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Dear Professor Was,

We would like to submit the enclosed paper, entitled “The Effects of Neutron Irradiation on the Brittle to Ductile Transition in Single-Crystal Tungsten” for your consideration. I have described below the significant results reported in this paper and outlined the reasons for considering this paper for publication in Journal of Nuclear Materials.

Understanding the properties of tungsten under irradiation is a critical area of research for nuclear fusion. In particular, the brittle to ductile transition temperature is of high importance as it will control the minimum operating temperature of tungsten components. This work provides the first full characterisation of the brittle to ductile transition in tungsten following neutron irradiation, establishing both the dramatic increase in temperature of the transition and the activation energy.

Furthermore, transmutation of tungsten contributes significantly to the changes in mechanical properties of tungsten under neutron irradiation. For most irradiation experiments inventory-code-modelling is relied upon to predict the level of transmutation occurring. This work carries out the first experimental verification of this modelling for tungsten using two independent techniques.

The importance of these results grants this paper a high impact potential and the relevance of this work to nuclear fusion applications make this paper highly suitable for Journal of Nuclear Materials.

We hope that you will consider this work and look forward to your response.

Yours sincerely,

Robert Abernethy
Four point bend tests were used to determine the brittle to ductile transition (BDTT) in neutron irradiated tungsten at a range of strain rates. The results showed a dramatic increase in BDTT (400 – 500 K) with no apparent change in activation energy.
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Abstract

Only limited data exist on the effect of neutron irradiation on the brittle to ductile transition (BDT) in tungsten. This work investigates the increase in brittle to ductile transition temperature (BDTT) following neutron irradiation to 1.67 displacements per atom, using four-point bend tests over a range of temperatures (623 – 1173 K) and strain rates (3.5 x 10\textsuperscript{-7} - 2.5 x 10\textsuperscript{-5} s\textsuperscript{-1}). The BDTT was found to increase by 500 K after irradiation. The activation energy for the BDT was determined using Arrhenius analysis of the four-point bend tests. Nanoindentation strain-rate jump tests were used to characterise the activation volume for dislocation motion. These were quantified as 1.05 eV and 18 b\textsuperscript{3} respectively, very close to values found for unirradiated tungsten. This suggests that kink-pair formation is the controlling mechanism for the BDT before and after irradiation. This work also carries out the first verification of inventory-code-modelling (via FISPACT-II) of transmutation of tungsten to rhenium and osmium under neutron irradiation using two independent techniques (X-ray and gamma-ray spectroscopy). These results show that modelling can correctly predict this transmutation, provided that an accurate neutron spectrum is used. This is a critical result given the widespread use of inventory codes such as FISPACT-II, and the associated nuclear data libraries, for modelling transmutation of tungsten.

1. Introduction

Tungsten is the leading candidate as the plasma-facing material for first-wall and divertor applications in future nuclear fusion power plants, due to its high melting point (3695 K), low sputtering rates and good thermal conductivity [1]. However, there are concerns over its mechanical properties, in particular its low formability and high brittle to ductile transition temperature (BDTT) [2]. Work is ongoing for developing manufacturing routes for tungsten components such as cold-working [3] and tungsten composites [4] to improve ductility. These approaches have shown increasing promise in recent years [5][6].

There have been several studies of the fracture properties of tungsten [7][8] including its brittle to ductile transition (BDT), however there has been comparatively little work on the
impact on neutron irradiation on the BDT. The BDT will be critical for determining the minimum operating temperature and lifetime of tungsten within a fusion power plant [9], where tungsten components may be exposed to >20 dpa during operation [10] at temperatures above 1073 K [9]. Even during the planned 14 years of ITER campaigns, tungsten components will be exposed to up to 0.5 displacements per atom (dpa) of irradiation damage [11]; the impact of this on the ductility of tungsten is not yet known. Any decrease in ductility as a result of irradiation may influence both operation of the device and end-of-life handling.

1.1 Brittle to ductile transition in unirradiated single crystal tungsten

The BDT in tungsten is controlled by the capability of dislocation motion to shield the crack tip. Below the BDTT, dislocation motion is limited and as a result the local stress can exceed the cohesive stress resulting in brittle fracture [12]. Above the BDTT, semi-brittle or ductile behaviour is observed with plastic deformation occurring before fracture.

Dislocation motion near a crack tip can be limited either by dislocation nucleation or dislocation mobility. Gumbsch et al. [13][14] showed that the BDT in single crystal tungsten was controlled by dislocation mobility around crack tips, rather than dislocation nucleation at crack tips. In this work the BDTT was measured as 370 – 470 K and the activation energy for the (110)<110> crack system was found to be 0.2 eV. This low activation energy was a consequence of the specific crystal orientation used during these experiments, favouring control of the BDT by the rapid motion of pure edge dislocations. The same controlling mechanism has been observed in ultra-fine grain tungsten [15].

Giannattasio and Roberts [16] investigated the (100)<001> crack system and determined a BDTT range of 390 – 500 K (strain rate dependent) and an activation of 1.0 eV. In this work the same BDTT range and activation energy was found for pure polycrystalline tungsten, showing that this crack system is more representative of bulk tungsten.

Dislocation-dynamics modelling by Tarleton et al. [17] indicated that in the general case the BDT in tungsten is controlled by kink-pair mediated glide of 1/2<111> screw dislocations. This modelling showed that the activation energy for the BDT observed by Giannattasio and Roberts (1.0 eV) is the result of the kink-pair nucleation energy of 1.75 eV being reduced by the internal resolved shear stresses in the crack-tip dislocation arrays (326 MPa with an inferred activation volume of 20 b^3, where b is the magnitude of the Burgers vector).

An alternative interpretation has been provided by recent analysis by Swinburne and Dudarev [18] that predicted a single-kink formation energy is the characteristic activation energy for dislocation motion in microstructures with an obstacle spacing above a well-defined threshold value that is a function of temperature and stress. This threshold distance was shown to range from 10^{-2} to 10^1 µm for bcc iron (which shows similar BDT behaviour to that of tungsten) at realistic stresses and temperatures.

The material investigated by Giannattasio and Roberts was well-annealed single-crystal. This suggests that the obstacle spacing should be above the threshold distance and so, according to Swinburne and Dudarev, a single kink energy close to 1 eV should be observed. This analysis agrees with the activation energy measured experimentally by Giannattasio and Roberts [16], if the energy contribution from stress acting on the dislocation is considered negligible. The relevance of this interpretation to this work is discussed further in section 1.4.
1.2 Effect of radiation damage on tungsten

One of the critical outstanding challenges for fusion materials is understanding the effects of neutron radiation on tungsten [5][19]. Within a fusion environment tungsten will be exposed to a high flux (up to $7 \times 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) of high energy neutrons (up to 14 MeV) in addition to extreme heat fluxes (with peak loads of 10 - 20 MW m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$[20]) and implantation of hydrogen and helium ash ejected from the plasma. Interaction of the neutrons with tungsten nuclei causes transmutation of tungsten (predominantly to rhenium, osmium and tantalum [21]) and the kinetic energy of the neutron causes collision cascades, resulting in the formation of excess vacancies and interstitials [22]. This excess of point defects results in the formation of dislocation loops and voids [23], and facilitates radiation enhanced segregation resulting in W-Re-Os precipitate formation [24][25]. This damage has been shown to result in significant hardening [26].

Due to the formation of W-Re-Os precipitates, accurate calculation of irradiation-induced transmutation is critical for understanding changes in properties under neutron irradiation [27]. The transmutation level is commonly calculated using FISPACT-II or similar inventory codes, but no experimental validation of these calculations from neutron irradiation of tungsten is present in the literature. This work will employ X-ray and gamma-ray spectroscopy in order to verify FISPACT-II modelling results.

1.3 The effect of irradiation on the BDT in tungsten

Table 1 summarises the available data for the effects of neutron irradiation on fracture properties in tungsten. All the experiments were carried out on well-annealed pure tungsten so the BDTT before irradiation would be expected to be around 400 – 500 K as established by Giannattasio and Roberts [16]. The tests were all carried out using tensile testing and the inferred BDTT was taken as the lowest ductile (>5% strain before fracture) test temperature. The results show a decrease in ductility and a significant increase in the BDTT with irradiation [28]. However, no clear dose dependence could be established and the impact of neutron irradiation would be expected to vary with irradiation temperature [29].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Neutron Fluence(s) / m$^{-2}$</th>
<th>Irradiation Temperature / K</th>
<th>Strain rate / s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>BDTT before irradiation / K</th>
<th>Inferred BDTT / K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steichen [28]</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{25}$ (E &gt; 0.1 MeV)</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$9 \times 10^{25}$ (E &gt; 0.1 MeV)</td>
<td>655</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rau et al. [30]</td>
<td>$5.9 \times 10^{22}$ (E &gt; 1 MeV)</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>&lt; 673</td>
<td>&lt; 673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$3.8 \times 10^{23}$ (E &gt; 1 MeV)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^{25}$ (E &gt; 1 MeV)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 673</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
These data are rather limited in several ways: firstly, all the irradiation temperatures are significantly below those expected in a fusion environment (>1073 K) [32]; secondly, only neutron fluences were considered and damage estimates in dpa are not possible from the data provided; finally, there is a lack of analysis of the BDT, only very approximate BDTT values could be inferred from the data and there is no analysis of the strain rate dependence. Only Steichen [28] carried out mechanical testing at multiple strain rates and the BDTT cannot be identified at most strain rates from the data in this work.

It is generally assumed that tungsten will become more brittle after even low dose irradiation [33]. While a small degree of embrittlement might not affect the operational lifetime of ITER, DEMO and future power reactors will have to operate reliably for much longer periods (decades) and to much higher radiation doses [34]. For example, the “second” tritium breeder blanket of DEMO is required to last for almost 15 years and withstand up to 50 dpa [35] (in steel; the value for tungsten would still be of the order of 30 dpa). A greater understanding of the impact of neutron irradiation on the BDT is required if tungsten is to be used as a plasma-facing material.

The experiments described in this paper are the first to characterise fully the increase in BDT following neutron irradiation and its underlying mechanisms.

1.4 Modelling the irradiation induced increase in BDTT

As discussed above, irradiation damage will introduce voids, precipitates and dislocations loops in tungsten. These will act as obstacles to dislocation motion. The model by Swinburne and Dudarev predicts that if this obstacle spacing decreases sufficiently then a doubling of the BDTT would be expected following irradiation (from around 400 K to 800 K), along with a doubling of the activation energy from a single to double kink formation.

An alternative explanation for the increase in BDTT in Fe-2.5Cr (also BCC) was proposed by Yi and Robertson [36] based upon dislocation dynamics modelling. Under this model, cross-slip of dislocations is required to unpin dislocations from irradiation-induced obstacles. This significantly reduces the effective dislocation mobility. Since dislocation mobility is temperature dependent this decrease in dislocation mobility can be described as a defect induced apparent temperature shift (ΔDIAT). ΔDIAT was shown to match the change in BDTT for several experiments on Fe-Cr.

Similar to the Swinburne and Dudarev model the BDTT would be expected to increase with irradiation induced defect density. However, the activation energy for the BDT in tungsten would not be expected to change following irradiation, as thermally activated slip of 1/2<111> screw dislocations (controlled by double kink formation) remains the controlling mechanism.

The work in this paper aims to establish the activation energy of the BDT after irradiation and so will provide evidence to distinguish between these models.
2. Experimental
2.1 Sample preparation

Single crystals of commercially pure W were sourced from Metal Crystals and Oxides Limited, Cambridge UK. Frost these, samples (1 x 1 x 12 mm bars) were prepared for four-point bend tests, as described in previous work [16], with sharp pre-cracks of 60 µm depth and a [100] <001> crack system. The cracks produced by this preparation technique were characterised by Murphy et al. [37]. The samples were irradiated in the High Flux Reactor at Petten under Extremat II [38] (as described by Klimenkov et al. [39]). The irradiation took place at 900 °C and lasted for 8 cycles (208 full power days) in positions C7 and C3, in Extremat II drums 3 and 4. The neutron flux was $6.8 \times 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ($3.6 \times 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $E > 0.1 \text{ MeV}$) for the first location (148 full power days) and $6.6 \times 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ($3.4 \times 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $E > 0.1 \text{ MeV}$) for the second location (60 full power days), giving a total fluence of $1.21 \times 10^{26} \text{ m}^{-2}$ ($6.5 \times 10^{25} \text{ m}^{-2}$, $E > 0.1 \text{ MeV}$).

2.2 Damage and transmutation

Modelling of the irradiation was carried out using the FISPACT-II inventory code [40][41], which simulated the change in composition (transmutation) and predicted the damage (dpa) levels within the sample during the 208 days of exposure [39][42]. This was carried out using a calculated spectrum, provided by NRG, Petten [43], which considered nearby thermal neutron absorbing experiments. The calculated transmutation level was compared to that measured using energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) using a Mira3 XMH scanning electron microscope with an Oxford Instruments X-Max 80 EDS detector at the Materials Research Facility at CCFE. The EDS spectra were taken from a neutron irradiated sample and a standard W - 5wt% Re sample. Figure 1a shows the recorded raw EDS spectrum. The rhenium and tungsten Lα peak sizes were compared to calculate the level of rhenium present in the neutron irradiated samples. Figure 1b shows the fitted Gaussians to the tungsten and rhenium peaks after subtraction of the X-ray background from the raw data.

Damage calculations (obtained from FISPACT-II using a threshold displacement energy $E_d = 55 \text{ ev}$ [44]) indicated that the average across the samples was 1.67 dpa, at a dose rate of $9.3 \times 10^8 \text{ dpa/s}$. The calculated final composition of the samples was 98.5 wt% W, 1.4 wt% Re and 0.1 wt% Os. The EDS analysis indicated a composition of $1.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ wt\% Re}$ and $0.1 \text{ wt\% Os}$, in good agreement with the FISPACT-II results. No segregation of Re or Os could be detected using SEM techniques; however, previously published analysis of identically irradiated W samples using EDS in the TEM has shown the presence of Re precipitates following the irradiation [39]. Note that the FISPACT-II calculations do not currently propagate the inherent nuclear data (TENDL-2017 [45]) uncertainties to transmutation rates, although the typical % errors on the reaction-rates of the key neutron capture and multiplication reactions (see [42]) are 5-10%.

An additional comparison between the inventory simulations and the experiment was obtained via two gamma spectroscopy measurements of the samples carried out in the ADRIANA laboratory at CCFE using the Canberra Broad Energy Germanium (BEGe) and Small Anode Germanium (SAGe) well-type high purity germanium detectors [46]. Canberra’s Genie 2000 software [47], was used to perform photopeak analysis on two gamma lines; one from $^{186}\text{Re}$ at 137 keV and another from $^{186m}\text{Re}$ at 99 keV. Figure 1c shows the gamma spectrum measured using the SAGe detector approximately 9 years after the end of the irradiation at Petten. The sample geometry was explicitly modelled for each measurement,
and validated MCNP [48] models were used to calculate the photopeak efficiencies for each gamma line studied. A 15 cm mounted detection geometry was used with an acquisition time of 474 hours on the BEGe detector, and an in-well geometry was used on the SAGe detector, with an acquisition time of 235 hours. Specific activities for identified nuclides were then calculated and compared to results from FISPACT II modelling.

The activity measured for the primary detected isotope, $^{186}$Re, via the 137 keV peak, was $187 \pm 2$ Bq g$^{-1}$ (the average of the two detector measurements, with uncertainties based on counting statistics). Measurement of the 99 keV peak for $^{186m}$Re was only possible in the low-background, high-geometric efficiency conditions of the SAGe detector; the half-life of $^{186m}$Re is $2 \times 10^5$ years compared to only 3.7 days for $^{186}$Re, and so high detection efficiency and low signal-to-noise ratio are required to produce a meaningful result. The direct $^{186m}$Re activity was found to be $200 \pm 9$ Bq g$^{-1}$.

The decay-corrected (to account for the ~9 years of cooling) activity calculated by FISPACT-II for both $^{186}$Re and $^{186m}$Re was 95 Bq g$^{-1}$, with a nuclear data uncertainty of around 7% (this does not include uncertainties associated with the MCNP modelling or the experiment). Note that after such a long period of cooling the two nuclides are in secular equilibrium since all the original (created under irradiation) $^{186}$Re has long since decayed away and only the residual activity feeding from $^{186m}$Re remains – hence they have identical activity, as predicted in FISPACT-II simulations.

Figure 1d shows the nuclear reaction cross section (xs) data associated with the neutron capture cross sections to produce 186 isotopes from $^{185}$Re (one of the main stable isotopes produced via transmutation of W). The figure shows that the available experimental data (from EXFOR) is well captured with the production of $^{186}$Re. However, this is not important in this case because only the $^{186m}$Re produced during irradiation remains. Clearly, the cross section associated with producing this metastable radioisotope (the blue curve in Figure 1d) is more uncertain – there is very little experimental data measuring this minor channel, which is understandable given the difficult-to-measure long half-life of this nuclide and the fact that more than 99% of the neutron capture (n,γ) on $^{185}$Re is associated with $^{186}$Re at sub-keV neutron energies. As the cumulative reaction rate (RR) plot in Figure 1e shows, the majority of the 186 production is governed by the giant resonance in the cross section at around 2 eV (around 70% of the total RR comes from neutron at this narrow energy). Thus, the results are extremely sensitive to the precise attribution of the cross section in this resonance to the two 186 radioisotopes.
Figure 1 Transmutation and γ-spectroscopy analysis of the samples. a) raw EDS X-ray spectrum. b) zoomed portion of X-ray spectrum showing Gaussian fit to W-peak at ~8.4 keV and Re peak at 8.65 keV with the comparative areas under each curve indicating the amount of Re in the sample. Note that in (b) the data first had the background counts removed via analysis of the background in the range 8-9 keV for the data in (a). (c) γ-spectroscopy measurements of irradiated samples showing the full spectrum (inset) and the region below 200 keV with the peaks associated with Re186 activity highlighted. (d) Nuclear cross section (xs) data for neutron capture on Re185 for production of Re186 and its metastable state Re186m. The data is compared to the available experimental measurements from the EXFOR international database and the simulated HFR flux spectrum experienced by the samples is shown for reference using the right-hand y-axis scale. “per leth.” or per lethargy refers to the standard practice of dividing the flux by the logarithm of the energy bin width to remove bias caused when bins have large variation. (e) shows the cumulative reaction rate (RR) of the two neutron capture reactions under the HFR spectrum. See main text for more details.
2.3 Four-point bend tests

![Diagram of four-point bend test](image)

*Figure 2 Geometry of four-point bend tests of 1 x 1 mm cross-section samples. The samples were loaded such that the notch and pre-cracks were on the tensile face of the specimen.*

The BDT was characterised using four-point bend tests carried out using a molybdenum rig within a vacuum furnace in the Fusion Materials Laboratory at Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT). The temperature was monitored throughout the tests using thermocouples placed close to the samples. Surface tensile stresses $\sigma$ were calculated using equation (1):

$$\sigma = 3 \frac{P}{2} \frac{(L-a)}{w \ t^2}, \quad (1)$$

where $P$ is the applied load, $L$ and $a$ are the outer and inner load spans (10 mm and 5 mm respectively), and $w$ and $t$ are the width and thickness of the beam (both 1 mm).

Surface strain rates were calculated from loading rates in the elastic portion of the loading curve, assuming an elastic modulus of 400 GPa. The tests were carried out under cross-head displacement control at four constant displacement rates, shown in Table 2.

Samples that showed > 10% strain before fracture were classified as ductile. The fracture surfaces from the four-point bend tests were characterised using SEM at KIT. This allowed confirmation of a sample as either brittle to ductile, giving further confidence in the transition temperature for each strain rate, and allowed comparison of the fracture surfaces from irradiated and unirradiated samples.
The results from four-point bend tests at each strain rate are shown in Figure 3. At each strain rate, with increasing temperature, a distinct transition from brittle to ductile behaviour was observed. The brittle-ductile transition temperatures (BDTT), taken to be the lowest ductile temperature for each strain rate, are shown in Table 2; BDTT increased with increasing strain rate. Figure 4 compares the BDTT values from these experiments on irradiated tungsten single crystals with those found for unirradiated W single crystal tested using the same method [16]. Where data are available at a comparable strain rate (≈3.5x10^{-7} s^{-1}), neutron irradiation to 1.67 dpa has caused the BDTT to increase by ≈500 K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Displacement Rate (mm min^{-1})</th>
<th>Surface Strain Rate (s^{-1})</th>
<th>Stress intensity factor rate, $\dot{K}_I$ / MPa m^{1/2} s^{-1}</th>
<th>BDTT (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>3.5 x 10^{-7}</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>723 ± 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>7 x 10^{-7}</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>773 ± 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>7 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>898 ± 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>2.5 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>973 ± 50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Displacement rates, calculated surface strain rates and brittle-ductile transition temperatures for four-point bend tests on neutron-irradiated tungsten.
Figure 4 Comparison of BDTT at a range of strain rates for unirradiated W [7] and neutron irradiated W. Error bars were calculated as the temperature gap between highest brittle test temperature and lowest ductile test temperature.

Figure 5 Arrhenius plot comparing activation energy of BDT in unirradiated W and neutron irradiated W.

For unirradiated tungsten, the transition temperature ($T_{BDT}$) – strain rate ($\dot{\varepsilon}$) relationship was found to follow an Arrhenius relation: equation 2, where $A$ is a constant and $k$ is the
Boltzmann constant; the associated activation energy, $E_{BDT}$, was found to be $1.0 \pm 0.05$ eV [16,49].

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = A \exp \left( \frac{-E_{BDT}}{kT_{BDT}} \right)$$

The variation of BDTT with strain rate for irradiated tungsten was also found to follow this relation (Figure 5), with an activation energy of $1.05 \pm 0.3$ eV, similar to the value for unirradiated tungsten.

Figure 6 and 7 show SEM micrographs of fracture surfaces are shown for unirradiated [16] and irradiated samples. In both specimen types, at temperatures far below the BDT, fracture is by cleavage on the [001] plane, with little visible deformation, whereas at temperatures close to the BDT rough fracture surfaces with characteristic river lines are observed. Above the BDT, fracture surfaces show significant macroscale deformation; here fracture occurred at strains greater than 10%. The notch and pre-crack are labelled in figure 6b and were measured as having depths of 25 µm and 35 µm respectively, agreeing with the values measured during the testing of unirradiated material.
Figure 6 SEM fractography showing (a) Low ductility observed in unirradiated sample fracture at 77 K with a strain rate of $7 \times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$ [16], (b) Low ductility observed in neutron irradiated sample fracture at 473 K with a strain rate of $7 \times 10^{-7}$ s$^{-1}$, (c) River lines observed in sample fractured at 478 K with a strain rate of $7 \times 10^{4}$ s$^{-1}$ (close to BDT) [16], and (d) River lines observed in sample fractured at 723 K with a strain rate of $3.5 \times 10^{7}$ s$^{-1}$ (close to BDT).

Figure 7 Low magnification image showing large ductility of neutron irradiated sample before fracture at 823 K with a strain rate of $7 \times 10^{7}$ s$^{-1}$.

Figure 8 Hardness versus depth for strain-rate jump tests. The change in hardness corresponding with each decrease and increase in strain rate can be clearly observed. The base strain rate was 0.1 s$^{-1}$ with lower strain rates of 0.047, 0.022 and 0.01 s$^{-1}$. 

Figure 8 Hardness versus depth for strain-rate jump tests. The change in hardness corresponding with each decrease and increase in strain rate can be clearly observed. The base strain rate was 0.1 s$^{-1}$ with lower strain rates of 0.047, 0.022 and 0.01 s$^{-1}$.
2.4 Nanoindentation: strain-rate jumps.

Nanoindentation of both unirradiated and neutron irradiated tungsten was carried out with a Berkovich tip using a Nanoindenter XP (MTS Systems Corporation, Oak Ridge, TN) within the Materials Research Facility at Culham Centre for Fusion Energy. The continuous stiffness measurement technique was used with an oscillation of 2 nm at 45 Hz. Indents were made to a depth of 2000 nm at a target strain rate of 0.05 s\(^{-1}\). Hardness values (averaged over the indentation depth range 800-1800 nm) were 5.0 \(\pm 0.1\) GPa for unirradiated tungsten and 7.2 \(\pm 0.1\) GPa for irradiated tungsten.

The indentation strain rate sensitivity was measured using the method described by Maier et al. [50]. Four different strain rates were used between 0.01 and 0.1 s\(^{-1}\) at indentation depths between 1200 and 2400 nm. A hardness response curve is shown in Figure 8.

![Figure 8](image)

**Figure 8** Hardness response curve for unirradiated and neutron irradiated tungsten single crystal.

Figure 9 shows hardness results from strain-rate jump tests. These results were analysed using equations 3 and 4 [50], where \(m\) = strain-rate sensitivity, \(H\) = Hardness, \(V\) = activation volume for plastic deformation, \(\sigma_f\) = flow stress and \(b\) = magnitude of the Burgers vector.

The results from this analysis are shown in Table 3. The activation volume for unirradiated tungsten of 22 b\(^3\) agrees well with results from previous strain-rate change experiments [51] and values used in modelling of the BDT [17]. The activation volume does not appear to have changed significantly following irradiation.

\[
m_{\text{indentation}} = \frac{d (\ln H)}{d (\ln (\epsilon))}
\]

(3)

\[
m = \frac{\sqrt{3} k T}{V.\sigma_f} \approx \frac{3\sqrt{3} k T}{V. H}
\]

(4)
Table 3 Strain rate sensitivity and activation volume values calculated from strain-rate jump test nanoindentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$m$</th>
<th>$V / m^3$</th>
<th>$V / b^3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unirradiated</td>
<td>0.038 ± 0.004</td>
<td>$4.6 \times 10^{-28}$</td>
<td>22 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutron Irradiated</td>
<td>0.030 ± 0.007</td>
<td>$3.7 \times 10^{-28}$</td>
<td>18 ± 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Discussion

3.1 Transmutation

There is good agreement between the measured rhenium content from EDS, and the level predicted from FISPACT-II inventory modelling. This provides evidence that, with an accurate spectrum, computational modelling can be reliably used to predict transmutation. However, it is essential that an accurate spectrum is used. The initial modelling for this work used an average spectrum for the reactor, resulting in a large error in estimation of transmutation [42]. Only once a location specific spectrum was used, was good agreement between experiment and modelling achieved.

There is a factor of two difference between the simulation-predicted activity of $^{186}\text{Re}/^{186m}\text{Re}$ and that measured by gamma-ray spectroscopy. While this at first appears concerning in light of the excellent agreement with the simulated and measured total Re production, there are a number of possible explanations. Firstly, the concentrations of these $^{186}$ radioisotopes in comparison to the main Re transmutation products are very low; for example, around $10^5$ times more atoms of $^{187}\text{Re}$ are predicted compared to the slowly decaying $^{186m}\text{Re}$. Thus a discrepancy between the model and the gamma spectroscopy result does not necessarily correlate to a significant error in overall transmutation. Secondly, and more importantly, there is large uncertainty in the nuclear reaction data to produce $^{186m}\text{Re}$, which is the nuclide being measured (regardless of production rate for the short-lived $^{186}\text{Re}$). As Figure 1d and e showed, there is considerable reason to doubt the amount of $^{186m}\text{Re}$ predicted by the simulations and thus a factor of two is not unreasonable.

3.2 Irradiation hardening

The results from nanoindentation in this experiment are compared to the previous results from neutron irradiation of single crystal tungsten at similar temperatures in table 4. Similar irradiation hardening was observed in this experiment as in previous experiments. The irradiation hardening measured in this work is slightly lower than that measured following irradiation to 0.7 dpa in HFIR. This is most likely caused by differences in irradiation spectrum, which have previously been shown to have a strong impact on the hardening observed in tungsten [52].

The neutron spectrum in HFIR has a strong thermal component and so causes significantly more transmutation (initial rate of 3.4 %Re dpa$^{-1}$) than that characterised in this work (0.8 %Re dpa$^{-1}$). For comparison transmutation in DEMO is predicted at a rate of 0.1 %Re dpa$^{-1}$ [53]. As a result a greater density of rhenium precipitates is expected in the material analysed by Fukuda et al. [54] causing greater hardening.

Results from ion irradiation of tungsten from Armstrong et al. [55] are also included for comparison in table 4. The material investigated in that work was composed of large grains (> 50 µm) and was analysed using nanoindentation. As a result, this material is largely equivalent to single crystal. It is clear from the comparison of these results that neutron irradiation has caused significantly greater hardening than ion irradiation. This effect has been highlighted previously [19] and is likely to be associated with the lack of transmutation from ion irradiation.
However, ion irradiation of W-5Re to 1.2 dpa at 573 K produced only 0.85 GPa of irradiation hardening [55]. That work showed that rhenium clusters were not formed until 33 dpa under ion irradiation, whereas they are commonly observed under neutron irradiation below 1 dpa [19]. This difference in irradiation effects requires further investigation if ion irradiation is to be used to replicate neutron damage in tungsten.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactor</th>
<th>Fluence / m(^{-2}) (E &gt; 0.1 MeV)</th>
<th>Dose / dpa</th>
<th>Temperature / K</th>
<th>Irradiation Hardening / GPa</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HFR, Petten</td>
<td>6.5 (\times) 10(^{25})</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Current study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFIR, Oak Ridge</td>
<td>5.0 (\times) 10(^{24})</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1073</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFIR, Oak Ridge</td>
<td>2.2 (\times) 10(^{25})</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>983</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFIR, Oak Ridge</td>
<td>9.0 (\times) 10(^{25})</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Ion Beam Centre, Surrey</td>
<td>1.0 (\times) 10(^{18}) (W(^{+}) ions)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>[55]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Irradiation hardening from this experiment compared to other values for single crystal tungsten from Fukuda et al. [52] and Armstrong et al. [55]

### 3.3 Brittle to ductile transition

The brittle to ductile transition temperature for single crystal tungsten increased by around 400 K after irradiation to 1.67 dpa. This has significant connotations for future reactor designs. This result correlates well with large increases in hardness observed in this work and elsewhere after neutron irradiation. It also agrees with the limited results available from previous experiments as presented in Table 1.

Neutron irradiated BDTT data are only available for a small range of conditions for BCC metals. These results are compared in Figure 10 with BDTT plotted as a proportion of melting point for each metal. This shows that generally unirradiated BCC metals have a BDTT of around 0.1 T\(_{m}\) that increases to 0.2-0.3 T\(_{m}\) following neutron irradiation. None of these other experiments on neutron irradiated BCC metals characterised values beyond the increase in BDTT so the underlying cause of this increase remains somewhat unclear.
In the experiments report here on initially pure tungsten, neither the activation energy for the BDT, nor the activation volume for dislocation motion during indentation appear to have changed significantly following neutron irradiation. This strongly suggests that the activation mechanism for dislocation mobility has not changed following neutron irradiation. This is despite the presence of voids (diameter ≈ 5 nm, number density ≈ 2.5 x 10^{21} m^{-3}) and precipitates (size ≈ 3 x 15 nm, number density ≈ 5 x 10^{21} m^{-3}) observed within the microstructure by Klimenkov et al. [39].

The approximate doubling of the BDTT from ≈450 K to ≈900 K (depending on strain rate) following neutron irradiation initially appears to agree with the prediction from the model proposed by Swinburne and Dudarev [11]. However, an increase in activation energy from a single kink to double kink energy would be expected and this has not been observed experimentally.

An alternative explanation is that a double kink activation mechanism is acting in the unirradiated and irradiated material. This agrees with the dislocation dynamics modelling carried out by Tarleton and Roberts [17], with the effect of stress acting on the activation volume of the dislocation accounting for the difference between the observed activation energy (1.05 eV) and the theoretical value of 1.75 eV. This conclusion is supported by the activation volume measured in this work (20 b^3) closely matching that determined by Tarleton and Roberts.

In this case, an explanation for the large increase in BDTT without an increase in activation energy is required. The TEM evidence published by Klimenkov et al. [39] shows that a high density of voids and precipitates are present. These defects would significantly reduce the effective dislocation velocity, according to the model suggested by Yi and Robertson [36]. This would result in an increased BDTT, while retaining the kink-pair
activation energy. However, further modelling is required to understand whether this mechanism can explain the large increase in BDTT observed in this work.

Understanding the underlying BDT mechanism is critical for predicting how the BDTT will change under irradiated to greater dpa. Data from irradiation of Eurofer-97 shows that the increase in BDTT does not plateau until > 10 dpa [56]. This suggests that under fusion conditions, the BDTT of tungsten could increase beyond the values measured in this work.

4. Conclusions

The level of transmutation after irradiation to 1.7 dpa was measured at 1.2 wt% Re, in good agreement with FISPACT-II models, which also matched gamma spectroscopy results to a reasonable degree of accuracy. This is a useful and rare validation of inventory simulations with FISPACT-II and gives confidence in a computational approach that is used widely for analysing transmutation in fission irradiation experiments and for predicting the behaviour of tungsten in future fusion devices.

Four-point bend tests over a range of temperatures and strain rates show that neutron irradiation to 1.7 dpa increases the BDTT relative to that for unirradiated tungsten by approximately 500 K at a strain rate of $3 \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$. This is a critical result for the design of future fusion devices.

Arrhenius analysis of these results shows that the observed activation energy associated with the BDTT has not changed significantly due to irradiation, remaining close to 1.0 eV. This has been identified as the activation energy for motion of 1/2<111> screw dislocations controlling the BDT. Nanoindentation strain-rate jump tests show that the activation volume for dislocation motion has not changed significantly following neutron irradiation.

These results strongly suggest that the controlling mechanism for the BDT in tungsten (the mobility of dislocations near the crack tip) has not changed following neutron irradiation, despite the presence of very fine cavities and precipitates observed by TEM [39]. These results disagree with the latest modelling of the changes in brittle to ductile transition following neutron irradiation [18], which predict a doubling of the activation energy. Instead, a decrease in effective dislocation velocity due to the increased obstacle density is a possible explanation for the large increase in BDTT but further modelling is required.

5. Data Availability

The raw data required to reproduce these findings are available to download from http://dx.doi.org/10.17632/xdftk2np78. The processed data required to reproduce these findings are available to download from http://dx.doi.org/10.17632/8xxw6xtfgr.
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