



UKAEA-RACE-PR(22)06

Alice Cryer, Alfie Sargent, Fumiaki Abe, Paul Dominick Baniqued, Ipek Caliskanelli, Hasan Kivrak, Hanlin Niu, Salvador Pacheco-Gutierrez, Alexandros Plianos, Masaki Sakamoto, Tomoki Sakaue, Wataru Sato, Shu Shirai, Yoshimasa Sugawara, Harun Tugal, Myles Verdon, Andika Yudha, Robert Skilton

Digital Mock-Ups for Nuclear Decommissioning: A survey on existing simulation tools for industry applications

Enquiries about copyright and reproduction should in the first instance be addressed to the UKAEA Publications Officer, Culham Science Centre, Building K1/O/83 Abingdon, Oxfordshire, OX14 3DB, UK. The United Kingdom Atomic Energy Authority is the copyright holder.

The contents of this document and all other UKAEA Preprints, Reports and Conference Papers are available to view online free at scientific-publications.ukaea.uk/

Digital Mock-Ups for Nuclear Decommissioning: A survey on existing simulation tools for industry applications

Alice Cryer, Alfie Sargent, Fumiaki Abe, Paul Dominick Baniqued, Ipek Caliskanelli, Hasan Kivrak, Hanlin Niu, Salvador Pacheco-Gutierrez, Alexandros Plianos, Masaki Sakamoto, Tomoki Sakaue, Wataru Sato, Shu Shirai, Yoshimasa Sugawara, Harun Tugal, Myles Verdon, Andika Yudha, Robert Skilton

Digital Mock-Ups for Nuclear Decommissioning: A survey on existing simulation tools for industry applications

Alice Cryer^{1,*}, Alfie Sargent¹, Fumiaki Abe², Paul Dominick Baniqued³, Ipek Caliskanelli¹, Hasan Kivrak³, Hanlin Niu³, Salvador Pacheco-Gutierrez¹, Alexandros Plianos¹, Masaki Sakamoto², Tomoki Sakaue², Wataru Sato², Shu Shirai², Yoshimasa Sugawara², Harun Tugal¹, Andika Yudha¹ and Robert Skilton¹

¹ Remote Applications in Challenging Environments (RACE), UK Atomic Energy Authority, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxfordshire, United Kingdom

² TEPCO, 1-1-3 Uchisaiwai-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan

³ University of Manchester, Oxford Rd, Manchester, United Kingdom

Correspondence*:

Alice Cryer

alice.cryer@ukaea.uk

2 ABSTRACT

3 The maturation of Virtual Reality software introduces new avenues of nuclear decommissioning
4 research. Digital Mockups are an emerging technology which provide a virtual representation of
5 the environment, objects or processes, supporting the whole lifecycle of product development
6 and operations. This paper provides a survey on currently available simulation tools to design
7 digital mock-ups required for safe remote decommissioning activities in the nuclear industry. The
8 survey looks at eleven simulation tools; Coppeliasim, Gazebo, Ignition, Nvidia Omniverse Isaac
9 Sim, WeBots, Choreonoid, AGX Dynamics, MORSE, VR4Robots, RoboDK, and Toia. Using the
10 available documentation, the different capabilities of these software packages were assessed for
11 their suitability to nuclear decommissioning; such as environment simulation, haptic interfaces,
12 and general usability.

1 INTRODUCTION

13 Accomplishing safe and effective nuclear decommissioning is an ongoing global challenge. The ALARA
14 (as low as reasonably achievable) principle is a key concept in intervention planning, requiring constant
15 research into new techniques to reduce occupational exposure to radiation. One major technique is the
16 deployment of robotic solutions into the decommissioning environment instead of a human worker. This is
17 also called *remote handling*, and is a cornerstone of the modern nuclear decommissioning process. However
18 this approach introduces new challenges that must be taken in consideration when designing a suitable
19 remote handling system:

- 20 • A significant amount of background radiation must be anticipated, and robotic manipulators could be
21 in direct contact with radioactive sources. This means that robotic components must be either made to
22 be radiation tolerant, and/or be easily replaceable in case of failure. If the latter, considerations must
23 be made for how this maintenance would be carried out, as human presence in high radiation areas is
24 limited.
- 25 • The environment of decommissioning sites is often unstructured, for example the Fukushima Daiichi
26 Power Plant after the nuclear disaster. This requires robotic systems to include sensors to map their
27 surroundings, and capable of navigating around obstacles and manoeuvring in tight spaces.

28 The recent rise and maturation of virtual reality (VR) and simulation software has led to the research
29 and development of new tools, such as Digital Mock-Ups (DMUs) and Digital Twins. A Digital Twin (DT)
30 is the digital representation of a physical environment, machine, or structure, whose state that is (at least)
31 periodically updated to reflect the physical object's actual state. DMUs are interactive digital models that
32 are used for mock-up purposes - such as training, design, testing, etc. DMUs are distinct from DTs: DTs
33 are used to mirror the physical and the virtual, while DMUs are interactive and do not necessarily reflect
34 the current physical state of what they represent.

35 Virtual Reality is a computer-generated visualisation technique where users both experience and interact
36 with an artificial three dimensional audio-visual (and sometimes tactile) environment. A simulation is a
37 model of a system or process, and is used to assess defining parameters and mechanisms, and can also
38 be used to predict future behaviour. Simulations are not interactive: VR software simulates an interactive
39 virtual environment, however while the parameters of the simulation can be altered, the underlying process
40 of "simulation" cannot.

41 DMUs open a new avenue of remote handling research: the development of a DMU that brings together
42 virtual reality and simulation software with live robotic sensor data. The use of a DMU would give operators
43 more information on the state of the environment, presenting several advantages for both planning and
44 remote maintenance operations. The aims of the DMU would be:

- 45 • Accelerate strategy development
- 46 • Assist in identifying and developing operator skills required for the remote maintenance tasks
- 47 • Provide a test bed to design, optimise, and test: tools, equipment, and operations, prior to robot
48 deployment
- 49 • Provide live-stream data to augment operators' understanding of the decommissioning environment
50 and remote maintenance tasks during the deployment
- 51 • Collate and review deployments in order to learn, feeding back into the strategy development aspect
52 (point 1)

53 This paper is a survey of existing simulation and VR software, using their documentation to assess the
54 features each of them provide. The focus is on their potential to create a Next Generation Digital Mock-up
55 (NG-DMU), a concept for a future DMU with enhanced function, interoperability, and performance, for
56 a nuclear decommissioning use-case. This paper will include a literature review of the relevant areas of
57 interest for a nuclear decommissioning NG-DMU. The review will look at current research and deployment
58 in the nuclear industry of: simulation, virtual reality, and deep learning tools; the use of robotics, and
59 the use of digital twins. The key software features of the simulation tools have been identified, with
60 overview of how these relate to the above aims for the creation of a nuclear decommissioning NG-DMU.
61 The simulation tools will be reviewed, presenting their main user-base and the prominent features of the

62 software. This overview will lead into and inform a comparison between the tools; how their features
63 compare and contrast, and potentially used together in a complementary setup.

64

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

65 This section will review the existing literature regarding the relevant areas of interest for a nuclear
66 decommissioning NG-DMU. The review has been divided into three categories: the current research and
67 deployment of simulation and virtual reality tools in the nuclear industry; the use of robotics and the use
68 of digital twins in the nuclear industry, and how deep learning can be used in DMUs and in the nuclear
69 industry.

70 2.1 Simulation and Virtual Reality tools for the Nuclear Industry

71 Simulation is a powerful technique to predict the future state of the simulated object, or environment. It
72 is particularly interesting for radioactive environments as it allows for the environment to be investigated
73 without the need for physical presence - removing the risk to personnel and electronic systems. Conventional
74 radiation simulations using the Monte Carlo method are computationally intense, and time consuming. A
75 new technique for gamma dose estimations using the point kernel method and CAD was developed as a
76 more efficient and flexible alternative, by Liu et al. (2022), even allowing the simulation environment to be
77 updated online. The accuracy was verified against MCNP, and found to be reliable within the set parameters:
78 01-10 MeV photons and 0-20 mfp shielding thickness. Simulations can also provide insight into proposed
79 decommissioning methodologies, such as cutting in work by Williams et al. (2011), and Hyun et al. (2017).
80 Nash et al. (2018) successfully integrated VR hand controllers in training decommissioning simulations,
81 where HTC Vive hand controllers were used to provide input and haptic feedback to a remote teleoperation
82 task.

83 Immersive virtual reality applications can be used in a range of applications in the nuclear industry, such
84 as visualizing and assessing different maintenance procedures like refuelling as in work by Jin-Yang et al.
85 (2020), or for training, where Cryer et al. (2019) developed a platform where virtual dosimeters can track
86 worker doses during a decommissioning training scenario. The maturation of virtual reality has led to its
87 development for future applications in nuclear environments, including nuclear fusion, such as work by
88 Gazzotti et al. (2021).

89 2.2 Robotics and Digital Twins in the Nuclear Industry

90 The deployment of robots (then known as remote systems) in the nuclear industry has been implemented
91 since the 1940s, and is relatively as old as nuclear research itself, (Wehe et al., 1989). Such systems were
92 mainly developed to protect human operators from hazardous environments during typical scenarios but
93 have since expanded their application to decommissioning and surveillance of serious safety incidents.

94 Early robots have played a critical part in the remote inspection and recovery operations in major nuclear
95 disasters such as Chernobyl and the Three Mile Island incidents (Wehe et al., 1989), (Adamov and Yegorov,
96 1987), (Gelhaus and Roman, 1990). In nuclear decommissioning, most of the tasks developed for robots are
97 related to inspection and handling. Remote inspection involves using robot sensors (i.e. vision, geometric,
98 environmental) to scan the facility and gather data for future use. For example, (Groves et al., 2021) have
99 shown a mobile inspection robot can use its LIDAR (Light Detection and Ranging) system, cameras, and
100 radiation detectors to explore and map an unknown nuclear facility environment while avoiding hot spots

101 of ionising radiation. The generated map can then be used to plan future missions where more active tasks
102 such as remote handling and teleoperation are involved.

103 (Connor et al., 2020) have successfully mapped 15 km² around the Chernobyl nuclear power plant using
104 a fixed-wing unmanned aerial system (UAS). This demonstrated that UASs can be deployed on radiation
105 mapping surveys and return to safe areas afterwards. In addition to the other findings, a localized hot-spot
106 previously unreported in literature was discovered in the survey area using the UAS.

107 Risk-aware robotics have also been researched in the sense of inspection, as seen in Barbosa et al. (2021):
108 a risk-cost function can be used to calculate the path of minimal cost, when the robot's motion-planning
109 algorithm has no prior model of the environmental hazards. The function was demonstrated using both
110 sampling-based and optimisation-based approaches, where the robot's goal was to move from the initial
111 state to the target area, without modeling the hazard beyond the samples taken en-route.

112 Surveys such as these require novel radiation detectors which are low-cost and easy to deploy. Verbelen
113 et al. (2021) developed a miniaturised gamma-scanning platform for decommissioning scenarios, the
114 'CC-RIAS', for the purpose of environment mapping and radioactive waste characterization. The system
115 was specifically designed to be small enough to deploy through access ports in nuclear sites, and includes a
116 commercial CZT gamma spectrometer and a motorised pan-tilt base.

117 Radiation-hardened or radiation-tolerant electronics is also important to research, in particular power
118 systems which are sensitive to radiation. (Verbelen et al., 2022) integrated a buck-boost converter circuit
119 into a radiation inspection instrument and then deployed it at the Chernobyl Nuclear Power Plant. It was
120 exposed to an integrated dose in excess of 0.3 mGy over 2 weeks of field work, with no failures observed.

121 Nuclear robots can also be categorised based on the environment or scenario where they are operated.
122 For example, ground robots which come in various forms (i.e. legged, wheeled, tracked, etc.) can be used
123 to survey human-level operations. They are deployed based on their mechanical capabilities of moving
124 through terrain: wheeled robots are limited to relatively smooth surfaces free of clutter, seen in Groves
125 et al. (2021), whereas legged robots such as quadrupeds and hexapods can move through obstacles and
126 navigate through stairwells, shown by (Wisth et al., 2019), and (Cheah et al., 2019). On the other hand,
127 aquatic robots designed to traverse the surface (e.g. MallARD Groves et al. (2019)) or be submerged
128 underwater (e.g. AVEXIS Nancekievill et al. (2018) and BlueROV2 Blue Robotics (2022)) can be deployed
129 in water tanks and other reservoirs, while drones or Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV) are used primarily
130 to scan and capture images of the environment in areas where ground or aquatic robots are not able to
131 reach. Remote handling operations involve the use of manipulators in mobile and glove box scenarios
132 (Lopez et al., 2022). The JET fusion reactor uses MASCOT (Skilton et al., 2018), consisting of two 7
133 degree-of-freedom tele-manipulators for routine inspection and maintenance tasks. MASCOT is mounted
134 on the articulated boom of a telescoping arm (the TARM Burroughes et al. (2018)), which allows it to be
135 moved around the fusion vessel without impacting the sides.

136 The purpose of DMUs is to extend the capabilities of its users during the operation of a nuclear robot.
137 DMUs can be programmed to reflect the physical status of each component. These Digital Twins are virtual
138 representations of physical objects or processes that are periodically updated to reflect their physical
139 counterpart, for the purpose of mock-up. Digital Twins are primarily used in simulations and data
140 visualisations during the design and development stages, they have since expanded their scope as vital
141 components of cyber-physical systems (Kaigom and Roßmann, 2021), (Douthwaite et al., 2021). In the
142 nuclear industry, the integration of physical robotic systems and their Digital Twins enable an intuitive
143 human-robot interaction, such as the combination of VR and a Leap Motion controller for tele-operating a

144 robotic manipulator Jang et al. (2019) and the use of mixed reality systems for remote inspection (Welburn
145 et al., 2019). DMUs have been used in nuclear fusion engineering in the design of the Wendelstein-7X
146 stellarator fusion device (Renard et al., 2017), and the design verification of ITER's remote handling
147 systems (Sibois et al., 2014).

148 Digital Twins can also refer to digital environments based on actual locations where a robot may be
149 operated (Blair, 2021) (Jang et al., 2021). The purpose of environmental Digital Twins in robotics is to
150 digitally represent and recreate the physical boundaries and external processes that interact with the robotic
151 device. In this way, a robot and its intended user are provided with accurate information on its surroundings,
152 leading to better and more efficient mission planning (Wright et al., 2021).

153 It is worth noting that simulations and DMUs, while effective visualisation avenues for robot states, are
154 as effective as how users interpret the data. In the recent years, the implementation of better human-robot
155 interaction strategies continue to grow as this aspect of robot operations and its contribution to the efficiency
156 of a mission is more realised. Such in the case of implementing virtual and augmented reality to robotic
157 systems to increase user immersion through heightened situational awareness and control during the
158 operation (Welburn et al., 2019).

159 **2.3 Deep Learning for Nuclear Industry and DMUs**

160 With the access to advanced hardware and large training dataset, deep learning shows its potential to be
161 used in the nuclear industry to improve production efficiency, reduce operation cost, and improve safety.
162 For long range teleoperation, it is possible to stream only the vision-based detection result instead of
163 transmitting the whole point cloud or real-time videos from the decommissioning site to the operator. VR
164 environments could render the digital representation instead of the raw data that will require a large internet
165 bandwidth, otherwise, data compression and decompression might be needed (Pacheco-Gutierrez et al.,
166 2021). We introduce the application of deep learning in the nuclear industry in three fields, i.e., vision
167 based object detection, sequence data processing, and deep reinforcement learning based control system.

168 Periodic inspection of the equipment and prediction of Remaining Useful Life (RUL) are common
169 ways for ensuring the safe operation of nuclear industry. As the nuclear environment is complex, with
170 high radiation dose exposure, it is inefficient and expensive to operate manual periodic inspection. A
171 crack detection algorithm based on Naive Bayesian data fusion scheme and CNN for nuclear reactors was
172 proposed in Chen and Jahanshahi (2017). This method enables autonomous detection for each video frame
173 and it achieves 98.3% hit rate against 0.1 false positives per frame. A multi-scale attention mechanism
174 guided knowledge distillation method is proposed in Lang et al. (2021) for surface defect detection. It
175 enables a student model to mimic the complex teacher model through the use of knowledge distillation
176 techniques. A class-weighted cross entropy loss was introduced to address the imbalance of foreground
177 and background in defect detection. The efficient performance of the proposed algorithm was validated by
178 using three benchmarks. Convolution kernel was integrated with Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) in
179 Wang et al. (2020) for predicting the RUL of electric valves by using the excellent capability of sequential
180 analysis of LSTM.

181 Sequential data includes text document data and sensor sequence signal data in the nuclear industry
182 chain. The deep learning researchers mainly use Natural Language Processing (NLP) algorithms or
183 LSTM algorithms to process signal data for prediction and classification. Based on NLP techniques, a
184 rule-based expert system, Causal Relationship Identification (CaRI), is proposed in Zhao et al. (2019).
185 The proposed method is applied to analyze the abstract section of the reports from the U.S. Nuclear
186 Regulatory Commission Licensee Event Report database. Based on signal processing technique named

187 cepstral analysis (Jorge et al., 2010), an automatic speech recognition interface is developed to serve as a
188 new operator interface in VR environment for operating virtual control task through spoken commands
189 instead of keyboard and mouse. In Ramgire and Jagdale (2016), a speech control system is developed to
190 control a robotic arm with flexiforce sensor to pick and place objects. Mel-Frequency Cepstrum Coefficients
191 (MFCC) algorithms were introduced to extract features for speech and speaker recognition. The speech
192 recognition can be used for security authentication and speech automatic recognition is used for machine
193 control. The sequential data process using deep learning could improve the operating efficiency while the
194 VR operator is executing missions in VR environment.

195 Deep reinforcement learning has also become a common method in solving control problems in nuclear
196 applications, because of its efficient computing strength and because it does not require a system model
197 in advance. Deep reinforcement learning and proximal policy optimization are integrated in Radaideh
198 et al. (2021) by establishing a connection through reward shaping between reinforcement learning and the
199 tactics fuel designers follow in practice by moving fuel rods in the assembly to meet specific constraints
200 and objectives. This algorithm is applied on two boiling water reactor assemblies of low-dimensional (
201 $\sim 2 \times 10^6$ combinations) and high-dimensional ($\sim 10^{31}$ combinations) natures. The results demonstrate
202 the proposed algorithm find more feasible patterns, 4-5 times more than Stochastic Optimization (SO), by
203 taking advantage of RL outstanding computational efficiency. Another research work by Park et al. (2022)
204 applied reinforcement learning in Compact Nuclear Simulator (CNS) and key elements for reinforcement
205 learning are designed to be suitable for the heat-up mode. A neural-network structure and a CNS deep
206 RL mechanism are presented as a solution to the automatic control problem. An asynchronous advantage
207 actor-critic algorithm was integrated with a LSTM network to solve the operator task for which establishing
208 clear rules or logic was challenging in (Lee et al., 2020). The proposed neural network was trained using
209 CNS system and was proven capable of identifying an acceptable operating path for increasing the reactor
210 power from 2% to 100% at a specified rate of power increase, and its result was found to be identical to
211 that of the established operation strategy.

212 This section covered a literature review of the relevant areas of interest for a nuclear decommissioning
213 NG-DMU. The next section will set out the key features identified for an NG-DMU created for a nuclear
214 decommissioning use-case.

3 KEY SOFTWARE FEATURES

215 The NG-DMU is a culmination of many different technologies and research areas that will all be used to
216 improve the decommissioning process. It can be split into 3 main sections: the simulation, the robotics, and
217 the usability.

218 A list of desirable features was used to evaluate the simulation software investigated. The assessment is
219 qualitative due to the absence of set standards of measurement for many of the features under consideration.

220 3.1 Digital Model Simulation features

221 The Digital Model is a realistic virtual representation of the target environment and is one of the core
222 properties of the Digital Twin. It requires a variety of technologies and disciplines, including: kinematics
223 and dynamics, control, deformation, environmental simulations, radiation simulations, CAD models,
224 control system simulation, and many more.

225 The following criteria will investigate the feasibility of software by their overall simulation properties:
226 physics engines, rendering functionality, environmental simulations, rigid body dynamics and control and
227 camera/scene properties.

228 3.1.1 Physics Engines

229 A physics engine provides an approximation of physical parameters to create a more realistic
230 representation of the scene it is modelling. This work is interested in the range of physics engines
231 available, and the type of engine available - Bullet (E. Coumans and Y. Bai, 2022), ODE (Russ Smith,
232 2022), PhysX (NVIDIA Corporation, 2022d) for example.

233 3.1.2 Rendering

234 The rendering property within a simulation is defined as the process that creates photorealistic 3D model
235 within the scene and includes myriad properties (lighting, shading, texture quality, etc). This work is
236 interested in the rendering engine, and the quality of its output.

237 3.1.3 Environmental Simulations and Lighting effects

238 Environmental Simulations (Fluids, heat, radiation etc) are critical for the use case in question as the
239 environmental properties for decommissioning can vary wildly. In this work, this criterion is assessed by
240 the range of environmental simulations that can be simulated relevant to expected use case requirements,
241 and if these simulations can be run natively - within the software and not reliant on a 3rd party plugin for
242 example.

243 The lighting within 3D software is hugely important to how the user observes the scene that they are
244 operating in and a trade-off is always made between performance optimisation and lighting quality. As a
245 result, the view generation software being used must be capable of editing the lighting effects visible inside
246 the scene extensively. This criteria is interested in the availability of real time lighting, the lighting types
247 and light probes, the customisation and range of precomputation techniques (baking, compositing, caching,
248 etc), colour space (Linear and gamma), simulations beyond standard visual spectrum (IR, etc).

249 3.1.4 Rigid Body Dynamics and Control

250 Rigid Body Dynamics are critical for remote handling use cases as they use robotic actuators as an input
251 for the simulation and they must be modelled as accurately as possible. This criteria is interested in:

- 252 • Compliance
- 253 • Flexibility of joints
- 254 • Type and number of surrogate models available
- 255 • Real-time vs non-real time characteristic
- 256 • Contact interaction (rigid vs impulse)
- 257 • Soft body and advanced multi body dynamic packages
- 258 • Available API (application programming interface) and plugin options

259 3.1.5 Camera Properties

260 Camera Properties relates to how a scene is displayed, navigated and edited. The following criteria will
261 focus on the general in-scene properties that are available within the view generation software:

262 **3.1.5.1 View Control**

263 This is the basic way in which the scene can be viewed within the view generation software. The end
264 user for this NG-DMU will be operations and project engineers and other personnel that require a range
265 of controls to navigate the environment. This criteria is interested in the range of view control options
266 (orbiting, pilot, shortcuts, hardware interfacing, etc), whether the software has a tool to support changing
267 the perspective of the view (isometric and orthogonal viewpoints), whether cross section views are available,
268 and whether objects can be easily centred and the view adjusted.

269 **3.1.5.2 Camera/Scene View Properties**

270 Simulated camera representation is vitally important for remote operation of a manipulator, as it enables
271 the user to adjust their view to provide as much information as possible. For the purpose of this case study,
272 the criterion concerns the native editing of cameras within the scene (FoV, path planning, etc), the ability to
273 view multiple concurrent viewpoints, the effect of multiple cameras on performance, and the integration to
274 real camera hardware (AR, registration, etc).

275 **3.1.5.3 View Customisation**

276 The ability to customize the view of the environment is very interesting, as this can be used to improve
277 the overall quality of the image being viewed. This criteria is interested in the intrinsic camera parameters
278 (real world camera properties, FoV, Aspect Ratio, lens distortion, etc), and the extrinsic camera parameters
279 (pan, tilt, etc)

280 **3.1.5.4 Scene Graph Editing**

281 A scene graph is a generic data structure that is used in view generation software to illustrate the spatial
282 representation of a graphical scene often represented as a tree with the nodes of that tree representing
283 objects. This is the live data structure that stores the objects within the scene and how they relate to each
284 other; editing this graph enables you to change the scene properties.

285 This criteria is concerned with the availability of object transformation (e.g. translate, rotate, scale), the
286 attachment of objects (i.e. changing object parent), and functionalities such as undo & redo.

287 **3.2 Robotics Features**

288 **3.2.1 Virtual Sensors**

289 Having virtual sensors be applied within the simulation will enable the developer to create a more realistic
290 NG-DMU, where users can receive data from the sensors being used in the environment. Examples of
291 sensors that can be used include: LIDAR, IR, Force-Torque, Proximity, Cameras, IMU, etc. For this case
292 study, this criterion concerns: the range of sensors that area available within the simulation, how these
293 sensors can then be further edited, how the sensor information is displayed to the user.

294 **3.2.2 Robot Model Library**

295 It is important that a range of robots can be tested within this simulation to ensure flexibility within the
296 use case environment. As a result, this criterion is interested in: the range and type of robots available
297 within the software (arms, wheeled, locomotion, parallel, etc), how often the libraries are updated, the
298 extent to which the robotic actuators can be edited (different end-effectors for example), and the ease to
299 add CAD models of bespoke robotic hardware with supporting plugins for kinematic representation.

300 3.2.3 Robotic Specific Features

301 The remote handling of a robot can be assisted through software certain features within the robot
302 simulation. This criterion is concerned by: the number of features that are available within the software
303 (object detection, learning, training, path planning, locomotion etc), the kinematic movement available
304 (inverse/forward), the data that is displayed in the simulation (HMI) and the DH parameters that can be
305 used. It is also important to consider the ease of which they can be implemented and the extent of the
306 customisation available. It is important to identify what features are available internally/natively and what
307 features can be implemented using an external/plugin. Furthermore, certain features are more relative to
308 the use case in which the NG-DMU would be used.

309 3.2.4 Haptic Interface

310 The operator team will be using the software for decommissioning and may require haptic feedback to
311 ensure the remote handling provides them with as much feedback as possible. Therefore, it is important that
312 the robotic simulation software being used can interface with a haptic device. This criterion is measured by
313 the availability of a haptic interface internally within the software, the ease of which this interface can be
314 implemented (this can be an arduous process), the type of feedback that is available, and the customisation
315 of this interface (force ratios, collision parameters, etc)

316 3.2.5 Deep Learning Capabilities

317 The capability of supporting deep learning algorithms in simulation is very important as it provides us the
318 opportunity to make robot to learn variety kinds of behaviour in simulation before transferring to the real
319 robot. When robot learns navigation or control policy, it will possibly make mistake or occur operational
320 error, thus, making it learns each behaviour in simulator first will reduce the operational cost and risk
321 significantly. Moreover, it is possible to accelerate the learning process using simulator, as some simulators
322 support simulating multiple robot agents simultaneously, generating a lot of training dataset and allowing
323 each robot to learn parallelly.

324 3.3 Usability features

325 The usability of the software is a measure of how easy and intuitive the software is to use. For example,
326 the documentation fidelity, and the import and export processes available. The final criteria bracket focuses
327 on the overall usability, ergonomics and workflow of the system and User experience (UX) of the software,
328 to determine if it is suitable for use in the NG-DMU. To determine this criterion the following sub criteria
329 points have been made: Scene import and export, API and Plugin availability, overall ergonomics and UX,
330 Licensing/Maturity, Documentation and Assistance.

331 3.3.1 Import and Export/Scene Management

332 The general workflow for the project must be assessed and compared with supporting APIs and software
333 to determine the validity of the software overall. Considering most decommissioning projects are long-term
334 with multiple collaborating engineers, a shared ergonomic workflow is vital.

335 An NG-DMU project will likely require multiple engineers, designers and operators to collaborate,
336 potentially internationally, which produces a variety of logistical challenges. Therefore, it is imperative that
337 the operation of this software be as ergonomic as possible and thus the importation and exportation of a
338 scene must be user friendly. This criterion is assessed by: The use of industry standard scene file types for
339 import and export (XML, USD, etc), the quality of the exported scene (lost data, etc), exporting selected

340 objects as part of a scene, version control and collaborative editing of a project. Also of interest is whether
341 using the software result in “vendor lock-in”, where that software must be used exclusively.

342 3.3.2 Security

343 Cloud services have now become an established part of modern data storage, and simulation software
344 is no different - however this raises the question of adequate security for sensitive data, and in the case
345 of models uploaded to online libraries, the owner of the model IP. Most of the software presented in
346 this work use files stored locally, and do not require an ongoing internet connection - excepting when
347 accessing online-only content such as model libraries for example. While security of the data should be a
348 consideration for users, an in-depth analysis of encryption and security standards will not be explored in
349 this work.

350 3.3.3 Documentation & Tools

351 Although the NG-DMU is designed to be robust and intuitive, the supporting software systems should
352 have a range of technical tools and documentation to assist users. A competent software will have significant
353 support and documentation available with up-to-date wiki entries, a popular forum and tools to diagnose any
354 issues that may occur. This criteria is assessed by the quality of official and/or community documentation to
355 support development, and official tools provided by the distributor that can be used throughout development.

356 3.3.4 Plugins and API Support

357 The features and evaluation section of this work is not intended to be exhaustive of all the features
358 required for an NG-DMU. The reviewed software is also unlikely to have all of the functionality that has
359 been mentioned. Therefore, it is vital that the simulation software have a wide range of API functionality
360 and plugin support. This criterion is interested in: The support available for APIs and Plugin modules
361 (documentation, community support, etc), the ease of which these APIs/Plugins can be integrated, whether
362 off-the-shelf API/Plugins for hardware connectivity (haptics, robots, etc) are available, the API/Plugin
363 capability and extensibility available, and the range of APIs/Plugins that are available for any missing
364 criteria in relation to this report.

365 For a successful NG-DMU, multiple different technologies will need to work together, thus, plugin and
366 API support is vitally important.

367 3.3.5 Licencing & Maturity

368 A successfully deployed NG-DMU would be used for several decades and thus any supporting software
369 that will be used must have considerable support. To assess the maturity and longevity of the suggested
370 software(s), the following are considered:

371 For open-source software: The git commit history (such as number of commits, forks, stars and issues),
372 with emphasis on recent git history (2020-present) and activity (new versions released, forks, commits,
373 etc). This ensures ongoing, community-driven support.

374 For licensed software: The age of the software being used and reliance on external support.

375 This section covered the key features of a simulation software used to create an NG-DMU for a nuclear
376 decommissioning use-case.

4 REVIEW & SURVEY

377 This section will look at the different simulation tools available. It will give an overview of each piece of
378 software, its intended user-base, and the notable features of the software.

379 4.1 Coppeliasim (V-Rep)

380 Coppeliasim (Coppelia Robotics, Ltd, 2022) is a robotic development toolkit developed by Coppelia
381 Robotics. Previously called V-Rep, the toolkit is opensource with commercial licences available which
382 enables extended functionality, plugin support and integration with other tools.

383 The software has a distributed control architecture, where each object or model within a scene can be
384 individually controlled - either by an API client, plugin, ROS/ROS2 node (Open Robotics, 2022b), etc.
385 The software can be tailored to bespoke requirements. The controller can be written in several different
386 languages (C, C++, Python, Java, MATLAB (The MathWorks, Inc, 2022), Lua, Octave), resulting in a
387 versatile toolkit.

388 It supports the Bullet physics library, Open Dynamics Engine (ODE), Vortex Studio (cmlabs, 2022),
389 Newton Dynamics engine (Julio Jerez and Alain Suero, 2022). The user is able to set the physics engine
390 used by the software. This is significant, as the rigid body dynamics customisation is dependent on the
391 physics engine. The rendering quality is high and it supports both simple OpenGL (Khronos Group, 2022)
392 rendering and GPU intensive rendering. While the native library is not extensive, Coppeliasim supports the
393 AutoCAD (Autodesk Inc, 2022) file format DXF for shape import. The mesh import/export functionality is
394 handled via a plugin. Collision detection is available, along with highlight of collision objects.

395 Proximity Sensors (customisable ray types, detection volume, etc) area available, as well as Vision Sensors
396 (customisable by resolution, API, etc), and Force Sensors (customisable by filters, sample size, trigger
397 settings, etc).

398 Coppeliasim supports haptic devices through ROS support, with tutorials on its setup. A Geometric
399 plugin available to enable robotic features implemented independent of the full simulation. Path planning
400 is also implementable.

401 4.2 Gazebo

402 Gazebo (Open Source Robotics Foundation, 2022b) is an established and well-known robotic simulation
403 toolkit. It provides a large library of robots and physics engines and a variety of interfaces and virtual
404 sensors for users to design and test robotic solutions. It also has external interfaces capable of working
405 with both ROS and ROS2. It has strong support and version control, with several stable releases being
406 developed over the years.

407 Four Physics engines are available in Gazebo (ODE, Bullet, Sim-body (Michael Sherman and Peter
408 Eastman, 2022), and DART), which handle rigid body dynamics. Utilizing the OGRE rendering engine,
409 Gazebo provides realistic rendering of environments including high-quality lighting, shadows, and textures.
410 Fluid simulation is also available.

411 Gazebo has extensive sensor, robot and actuator libraries from laser range finders (Niu et al., 2021a),
412 2D/3D cameras, Kinect-style sensors (Microsoft, 2022), contact sensors, force-torque. Many robots are
413 provided including PR2 (Manny Ojigbo, 2014), Pioneer2 DX (Cyberbotics Ltd., 2022), iRobot Create
414 (iRobot Corp, 2022), Universal robot arm (Liu et al., 2021), Kuka robot arm (Niu et al., 2021b) and
415 TurtleBot (Open Source Robotics Foundation, Inc, 2022) (Lin et al., 2021). Comparing with mobile robot

416 and robotic arm, unmanned marine vehicle is more challenging to be simulated as it takes into account the
417 dynamics of wind, wave, and sea current as well to help design the energy efficient control algorithm (Niu
418 et al., 2016) (Niu et al., 2018) (Niu et al., 2020) (Niu et al., 2017) instead of just path length optimized
419 algorithm (Niu et al., 2019) (Lu et al., 2016). Thanks to the powerful dynamics simulation engine of
420 Gazebo, it also supports unmanned marine vehicle simulation Manhães et al. (2016) that has the ROS API
421 as well. Moreover, Gazebo provides the functionality of supporting multiple mobile robots Hu et al. (2020)
422 Na et al. (2022) and multiple robotic arms. Gazebo also facilitates object detection and HAPTIX (Hand
423 Proprioception & Touch Interfaces) (Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, 2015).

424 The RAIN research hub has used Gazebo to assess ionising radiation levels in nuclear inspection
425 challenges (Wright et al., 2021).

426 4.3 Ignition

427 Ignition (Open Robotics, 2022c) was created as a spin off from Gazebo classic. It is a set of open source
428 libraries Open Source Robotics Foundation (2022a) that encompass the essentials needed for robotic
429 simulation. It facilitates the integration into other services such as ROS/ROS2 for features that are not
430 included natively: e.g. sensor integration, custom plugins, etc. Its goal is to combine the usability and variety
431 available in Gazebo with a modular, plugin based approach - moving away from Gazebo's monolithic
432 architecture.

433 Ignition uses the DART - Dynamic Animation and Robotics Toolkit (Lee et al., 2018) - physics engine by
434 default, however it does allow the user to choose a different engine, if desired. It has a similar approach for
435 rendering engines, and supports OGRE (Ogre3D Team, 2022) and OptiX (NVIDIA Corporation, 2022c).

436 Models in Ignition can be loaded from SDF file format. Ignition supports collision shapes, such as box,
437 sphere, cylinder, mesh, and heightmap. Joint types supported include fixed, ball, screw, and revolute. It can
438 carry out step simulations, get and set states, as well as apply inputs.

439 Ignition Sensors is an open source library that provides a set of sensor and noise models accessible through
440 a C++ interface. Sensors include monocular cameras, depth cameras, LIDAR, IMU, contact, altimeter, and
441 magnetometer sensors. Each sensor can optionally utilize a noise model to inject Gaussian or custom noise
442 properties. The library aims to generate realistic sensor data suitable for use in robotic applications and
443 simulation.

444 4.4 Nvidia Omniverse Isaac Sim

445 Nvidia Omniverse Isaac Sim (NVIDIA Corporation, 2022b) is robotic simulation tool launched in 2020
446 which aims to simplify the entire pipeline for developing robotic simulations. It aims to capitalise on the
447 RTX GPU's (NVIDIA Corporation, 2022a) computing capability for simulations and rendering. Nvidia
448 Omniverse Isaac Sim uses the latest version of PhysX, and has the full suite of Nvidia rendering tools,
449 and access to other rendering tools as well. Omniverse Flow is available for fluid simulations, smoke
450 simulations, and customisable particle emitters for configurable simulations. Isaac Sim does have rigid
451 body dynamics, and it also supports the Omniverse connect system for external plugins. It integrates
452 with other industry standard tools (ROS/ROS2, Maya (Autodesk Inc., 2022), SOLIDWORKS (Dassault
453 Systèmes SolidWorks Corporation, 2022), Unreal 4 (Epic Games, Inc, 2022), etc) through the Omniverse
454 Nucleus. While the inter-connectivity of services such as is very attractive for collaborative purposes, it
455 does introduce the issue of 'vendor lock in', where the user is committed to a specific software solution, as
456 switching from the product is impractical.

457 4.5 WeBots

458 WeBots (Cyberbotics Ltd, 2022b) is an opensource, multi-platform desktop application used to simulate
459 and build robotic solutions. Developed by Cyberbotics Ltd, the software is straightforward, easy to use,
460 and has use cases in the education and research sectors (Cyberbotics Ltd, 2022a). The features available in
461 Webots are simple, powerful and provide good customisation options using the QT GUI for editing and
462 OpenGL 3.3 for rendering. Development can be done using C, C++, Python, Java, MATLAB or through
463 ROS with API integration.

464 Webots uses the ODE (Open Dynamics Engine) for collision detection and rigid body dynamics
465 simulation. The ODE library provides accurate simulation of objects' physical properties, such as velocity,
466 inertia and friction.

467 The following sensors are supported by Webots: Distance Sensor, Range Finder, Light Sensor, Touch
468 Sensor, Inclinometer, Compass, and Camera. Users can model a linear camera, a typical RGB camera or
469 even a fish eye which is spherically distorted. The virtual camera images can be displayed on a VR headset
470 device such as the Oculus Rift (Facebook Technologies, LLC., 2022), or HTC Vive (HTC Corporation,
471 2022).

472 Webots also provides access to the large Webots asset library which includes drones (Alsayed et al., 2021)
473 (Alsayed et al., 2022), mobile robots (Ban et al., 2021), sensors, actuators, objects, and materials.

474 4.6 Choreonoid

475 Choreonoid (Nakaoka, 2012) is an extensible virtual robot environment developed by the National
476 Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST) in Japan. Its main attraction is its
477 extensibility with other frameworks and software solutions. Choreonoid applies OpenGL3.3 for rendering
478 engine, and it supports 4 different physics engines: the Bullet physics library, Open Dynamics Engine
479 (ODE), PhysX Engine (NVIDIA Corporation, 2022d), and AGX Dynamics (Algorix Simulation AB,
480 2022b).

481 Choreonoid AGX Dynamics plugin provides the ability of real time simulation of a crawler robot, wires
482 or other functions. Users can change camera parameters, and the following sensors are supported: Range
483 Finder, Range Camera, Light Sensor, Force Sensor, Gyro Sensor, Acceleration Sensor. Choreonoid has
484 a graspPlugin that can be used to solve problems such as grasp planning, trajectory planning and task
485 planning.

486 Remote decommissioning tasks using a remotely operated robot can be simulated using the
487 HAIROWorldPlugin, which provides simulation functions such as Fluid dynamics, Camera image generator
488 effects (such as distortion, Gaussian noise, colour filter, and transparency), Communication failure emulator,
489 etc.

490 4.7 AGX Dynamics by Algorix

491 AGX Dynamics (Algorix Simulation AB, 2022b) is a Software Development Kit (SDK) for modelling
492 and simulation of mechanical systems. It is a multi-purpose physics engine, and includes contacts and
493 friction that can be used as either a Unity or Unreal integrated package or extended to a bespoke piece of
494 software via their Software Development Kit. The software comprises of a core library of basic functionality
495 that includes rigid bodies, joints, motors, automatic contact detection and much more; delivering high
496 fidelity, stability, and speed. The 2 "off the shelf" interfaces available for AGX Dynamics are Unity and

497 Unreal Engine 4, however the potential for developing a bespoke solution is possible using the SDK
498 and customer support. More detailed sub modules can be attached to the SDK depending on the user
499 requirements, however only a portion of these sub modules are included in the Unreal integration of AGX
500 Dynamics.

501 **4.8 Modular Open Robots Simulation Engine (MORSE)**

502 Modular Open Robots Simulation Engine (MORSE) (Echeverria et al., 2011) is an academic python-based
503 simulator for robotics. It can simulate realistic 3D environments using the Blender game engine.

504 As it is an academic project, it is developed on Linux and there is limited support for MacOSX or
505 Windows. Support is limited to documentation and user-forums.

506 **4.9 VR4Robots**

507 VR4Robots (version 12) is a proprietary commercial VR system from Tree C technology (Tree-C, 2022).
508 The older version 7 has been used for remote handling at JET for several years. There are two configurations
509 for VR4Robots: a kinematic system, and a dynamic system. The kinematic system uses control system
510 data to animate virtual machines. The dynamic system provides a physics engine to simulate physics
511 processes, and must be tailored to the specific environment. The software is mature and established in the
512 remote-handling market. The systems and functionality are designed around using robots. It has suitable
513 inverse kinematics. Scenes can be connected to a network and controlled or viewed from multiple PCs.

514 The UI/UX design is reliant on dual monitors with no 4K support. Customisation is difficult to implement
515 by the user. However, these can instead be requested as additional features to the core software when
516 negotiating the software licence. The virtual environment must be imported from 3dsMax, requiring a
517 separate license. Reliance on 3DSMax can create issues with versioning. New 3DSMax versions are
518 released annually, but VR4R is not similarly updated, leading to reliance on outdated software. The
519 proprietary model format (.vmx) has no export capabilities, leading to vendor lock-in. There is limited
520 documentation, however paid training courses are available. The user is reliant on a support contract to fix
521 software bugs.

522 **4.10 RoboDK**

523 RoboDK is an offline programming and simulation software with an extensive library of kinematic robot
524 models. Its standard interface requires no programming experience, and it is easily extensible through APIs
525 in Python, C# and Matlab. It also has detailed documentation for both the basic functionality as well as
526 API support. Plugins are available for popular CAD/CAM software such as SolidWorks, Fusion 360, and
527 Inventor.

528 It provides the ability to communicate with physical robot systems, and upload robot programs generated
529 from an offline simulation.

530 However, it has no Physics engine, and low quality textures and lighting. The collision mapping is not
531 accurate, and the CAD/CAM functionality is basic and slow with larger models. RoboDK does offer
532 Inverse Kinematics, however the documentation specifies that the simulated movement may not be the
533 same as the actual movement, and does not offer rigid body dynamics.

534 The robot library is extensive, however custom robots are difficult to add.

535 4.11 Toia

536 Toia is a software library developed for haptic rendering and supporting multiple devices (6 DoF robotic
537 arms with haptic features, multi-finger haptic gloves, ultrasonic haptic arrays, etc.) to provide appropriate
538 haptic feedback from a DMU. The platform integrated with Carbon physics engine supporting simulation
539 of soft and rigid materials with collision and motion constraints (e.g., joints of robotic manipulator) for
540 the real-time haptic simulation. Toia utilizes the Unreal Engine 4 (UE4), which brings a full suite of 3D
541 authoring and visualization tools, as a primary front end for the development of haptic simulation. To
542 enhance performance, the platform separate haptic and graphical fidelity for deformable objects: haptic
543 physics meshes are lower in polygon count than their respective visual counterparts.

544 This section covered the different simulation tools being reviewed in this paper. It presented an overview
545 of each software, the main user-bases, and some notable features of interest.

5 DISCUSSION

546 Digital mock-ups and digital twins are fast growing areas in the development and implementation of nuclear
547 decommissioning activities. The availability and presentation of various simulation tools and libraries will
548 provide a go-to guide in industrial applications for industry professionals and will also contribute to the
549 increase in the number of new publications to be produced by academics in this field.

550 This paper provides an overview of different simulation software tools that have the potential in the
551 expanding robotics field for nuclear environments. Firstly, virtual reality, digital twin, and deep learning
552 solutions for the nuclear industry and DMUs are discussed by investigating the state-of-the-art. Then, we
553 identified a necessary list of assessment criteria features for evaluating each of the simulation tools in terms
554 of simulation, robotics, and usability details by analysing the state-of-the-art challenges of solutions. After
555 that, we examined the simulation tools by analysing their particular characteristics in three-stage concepts.
556 The Tables 1, 2, and 3 show how the existing simulation software compares and the different capabilities
557 they offer in each of the areas of interest.

558 Each of the software tools presented in this paper has its own set of features. Regarding simulation features,
559 all but one (RoboDK) of them provide physics engines and rendering capabilities. In particular, CoppeliaSim
560 and Nvidia Omniverse Isaac Sim support GPU intensive rendering, which can be useful for robotics
561 applications that require heavy computations. Most of the softwares investigated offer environmental
562 simulation beyond lighting effects and camera options. While some of them additionally include water, fog,
563 light, and light simulations, at least half of them have fluid simulations. The rigid body dynamics feature
564 that is crucial in remote handling scenarios is present in the majority of the software.

565 With respect to robotics features, a large number of software tools offer at least some functionality for
566 the integration of virtual sensors in which the sensor support is a valuable factor in supporting real-world
567 conditions. However, the types of sensors they support are variable and comparatively few support a haptics
568 interface. A number of commonly used sensors, such as vision and force sensors, especially for remote
569 handling applications, are included in simulation tools such as CoppeliaSim, Gazebo, and Choreonoid.

570 Another important factor to consider is the ability to import digital models and scenes, which allows
571 for the simulation of nuclear environments by transferring experience from various off-the-shelf drawing
572 software. With the exception of VR4Robots, the others have either internal robot models or integrations
573 to import robot and shape models from many popular third-party file formats such as CAD, DXF, STL,
574 COLLADA, URDF, and many more.

575 Deep learning capability is also a beneficial consideration and can provide AI-based learning and
576 predictive and preventive decision making in a wide range of decommissioning tasks. All reviewed
577 simulation tools are qualified to develop deep learning algorithms using Python API integration, with the
578 exception of VR4Robots. Furthermore, the virtual reality integration feature can help with an immersive
579 user experience by simulating task demonstration and inspection. For viewing the simulation and human-
580 robot interaction modalities, the virtual reality headsets HTC Vive and Oculus Rift are supported by
581 Webots.

582 Finally, usability and documentation are very variable. Although commercially licensed software
583 products have comprehensive online documentation, the others have either an online community or
584 GitHub documentation, or both. Furthermore, some only support one programming language API plugin,
585 while others support multiple programming language API plugins, such as C, C++, C#, Python, Matlab,
586 Java, and Lua.

Table 1. Comparison table of the simulation features available in the different software reviewed in this work

Criteria ID	Physics Engine(s)	Rendering	Environment Simulations	Rigid Body Dynamics	Camera Properties
CoppeliaSim CoppeliaSim (2022)	✓	✓	✓	Dependent on the physics engine.	✓
Gazebo Open Source Robotics Foundation (2022c)	✓	✓	Fluid simulations	✓	✓
Ignition Open Robotics (2022a)	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
Nvidia Omniverse Isaac Sim NVIDIA (2022b)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Webots Ltd. (2022)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Choreonoid Choreonoid (2022)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
AGX Dynamics Algorix Simulation AB (2022a)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MORSE MORSE (2022)	✓	✓	Environment modelling can be created in Blender then imported	✓	✓
VR4Robots Tree-C (2022)	Available as part of the dynamics package	✓	✓	Included in the dynamics package	✓
RoboDK Inc. (2022)	✗	OpenGL customizable shaders are available	✗	✗	✓
Toia Generic Robotics (2022)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Table 2. Comparison table of the robotics features available in the different software reviewed in this work

Criteria ID	Virtual Sensors	Robotic Model Library	Robotic Features	Haptic Interface	Deep Learning capabilities
CoppeliaSim CoppeliaSim (2022)	✓	Limited native library. Large number of file formats supported for import.	✓	Supported through ROS	✓
Gazebo Open Source Robotics Foundation (2022c)	✓	✓	✓	Available using HAPTIX packages	✓
Ignition Open Robotics (2022a)	✓	✓	✓	✗	APIs can deploy deep learning algorithms
Nvidia Omniverse Isaac Sim NVIDIA (2022b)	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
Webots Ltd. (2022)	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
Choreonoid Choreonoid (2022)	✓	✓	✓	✗	APIs can deploy deep learning algorithms
AGX Dynamics Algoryx Simulation AB (2022a)	Supported through the unity plugin Kallin (2019)	✗	✓	✗	✓
MORSE MORSE (2022)	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
VR4Robots Tree-C (2022)	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
RoboDK Inc. (2022)	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
Toia Generic Robotics (2022)	Supported using ROS/ROS2 plugin	✓	✓	✓	✓

Table 3. Comparison table of the usability of the different software reviewed in this work

Criteria ID	Import / Export	API/Plugins	Licensing	Documentation
CoppeliaSim CoppeliaSim (2022)	File import: OBJ, DXF, STL, DAE, URDF File export: OBJ, STL, DAE. Support for heightfield data :formats include JPEG, PNG, TGA, BMP, TIFF, GIF file, CSV, TXT	✓	GNU GPL Source code + Binary licensing (commercial license or free educational license). Other plugins have a BSD license	User manual and online forum available. Github available.
Gazebo Open Source Robotics Foundation (2022c)	File import: DAE, STL, OBJ, SVG	✓	Apache License, Version 2.0	Online community, online tutorials and Github available.
Ignition Open Robotics (2022a)	File import: STL, OBJ, DAE, SVG, BVH. File export: DAE	✓	Apache License, Version 2.0	Online User manual
Nvidia Omniverse Isaac Sim NVIDIA (2022b)	File import: FBX, OBJ and GLTF. Using extensions: STEP, IGES, and URDF	✓	Nvidia Omniverse License Agreement NVIDIA (2022a)	Online documentation available.
Webots Ltd. (2022)	File import: DAE, STL, OBJ. File export: WRL	✓	Apache License, Version 2.0	Online User Guide
Choreonoid Choreonoid (2022)	File import: WRL	✓	MIT	Online User manual and user forum
AGX Dynamics Algoryx Simulation AB (2022a)	Extensive list of file formats supported, incl STL, OBJ, DAE, FBX, URDF. Export of Functional Mock-up Interface available FMI Standard (2022)	Matlab/Simulink plugin (Windows only)	License required for either development or runtime for deployment	Online documentation and tutorials
MORSE MORSE (2022)	File import: Blender files (low-poly) File export: Requires add-on.	✓	Permissive BSD license	Github community
VR4Robots Tree-C (2022)	File import: 3DS Max VMX files	✓	Bespoke license, annual subscription	User manual and paid training available.
RoboDK Inc. (2022)	File import (static only): STEP, IGES	✓	Commercial License, annual subscription	Online documentation.
Toia Generic Robotics (2022)	File import: formats supported by Unreal Engine. File export: not supported	✓	Requires a license for both development and deployment	Customer support

6 CONCLUSION

587 This paper introduced and assessed eleven different simulation software solutions, using criteria identified as
588 important for the creation of a remote-handling Next Generation Digital Mock-up application in the nuclear
589 sector. Simulation and rendering capabilities were well served across each of the concerned software,
590 however the inclusion of haptics and robotics features are more limited. Each software reviewed in this
591 work offers use-case specific solutions, with the functionality offered tailored to their expected application.
592 As expected, there is no single solution that offers the range of requirements for a remote-handling DMU
593 out-of-the-box, however both Gazebo and Toia offer haptics and include the most features highlighted in
594 this paper. They both offer API/Plugin features, however Toia documentation is limited.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

595 This research was fully funded within the LongOps programme by UKRI under the Project Reference
596 107463, NDA, and TEPCO. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of
597 the organizations.

REFERENCES

- 598 iRobot Corp (2022). Create 2 robot. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 599 Adamov, Y. O. and Yegorov, Y. A. (1987). Development of robotic systems for nuclear applications
600 including emergencies. *American Nucl. Soc., Int. Mtg on Remote Systems and Robotics in Hostile*
601 *Environments, Pasco, WA*
- 602 Algorix Simulation AB (2022a). About agx dynamics for unity. Accessed: 2022-04-01
- 603 Algorix Simulation AB (2022b). Agx dynamics real-time multi-body simulation. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 604 Alsayed, A., Nabawy, M. R., Yunusa-Kaltungo, A., Quinn, M. K., and Arvin, F. (2021). An autonomous
605 mapping approach for confined spaces using flying robots. In *Annual Conference Towards Autonomous*
606 *Robotic Systems* (Springer), 326–336
- 607 Alsayed, A., Nabawy, M. R., Yunusa-Kaltungo, A., Quinn, M. K., and Arvin, F. (2022). Real-time scan
608 matching for indoor mapping with a drone. In *AIAA SCITECH 2022 Forum*. 0268
- 609 Autodesk Inc (2022). Autocad: Cad software with design automation plus toolsets, web and mobile apps.
610 Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 611 Autodesk Inc. (2022). Maya 3d computer animation, modelling, simulation and rendering software.
612 Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 613 Ban, Z., Hu, J., Lennox, B., and Arvin, F. (2021). Self-organised collision-free flocking mechanism in
614 heterogeneous robot swarms. *Mobile Networks and Applications*, 1–11
- 615 Barbosa, F. S., Lacerda, B., Duckworth, P., Tumova, J., and Hawes, N. (2021). Risk-aware motion planning
616 in partially known environments. In *60th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, CDC 2021, Austin,*
617 *TX, USA, December 14-17, 2021* (IEEE), 5220–5226. doi:10.1109/CDC45484.2021.9683744
- 618 Blair, G. S. (2021). Digital twins of the natural environment. *Patterns* 2, 100359. doi:https://doi.org/10.
619 1016/j.patter.2021.100359
- 620 Blue Robotics (2022). Bluerov2 - affordable and capable underwater rov. Accessed: 2022-03-22
- 621 Burroughes, G., Keep, J., Goodliffe, M., Middleton-Gear, D., Kantor, A., Clark, E., et al. (2018). Precision
622 control of a slender high payload 22 dof nuclear robot system: Tarm re-ascending - 18346

- 623 Cheah, W., Khalili, H. H., Arvin, F., Green, P., Watson, S., and Lennox, B. (2019). Advanced motions for
624 hexapods. *International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems* 16, 1729881419841537. doi:10.1177/
625 1729881419841537
- 626 Chen, F.-C. and Jahanshahi, M. R. (2017). Nb-cnn: Deep learning-based crack detection using convolutional
627 neural network and naïve bayes data fusion. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics* 65, 4392–4400
- 628 Choreonoid (2022). Choreonoid operation manual. Accessed: 2022-04-01
- 629 cmlabs (2022). Vortex studio. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 630 Connor, D. T., Wood, K., Martin, P. G., Goren, S., Megson-Smith, D., Verbelen, Y., et al. (2020).
631 Corrigendum: Radiological mapping of post-disaster nuclear environments using fixed-wing unmanned
632 aerial systems: A study from chornobyl. *Frontiers Robotics AI* 7, 30. doi:10.3389/frobt.2020.00030
- 633 Coppelia Robotics, Ltd (2022). Coppeliasim: from the creators of v-rep. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 634 CoppeliaSim (2022). Coppeliasim user manual. Accessed: 2022-04-01
- 635 Cryer, A., Kapellmann-Zafra, G., Abrego-Hernandez, S., Marin-Reyes, H., and French, R. (2019).
636 Advantages of virtual reality in the teaching and training of radiation protection during interventions
637 in harsh environments. In *2019 24th IEEE International Conference on Emerging Technologies and*
638 *Factory Automation (ETF A)* (IEEE). doi:10.1109/etfa.2019.8869433
- 639 Cyberbotics Ltd. (2022). Adept's pioneer 2. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 640 Cyberbotics Ltd (2022a). User guide introduction to webots. Accessed: 2022-04-25
- 641 Cyberbotics Ltd (2022b). Webots open source robot simulator. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 642 Dassault Systèmes SolidWorks Corporation (2022). Solidworks. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 643 Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (2015). Haptix starts work to provide prosthetic hands with
644 sense of touch. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 645 Douthwaite, J., Lesage, B., Gleirscher, M., Calinescu, R., Aitken, J. M., Alexander, R., et al. (2021). A
646 modular digital twinning framework for safety assurance of collaborative robotics. *Frontiers in Robotics*
647 *and AI* 8. doi:10.3389/frobt.2021.758099
- 648 E. Coumans and Y. Bai (2022). Bullet real-time physics simulation. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 649 Echeverria, G., Lassabe, N., Degroote, A., and Lemaignan, S. (2011). Modular open robots simulation
650 engine: Morse. In *2011 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*. 46–51. doi:10.
651 1109/ICRA.2011.5980252
- 652 Epic Games, Inc (2022). Unreal engine. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 653 Facebook Technologies, LLC. (2022). Meta quest. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 654 FMI Standard (2022). Functional mock-up interface. Accessed: 2022-04-04
- 655 Gazzotti, S., Ferlay, F., Meunier, L., Viudes, P., Huc, K., Derkazarian, A., et al. (2021). Virtual and
656 augmented reality use cases for fusion design engineering. *Fusion Engineering and Design* 172, 112780.
657 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fusengdes.2021.112780
- 658 Gelhaus, F. E. and Roman, H. T. (1990). Robot applications in nuclear power plants. *Progress in Nuclear*
659 *Energy* 23, 1–33. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0149-1970(90)90012-T
- 660 Generic Robotics (2022). Generic robotics - feeling is believing. Accessed: 2022-04-28
- 661 Groves, K., Hernandez, E., West, A., Wright, T., and Lennox, B. (2021). Robotic exploration of
662 an unknown nuclear environment using radiation informed autonomous navigation. *Robotics* 10.
663 doi:10.3390/robotics10020078
- 664 Groves, K., West, A., Gornicki, K., Watson, S., Carrasco, J., and Lennox, B. (2019). Mallard: An
665 autonomous aquatic surface vehicle for inspection and monitoring of wet nuclear storage facilities.
666 *Robotics* 8. doi:10.3390/robotics8020047
- 667 HTC Corporation (2022). Vive. Accessed: 2022-02-08

- 668 Hu, J., Niu, H., Carrasco, J., Lennox, B., and Arvin, F. (2020). Voronoi-based multi-robot autonomous
669 exploration in unknown environments via deep reinforcement learning. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular*
670 *Technology* 69, 14413–14423
- 671 Hyun, D., Kim, I., Lee, J., Kim, G.-H., Jeong, K.-S., Choi, B. S., et al. (2017). A methodology to simulate
672 the cutting process for a nuclear dismantling simulation based on a digital manufacturing platform.
673 *Annals of Nuclear Energy* 103, 369–383. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anucene.2017.01.035>
- 674 Inc., R. (2022). Robodk basic guide. Accessed: 2022-04-01
- 675 Jang, I., Carrasco, J., Weightman, A., and Lennox, B. (2019). Intuitive bare-hand teleoperation of a
676 robotic manipulator using virtual reality and leap motion. In *Towards Autonomous Robotic Systems*, eds.
677 K. Althoefer, J. Konstantinova, and K. Zhang (Cham: Springer International Publishing), 283–294
- 678 Jang, I., Niu, H., Collins, E. C., Weightman, A., Carrasco, J., and Lennox, B. (2021). Virtual kinesthetic
679 teaching for bimanual telemanipulation. In *2021 IEEE/SICE International Symposium on System*
680 *Integration (SII)* (IEEE), 120–125
- 681 Jin-Yang, L., Long, G., Zhi-Yong, H., You-Peng, Z., Hu-Shan, X., Yang, S., et al. (2020). The development
682 and application of digital refueling mock-up for china initiative accelerator driven system. *Progress in*
683 *Nuclear Energy* 127, 103433. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnucene.2020.103433>
- 684 Jorge, C. A. F., Mól, A. C. A., Pereira, C. M. N., Aghina, M. A. C., and Nomiya, D. V. (2010). Human-
685 system interface based on speech recognition: application to a virtual nuclear power plant control desk.
686 *Progress in Nuclear Energy* 52, 379–386
- 687 Julio Jerez and Alain Suero (2022). Newton dynamics. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 688 Kaigom, E. G. and Roßmann, J. (2021). Value-driven robotic digital twins in cyber–physical applications.
689 *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics* 17, 3609–3619. doi:10.1109/TII.2020.3011062
- 690 Kallin, N. (2019). Sensor simulation is-agxunity a viable platform for adding synthetic sensors
- 691 Khronos Group (2022). Opendgl. the industry’s foundation for high performance graphics. Accessed:
692 2022-02-08
- 693 Lang, J., Tang, C., Gao, Y., and Lv, J. (2021). Knowledge distillation method for surface defect detection.
694 In *International Conference on Neural Information Processing* (Springer), 644–655
- 695 Lee, D., Arigi, A. M., and Kim, J. (2020). Algorithm for autonomous power-increase operation using deep
696 reinforcement learning and a rule-based system. *IEEE Access* 8, 196727–196746
- 697 Lee, J., Grey, M. X., Ha, S., Kunz, T., Jain, S., Ye, Y., et al. (2018). Dart: Dynamic animation and robotics
698 toolkit. *Journal of Open Source Software* 3, 500. doi:10.21105/joss.00500
- 699 Lin, F., Ji, Z., Wei, C., and Niu, H. (2021). Reinforcement learning-based mapless navigation with fail-safe
700 localisation. In *Annual Conference Towards Autonomous Robotic Systems* (Springer), 100–111
- 701 Liu, W., Niu, H., Mahyuddin, M. N., Herrmann, G., and Carrasco, J. (2021). A model-free deep
702 reinforcement learning approach for robotic manipulators path planning. In *2021 21st International*
703 *Conference on Control, Automation and Systems (ICCAS)* (IEEE), 512–517
- 704 Liu, Y.-k., Chen, Z.-t., Chao, N., Peng, M.-j., and Jia, Y.-h. (2022). A dose assessment method for nuclear
705 facility decommissioning based on the combination of cad and point-kernel method. *Radiation Physics*
706 *and Chemistry* 193, 109942. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2021.109942>
- 707 Lopez, E., Nath, R., and Herrmann, G. (2022). Semi-autonomous grasping for assisted glovebox operations.
708 doi:10.5281/zenodo.6353535. Second fund from EP/W001128/1, Robotics and Artificial Intelligence
709 for Nuclear Plus (RAIN+)
- 710 Ltd., C. (2022). Webots user guide. Accessed: 2022-04-01
- 711 Lu, Y., Niu, H., Savvaris, A., and Tsourdos, A. (2016). Verifying collision avoidance behaviours for
712 unmanned surface vehicles using probabilistic model checking. *IFAC-PapersOnLine* 49, 127–132

- 713 Manhães, M. M. M., Scherer, S. A., Voss, M., Douat, L. R., and Rauschenbach, T. (2016). Uuv simulator:
714 A gazebo-based package for underwater intervention and multi-robot simulation. In *OCEANS 2016*
715 *MTS/IEEE Monterey* (IEEE), 1–8
- 716 Manny Ojigbo (2014). Clearpath welcomes pr2 to the family. Accessed: 2022-02-09
- 717 Michael Sherman and Peter Eastman (2022). Simbody: Multibody physics api. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 718 Microsoft (2022). Kinect for windows. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 719 MORSE (2022). The morse simulator documentation. Accessed: 2022-04-01
- 720 Na, S., Niu, H., Lennox, B., and Arvin, F. (2022). Bio-inspired collision avoidance in swarm systems via
721 deep reinforcement learning. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*
- 722 Nakaoka, S. (2012). Choreonoid: Extensible virtual robot environment built on an integrated gui framework.
723 In *2012 IEEE/SICE International Symposium on System Integration (SII)*. 79–85. doi:10.1109/SII.2012.
724 6427350
- 725 Nancekievill, M., Jones, A. R., Joyce, M. J., Lennox, B., Watson, S., Katakura, J., et al. (2018).
726 Development of a radiological characterization submersible rov for use at fukushima daiichi. *IEEE*
727 *Transactions on Nuclear Science* 65, 2565–2572. doi:10.1109/TNS.2018.2858563
- 728 Nash, B., Walker, A., and Chambers, T. (2018). A simulator based on virtual reality to dismantle
729 a research reactor assembly using master-slave manipulators. *Annals of Nuclear Energy* 120, 1–7.
730 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anucene.2018.05.018
- 731 Niu, H., Ji, Z., Arvin, F., Lennox, B., Yin, H., and Carrasco, J. (2021a). Accelerated sim-to-real
732 deep reinforcement learning: Learning collision avoidance from human player. In *2021 IEEE/SICE*
733 *International Symposium on System Integration (SII)* (IEEE), 144–149
- 734 Niu, H., Ji, Z., Savvaris, A., and Tsourdos, A. (2020). Energy efficient path planning for unmanned surface
735 vehicle in spatially-temporally variant environment. *Ocean Engineering* 196, 106766
- 736 Niu, H., Ji, Z., Zhu, Z., Yin, H., and Carrasco, J. (2021b). 3d vision-guided pick-and-place using kuka lbr
737 iiwa robot. In *2021 IEEE/SICE International Symposium on System Integration (SII)* (IEEE), 592–593
- 738 Niu, H., Lu, Y., Savvaris, A., and Tsourdos, A. (2016). Efficient path following algorithm for unmanned
739 surface vehicle. In *OCEANS 2016-Shanghai* (IEEE), 1–7
- 740 Niu, H., Lu, Y., Savvaris, A., and Tsourdos, A. (2018). An energy-efficient path planning algorithm for
741 unmanned surface vehicles. *Ocean Engineering* 161, 308–321
- 742 Niu, H., Savvaris, A., and Tsourdos, A. (2017). Usv geometric collision avoidance algorithm for multiple
743 marine vehicles. In *OCEANS 2017-Anchorage* (IEEE), 1–10
- 744 Niu, H., Savvaris, A., Tsourdos, A., and Ji, Z. (2019). Voronoi-visibility roadmap-based path planning
745 algorithm for unmanned surface vehicles. *The Journal of Navigation* 72, 850–874
- 746 NVIDIA (2022a). Nvidia omniverse license agreement. Accessed: 2022-10-11
- 747 NVIDIA (2022b). What is isaac sim? Accessed: 2022-04-01
- 748 NVIDIA Corporation (2022a). Geforce rtx 20 series. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 749 NVIDIA Corporation (2022b). Nvidia isaac sim. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 750 NVIDIA Corporation (2022c). Nvidia optix™ ray tracing engine. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 751 NVIDIA Corporation (2022d). Nvidia physx system software. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 752 Ogre3D Team (2022). Ogre. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 753 Open Robotics (2022a). Ignition documentation. Accessed: 2022-04-01
- 754 Open Robotics (2022b). Ros - robot operating system. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 755 Open Robotics (2022c). Simulate before you build. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 756 Open Source Robotics Foundation (2022a). About gazebo. Accessed: 2022-04-25
- 757 Open Source Robotics Foundation (2022b). Gazebo: Robot simulation made easy. Accessed: 2022-02-08

- 758 Open Source Robotics Foundation (2022c). Gazebo tutorials. Accessed: 2022-04-01
- 759 Open Source Robotics Foundation, Inc (2022). Turtlebot3. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 760 Pacheco-Gutierrez, S., Niu, H., Caliskanelli, I., and Skilton, R. (2021). A multiple level-of-detail 3d data
761 transmission approach for low-latency remote visualisation in teleoperation tasks. *Robotics* 10, 89
- 762 Park, J., Kim, T., Seong, S., and Koo, S. (2022). Control automation in the heat-up mode of a nuclear
763 power plant using reinforcement learning. *Progress in Nuclear Energy* 145, 104107
- 764 Radaideh, M. I., Wolverton, I., Joseph, J., Tusar, J. J., Otgonbaatar, U., Roy, N., et al. (2021). Physics-
765 informed reinforcement learning optimization of nuclear assembly design. *Nuclear Engineering and
766 Design* 372, 110966
- 767 Ramgire, J. B. and Jagdale, S. (2016). Speech control pick and place robotic arm with flexiforce sensor. In
768 *2016 International Conference on Inventive Computation Technologies (ICICT)* (IEEE), vol. 2, 1–5
- 769 Renard, S., Holtz, A., Baylard, C., and Banduch, M. (2017). Software tool solutions for the design of
770 w7-x. *Fusion Engineering and Design* 123, 133–136. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fusengdes.2017.04.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fusengdes.2017.04.027)
771 027. Proceedings of the 29th Symposium on Fusion Technology (SOFT-29) Prague, Czech Republic,
772 September 5-9, 2016
- 773 Russ Smith (2022). Open dynamics engine. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 774 Sibois, R., Määttä, T., Siuko, M., and Mattila, J. (2014). Using digital mock-ups within simulation lifecycle
775 environment for the verification of iter remote handling systems design. *IEEE Transactions on Plasma
776 Science* 42, 698–702. doi:10.1109/TPS.2014.2298877
- 777 Skilton, R., Hamilton, N., Howell, R., Lamb, C., and Rodriguez, J. (2018). Mascot 6: Achieving high
778 dexterity tele-manipulation with a modern architectural design for fusion remote maintenance. *Fusion
779 Engineering and Design* 136, 575–578. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fusengdes.2018.03.026>. Special
780 Issue: Proceedings of the 13th International Symposium on Fusion Nuclear Technology (ISFNT-13)
- 781 The MathWorks, Inc (2022). Math. graphics. programming. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 782 Tree-C (2022). Vr4robots® – the real time interactive visualization technology for remote handling.
783 Accessed: 2022-10-12
- 784 Verbelen, Y., Martin, P. G., Ahmad, K., Kaluvan, S., and Scott, T. B. (2021). Miniaturised low-cost gamma
785 scanning platform for contamination identification, localisation and characterisation: A new instrument
786 in the decommissioning toolkit. *Sensors* 21, 2884. doi:10.3390/s21082884
- 787 Verbelen, Y., Megson-Smith, D., Russell-Pavier, F. S., Martin, P. G., Connor, D. T., Tucker, M. R., et al.
788 (2022). A flexible power delivery system for remote nuclear inspection instruments. In *8th International
789 Conference on Automation, Robotics and Applications, ICARA 2022, Prague, Czech Republic, February
790 18-20, 2022* (IEEE), 170–175. doi:10.1109/ICARA55094.2022.9738575
- 791 Wang, H., Peng, M.-j., Liu, Y.-k., Liu, S.-w., Xu, R.-y., and Saeed, H. (2020). Remaining useful life
792 prediction techniques of electric valves for nuclear power plants with convolution kernel and lstm.
793 *Science and Technology of Nuclear Installations* 2020
- 794 Wehe, D., Lee, J., Martin, W., Mann, R., Hamel, W., and Tulenko, J. (1989). 10. intelligent robotics and
795 remote systems for the nuclear industry. *Nuclear Engineering and Design* 113, 259–267. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0029-5493\(89\)90077-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0029-5493(89)90077-0)
- 796
- 797 Welburn, E., Wright, T., Marsh, C., Lim, S., Gupta, A., Crowther, W., et al. (2019). A mixed reality
798 approach to robotic inspection of remote environments. In *UK-RAS19 Conference: "Embedded
799 Intelligence: Enabling & Supporting RAS Technologies" Proceedings*. 72–74. doi:10.31256/UKRAS19.
- 800 19

- 801 Williams, R. A., Jia, X., Ikin, P., and Knight, D. (2011). Use of multiscale particle simulations in the design
802 of nuclear plant decommissioning. *Particuology* 9, 358–364. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/j.partic.2010.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.partic.2010.10.003)
803 10.003. Multiscale Modeling and Simulation of Complex Particulate Systems
- 804 Wisth, D., Camurri, M., and Fallon, M. (2019). Robust legged robot state estimation using factor graph
805 optimization. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters* 4, 4507–4514. doi:10.1109/LRA.2019.2933768
- 806 Wright, T., West, A., Licata, M., Hawes, N., and Lennox, B. (2021). Simulating ionising radiation in
807 gazebo for robotic nuclear inspection challenges. *Robotics* 10, 86. doi:10.3390/robotics10030086
- 808 Zhao, Y., Diao, X., Huang, J., and Smidts, C. (2019). Automated identification of causal relationships in
809 nuclear power plant event reports. *Nuclear Technology* 205, 1021–1034