create a much larger volume within the centre of the port. Again, this helps increase the space for the integration of vacuum pumps and for remote maintenance activities.
- Due to the compatibility of the route with the design of the tool, the cutting/welding tool can be inserted

outside of the port. Therefore, the pipe disconnection is to be completed prior to clearing the rest of the port and as this activity would not be on the maintenance critical path, the availability of the rest of the power plant is increased.



Figure 4-1: Concept 1 – pipes with large bend radii

Concept 2 focused on keeping the pipes as compact as possible using tighter bend radii and including vertical extension pieces to the pipe cuffs for insertion of the cutting/welding tool (Figure 4-3). The pipes are also clamped within the port towards the outboard wall using MPCs. The purpose of investigating this option in the lower port was to see if there were any significant space gains to be made by using small bend radii. Whilst it was found that there were some advantages to this concept, there were some significant challenges identified too;

- There is a vertical space gain of one metre in comparison to Concept 1 due to the tighter bend radii used.
- The path which the cutting/welding tool must travel is much shorter, increasing efficiency and reducing the risk of error. Conversely, the tool cannot be inserted outside the port, and so the port must be adequately clear to allow for the tool to be offered up to the pipe extension. This will likely counteract any gains in maintenance efficiency provided by the reduced length of travel.
- There may be a significant issue of lithium lead congregating in the pipe extensions, impacting deployment of the cutting/welding tool; a solution for this was not generated.
- Without significantly changing the layout of pipe cuffs on the lower inboard blanket segments, it was not possible to incorporate an extension piece for each pipe which allowed clear access for the cutting/welding tool (Figure 4-2). Accessible pipes for that segment could be removed first to allow access to those extensions which are blocked. However, this would require pipes to be grouped into more modules than identified by the design requirements, which specified one module per segment as the optimum for maintenance. The increased steps required to remove the pipes and segments from the port and the ability to incorporate a framework around a larger number of modules are points which would need further investigation if this concept was progressed.



Figure 4-2: Clash of pipe extensions on lower inboard blanket

- Due to the decreased space around the edges of the lower port as a result of having to fan out pipes for the vertical extension pipes there was little space to incorporate the upper inboard blanket pipes. These are removed last and so cannot sit beneath the rest of the pipes. A solution was not found for this problem.
- It was found for the lower outboard blanket pipes that a large bend radius of 1.5 metres was still required as the pipe extension could not be incorporated adjacent to the pipe cuff whilst allowing sufficient space for the insertion of the tool due to clashes with the port wall.
- A solution was generated for the outboard divertor pipes, but the two DN125 pipes made this tight.



Figure 4-3: Concept 2 – pipes with small radii and tooling extensions

Following a constructive design review of the two concepts, there were some clear advantages to progressing Concept 1. There are valuable remote maintenance benefits including the larger available volume for manipulating components in and out of the port, and space to insert the cutting/welding tool outside the port which removes the pipe cutting/welding task from the maintenance critical path. There is also adequate volume for vacuum pumps to be incorporated.

Whilst it was hoped that there would be some spatial advantages with Concept 2, the large number of pipes exiting the lower port with the WCLL design made it difficult to achieve any significant gains. The vacuum closure plate can be moved up approximately one metre due to the more vertically compact pipework. However, as the pipes must be fanned out to allow for the cutting/welding tool pipe extensions, a similar albeit less compact layout to Concept 1 is required, as demonstrated in the comparison shown in Figure 4-4. Consequently, whilst the vertical spatial gain is realised, this is overshadowed by the limitations to the overall compactness of the pipes imposed by the tooling requirements and volume of pipes.



Figure 4-4: Concept 1 (Left, not all pipes are modelled) and Concept 2, shown looking up at lower port

The remote maintenance advantages of Concept 1 greatly outweigh the limited benefits of Concept 2's vertically more compact pipework. Coupled with the remaining challenges associated with Concept 2, Concept 1 is superior.

5. Viability of Larger Bend Radius Concept

To progress Concept 1, further assessment was required in order to confirm both the remote maintenance viability and the structural integrity of such long pipe runs subject to thermal expansion. The eighteen metre upper inboard blanket drain pipes were of particular interest in both cases.

5.1. Remote Maintenance

A significant point of consideration was the removal of the pipes; the concept is only viable if the pipes can be removed remotely. The kinematic path of the pipes was investigated to confirm the viability of handling the pipe geometries proposed remotely. It was found to be possible to handle and remove all pipes, lower blanket and lower divertor segments as per the remote maintenance strategy, apart from the upper inboard blanket pipes. The challenge was that their vertical height was roughly the same as their radial length. The solution was to add a joint approximately half-way along the pipe; the cutting/ welding tool can access this joint due to the large bend radii incorporated in the design.

The space availability for the MPC tooling was also investigated to ensure the latter ends of the pipes could be withdrawn from the port, enabling the pipe modules to be removed. A pipe connection is used per module, with one module consisting of all of the lower port pipes for one blanket/divertor segment. It was found that some of the pipe groups needed to be spaced out to ensure adequate space for tooling. The orientations of the MPCs on the inboard blanket and outer divertor pipe modules was adjusted (Figure 6-1) to enhance accessibility and facilitate the blanket/divertor removal order.

5.2. Expansion Analysis

The spacing of the pipes is dictated by the spacing of the pipe cuffs at the blanket/divertor segment end. They are fixed by the MPCs near the outboard side of the port. A simple finite element analysis was undertaken to give an indication at this conceptual stage that the pipes were flexible enough to be able to deal with the thermal expansion of in-vessel components; the pipes were fixed at the MPC end and given an axial end deflection five millimetres at the blanket/divertor segment end. It was found that there are some areas of high stress, for instance the upper inboard and lower outboard blanket drain pipes see greater deformation and so see higher bending moments in the pipe bends and at the pipe ends where the pipe is not sufficiently flexible, as indicated in Figure 5-1. Generally, the pipe routes appear largely appropriate from the static analysis undertaken, but some refinement to mitigate areas with higher stresses will be needed for future progression of the concept. The dynamic performance of the pipes should also be considered in future work so the suitability of the pipes when subject to seismic events can be assessed.



Figure 5-1: Static structural stress results for lower port pipes

6. Conclusions

The study found that the larger radius pipe route concept (Figure 6-1) had many advantages over the smaller radius pipe route concept which made it more suited to exploiting the limited space of a lower port and in facilitating improved remote maintenance.



Figure 6-1: Final pipe layout proposal for lower port (view looking up the port, port not shown for clarity)

The key point learnt from this design study is that pipe integration in the lower port is not simply a matter of fitting the pipe diameters within the available space. Rather, many additional considerations were found to be significant in specifying the pipe routing and sensibly utilising the lower port space;

- Space for remote maintenance activities and tooling.
- Ability to remove the pipes remotely.
- Manipulation space for large, heavy components.
- Access for the cutting/welding tool.
- Space for vacuum pumping.
- Space for MPCs and their tooling.

Acknowledgements

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 and 2019-2020 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

References

[1] G. Keech, et al., “Issues of the vertical blanket segment architecture in DEMO: Current progress and resolution strategies,” *Fusion Engineering and Design,* vol. 146, no. SI:SOFT-30, pp. 1408-1412, 2019.

[2] C. Bachmann, et al., “Critical design issues in DEMO and solution strategies,” *Fusion Engineering and Design,* vol. 146, no. SI-SOFT-30, pp. 178-181, 2019.

[3] A. Loving, et al., “Pre-conceptual design assessment of DEMO remote maintenance,” *Fusion Engineering and Design*, vol. 89, no. 9-10, pp. 2246-2250, 2014.

[4] O. Crofts, et al., “Overview of progress on the European DEMO remote maintenance strategy,” *Fusion Engineering and Design* , Vols. 109-111 Part B , no. ISFNT-12, pp. 1392-1398, 2016.

[5] O. Crofts and J. Harman, “Maintenance duration estimate for a DEMO fusion power plant, based on the EFDA WP12 pre-conceptual studies,” *Fusion Engineering and Design,* vol. 89, no. 9-10, pp. 2383-2387, 2014.

[6] S. Kirk, et al., “Remote in-bore laser cutting and welding tools for use in future nuclear fusion reactors,” Abingdon, 2018.

[7] T. Giegerich, et al,. “Preliminary configuration of the torus vacuum pumping system installed in the DEMO lower port,” *Fusion Engineering and Design,* vol. 146, no. SI:SOFT-30, pp. 2180-2183, 2019.