



UKAEA-RACE-PR(23)06

Alice Cryer, Alfie Sargent, Fumiaki Abe, Paul Dominick Baniqued, Ipek Caliskanelli, Hasan Kivrak, Hanlin Niu, Salvador Pacheco-Gutierrez, Alexandros Plianos, Masaki Sakamoto, Tomoki Sakaue, Wataru Sato, Shu Shirai, Yoshimasa Sugawara, Harun Tugal, Andika Yudha, Robert Skilton

Digital mock-ups for nuclear Decommissioning: a survey on existing Simulation tools for industry applications

Enquiries about copyright and reproduction should in the first instance be addressed to the UKAEA Publications Officer, Culham Science Centre, Building K1/O/83 Abingdon, Oxfordshire, OX14 3DB, UK. The United Kingdom Atomic Energy Authority is the copyright holder.

The contents of this document and all other UKAEA Preprints, Reports and Conference Papers are available to view online free at scientific-publications.ukaea.uk/

Digital mock-ups for nuclear Decommissioning: a survey on existing Simulation tools for industry applications

Alice Cryer, Alfie Sargent, Fumiaki Abe, Paul Dominick Baniqued,
Ipek Caliskanelli, Hasan Kivrak, Hanlin Niu, Salvador Pacheco-
Gutierrez, Alexandros Plianos, Masaki Sakamoto, Tomoki
Sakaue, Wataru Sato, Shu Shirai, Yoshimasa Sugawara, Harun
Tugal, Andika Yudha, Robert Skilton

Digital Mock-Ups for Nuclear Decommissioning: A survey on existing simulation tools for industry applications

Alice Cryer^{1,*}, Alfie Sargent¹, Fumiaki Abe², Paul Dominick Baniqued³, Ipek Caliskanelli^{1,*}, Hasan Kivrak³, Hanlin Niu^{1,3}, Salvador Pacheco-Gutierrez¹, Alexandros Plianos¹, Masaki Sakamoto², Tomoki Sakaue², Wataru Sato², Shu Shirai², Yoshimasa Sugawara², Harun Tugal¹, Andika Yudha¹ and Robert Skilton¹

¹ *Remote Applications in Challenging Environments (RACE), UK Atomic Energy Authority, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxfordshire, United Kingdom*

² *TEPCO, 1-1-3 Uchisaiwai-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan*

³ *University of Manchester, Oxford Rd, Manchester, United Kingdom*

Correspondence*:

Alice Cryer, Ipek Caliskanelli

alice.cryer@ukaea.uk, ipek.caliskanelli@ukaea.uk

2 ABSTRACT

3 The maturation of Virtual Reality software introduces new avenues of nuclear decommissioning
4 research. Digital Mockups are an emerging technology which provide a virtual representation of
5 the environment, objects or processes, supporting the whole lifecycle of product development
6 and operations. This paper provides a survey on currently available simulation tools to design
7 digital mock-ups required for safe remote decommissioning activities in the nuclear industry. The
8 survey looks at eleven simulation tools; CoppeliaSim, Gazebo Classic, Gazebo (Ignition), Nvidia
9 Omniverse Isaac Sim, WeBots, Choreonoid, AGX Dynamics, MORSE, VR4Robots, RoboDK, and
10 Toia. Using the available documentation, the different capabilities of these software packages
11 were assessed for their suitability to nuclear decommissioning; such as environment simulation,
12 haptic interfaces, and general usability.

1 INTRODUCTION

13 Accomplishing safe and effective nuclear decommissioning is an ongoing global challenge. The ALARA
14 (as low as reasonably achievable) principle is a key concept in intervention planning, requiring constant
15 research into new techniques to reduce occupational exposure to radiation. One major technique is the
16 deployment of robotic solutions into the decommissioning environment instead of a human worker. This is
17 also called *remote handling*, and is a cornerstone of the modern nuclear decommissioning process. However
18 this approach introduces new challenges that must be taken in consideration when designing a suitable
19 remote handling system:

- 20 • The environment of decommissioning sites is often unknown and unstructured
21 • The presence of high levels of radiation which can cause significant damage and degradation to
22 electronic circuits.

23 Developing robotic tools for unstructured environments, such as the Fukushima Daiichi Power Plant after
24 the nuclear disaster, requires considerations for how the operators will be able to view and navigate their
25 surroundings. Suitable robotic systems would need additional sensors for localisation and mapping, and
26 have a physical design capable of navigating around obstacles and manoeuvring in tight spaces.

27 However, the radiation levels is a limiting factor on the electronics that can be deployed. During nuclear
28 decommissioning tasks, radiation will be present in the general background environment, as well as the
29 strong probability that robotic manipulators will be in direct contact with radioactive sources. This means
30 that robotic components must be either made to be radiation tolerant, and/or be easily replaceable in case
31 of failure. If the latter, considerations must be made for how this maintenance would be carried out, as
32 human presence in high radiation areas is limited.

33 The recent rise and maturation of virtual reality (VR) and simulation software has led to the research
34 and development of new tools and software. Industries such as film and video games favour tools like
35 BlenderBlender (2023) for its photo-realistic features. However it is not feasible for this paper to evaluate
36 every simulation tool available, so this paper will focus on a selection of Virtual Reality and simulation
37 tools that have certain robotics features available off-the-shelf. A simulation is a model of a system or
38 process. It is used to assess defining parameters and mechanisms, and can also be used to predict future
39 behaviour. Virtual Reality is a computer-generated visualisation where users both experience and interact
40 with a simulated three dimensional audio-visual (and sometimes tactile) environment Barker (1).

41 VR and simulation platforms can be used develop tools such as Digital Mock-Ups (DMUs) and Digital
42 Twins. DTs and DMUs are similar tools, however, this work focuses on Digital Mock-ups, which has
43 several key differences which distinguish them from Digital Twins: A Digital Twin (DT) is the digital
44 representation of a physical environment, machine, or structure, whose state that is (at least) periodically
45 updated to reflect the physical object's actual state. DMUs are interactive digital models that are used for
46 mock-up purposes - such as training, design, testing, etc. DMUs are distinct from DTs: DTs are used to
47 mirror the physical with the virtual, while DMUs extend this virtual representation through simulation, and
48 by doing so, do not necessarily reflect the immediate current physical state of what they represent.

49 DMUs open a new avenue of remote handling research: the development of a DMU that brings together
50 virtual reality and simulation software with live robotic sensor data. The use of a DMU would give operators
51 more information on the state of the environment, presenting several advantages for both planning and
52 remote maintenance operations. The aims of the DMU would be:

- 53 • Accelerate strategy development
54 • Assist in identifying and developing operator skills required for the remote maintenance tasks
55 • Provide a test bed to design, optimise, and test: tools, equipment, and operations, prior to robot
56 deployment
57 • Provide live-stream data to augment operators' understanding of the decommissioning environment
58 and remote maintenance tasks during the deployment
59 • Collate and review deployments in order to learn, feeding back into the acceleration of strategy
60 development which is the first point on this list

61 This paper is a survey of existing simulation and VR software, using their documentation to assess the
62 features each of them provide. The focus is on their potential to create a Next Generation Digital Mock-up
63 (NG-DMU), a concept for a future DMU with enhanced function, interoperability, and performance, for
64 a nuclear decommissioning use-case. This paper will include a literature review of the relevant areas of
65 interest for a nuclear decommissioning NG-DMU. The review will look at current research and deployment
66 in the nuclear industry of: simulation, virtual reality, and deep learning tools; the use of robotics, and
67 the use of digital twins. The key software features of the simulation tools have been identified, with
68 overview of how these relate to the above aims for the creation of a nuclear decommissioning NG-DMU.
69 The simulation tools will be reviewed, presenting their main user-base and the prominent features of the
70 software. This overview will lead into and inform a comparison between the tools; how their features
71 compare and contrast, and potentially used together in a complementary setup.

72

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

73 This section will review the existing literature regarding the relevant areas of interest for a nuclear
74 decommissioning NG-DMU. The review has been divided into three categories: the current research and
75 deployment of simulation and virtual reality tools in the nuclear industry; the use of robotics and the use
76 of digital twins in the nuclear industry, and how deep learning can be used in DMUs and in the nuclear
77 industry.

78 2.1 Simulation and Virtual Reality tools for the Nuclear Industry

79 Simulation is a powerful technique to predict the future state of the simulated object, or environment. It
80 is particularly interesting for radioactive environments as it allows for the environment to be investigated
81 without the need for physical presence - removing the risk to personnel and electronic systems. Conventional
82 radiation simulations using the Monte Carlo method are computationally intense, and time consuming. A
83 new technique for gamma dose estimations using the point kernel method and CAD was developed as a
84 more efficient and flexible alternative, by Liu et al. (2022), even allowing the simulation environment to be
85 updated online. The accuracy was verified against Monte Carlo N-Particle (MCNP) code, and found to be
86 reliable within the set parameters: 01-10 MeV photons and 0-20 mfp shielding thickness. Simulations can
87 also provide insight into proposed decommissioning methodologies, such as cutting in work by Williams
88 et al. (2011), and Hyun et al. (2017). Nash et al. (2018) successfully integrated VR hand controllers in
89 training decommissioning simulations, where HTC Vive hand controllers were used to provide input and
90 haptic feedback to a remote teleoperation task.

91 Immersive virtual reality applications can be used in a range of applications in the nuclear industry, such
92 as visualizing and assessing different maintenance procedures like refuelling as in work by Jin-Yang et al.
93 (2020), or for training, where Cryer et al. (2019) developed a platform where virtual dosimeters can track
94 worker doses during a decommissioning training scenario. The maturation of virtual reality has led to its
95 development for future applications in nuclear environments, including nuclear fusion, such as work by
96 Gazzotti et al. (2021).

97 2.2 Robotics and Digital Twins in the Nuclear Industry

98 The deployment of robots (then known as remote systems) in the nuclear industry has been implemented
99 since the 1940s, and is relatively as old as nuclear research itself, (Wehe et al., 1989). Such systems were

100 mainly developed to protect human operators from hazardous environments during typical scenarios but
101 have since expanded their application to decommissioning and surveillance of serious safety incidents.

102 Early robots have played a critical part in the remote inspection and recovery operations in major nuclear
103 disasters such as Chernobyl and the Three Mile Island incidents (Wehe et al., 1989), (Adamov and Yegorov,
104 1987), (Gelhaus and Roman, 1990). In nuclear decommissioning, most of the tasks developed for robots are
105 related to inspection and handling. Remote inspection involves using robot sensors (i.e. vision, geometric,
106 environmental) to scan the facility and gather data for future use. For example, (Groves et al., 2021) have
107 shown a mobile inspection robot can use its LIDAR (Light Detection and Ranging) system, cameras, and
108 radiation detectors to explore and map an unknown nuclear facility environment while avoiding hot spots
109 of ionising radiation. The generated map can then be used to plan future missions where more active tasks
110 such as remote handling and teleoperation are involved.

111 (Connor et al., 2020) have successfully mapped 15 km² around the Chernobyl nuclear power plant using
112 a fixed-wing unmanned aerial system (UAS). This demonstrated that UASs can be deployed on radiation
113 mapping surveys and return to safe areas afterwards. In addition to the other findings, a localized hot-spot
114 previously unreported in literature was discovered in the survey area using the UAS.

115 Risk-aware robotics have also been researched in the sense of inspection, as seen in Barbosa et al. (2021):
116 a risk-cost function can be used to calculate the path of minimal cost, when the robot's motion-planning
117 algorithm has no prior model of the environmental hazards. The function was demonstrated using both
118 sampling-based and optimisation-based approaches, where the robot's goal was to move from the initial
119 state to the target area, without modeling the hazard beyond the samples taken en-route.

120 Surveys such as these require novel radiation detectors which are low-cost and easy to deploy. Verbelen
121 et al. (2021) developed a miniaturised gamma-scanning platform for decommissioning scenarios, the
122 'CC-RIAS', for the purpose of environment mapping and radioactive waste characterization. The system
123 was specifically designed to be small enough to deploy through access ports in nuclear sites, and includes a
124 commercial CZT gamma spectrometer and a motorised pan-tilt base.

125 Radiation-hardened or radiation-tolerant electronics is also important to research, in particular power
126 systems which are sensitive to radiation. (Verbelen et al., 2022) integrated a buck-boost converter circuit
127 into a radiation inspection instrument and then deployed it at the Chernobyl Nuclear Power Plant. It was
128 exposed to an integrated dose in excess of 0.3 mGy over 2 weeks of field work, with no failures observed.

129 Nuclear robots can also be categorised based on the environment or scenario where they are operated.
130 For example, ground robots which come in various forms (i.e. legged, wheeled, tracked, etc.) can be used
131 to survey human-level operations. They are deployed based on their mechanical capabilities of moving
132 through terrain: wheeled robots are limited to relatively smooth surfaces free of clutter, seen in Groves
133 et al. (2021), whereas legged robots such as quadrupeds and hexapods can move through obstacles and
134 navigate through stairwells, shown by (Wisth et al., 2019), and (Cheah et al., 2019). On the other hand,
135 aquatic robots designed to traverse the surface (e.g. MALLARD Groves et al. (2019)) or be submerged
136 underwater (e.g. AVEXIS Nancekievill et al. (2018) and BlueROV2 Blue Robotics (2022)) can be deployed
137 in water tanks and other reservoirs, while drones or Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV) are used primarily
138 to scan and capture images of the environment in areas where ground or aquatic robots are not able to
139 reach. Remote handling operations involve the use of manipulators in mobile and glove box scenarios
140 (Lopez et al., 2022). The JET fusion reactor uses MASCOT (Skilton et al., 2018), consisting of two 7
141 degree-of-freedom tele-manipulators for routine inspection and maintenance tasks. MASCOT is mounted

142 on the articulated boom of a telescoping arm (the TARM Burroughes et al. (2018)), which allows it to be
143 moved around the fusion vessel without impacting the sides.

144 The purpose of DMUs is to extend the capabilities of its users during the operation of a nuclear
145 robot. DMUs can be programmed to reflect the physical status of each component. These digital models
146 are virtual representations of physical objects or processes that are periodically updated to reflect their
147 physical counterpart, for the purpose of mock-up. Digital Twins are primarily used in simulations and data
148 visualisations during the design and development stages, they have since expanded their scope as vital
149 components of cyber-physical systems (Kaigom and Roßmann, 2021), (Douthwaite et al., 2021). In the
150 nuclear industry, the integration of physical robotic systems and their Digital Twins enable an intuitive
151 human-robot interaction, such as the combination of VR and a Leap Motion controller for tele-operating a
152 robotic manipulator Jang et al. (2019) and the use of mixed reality systems for remote inspection (Welburn
153 et al., 2019). DMUs have been used in nuclear fusion engineering in the design of the Wendelstein-7X
154 stellarator fusion device (Renard et al., 2017), and the design verification of ITER's remote handling
155 systems (Sibois et al., 2014).

156 Digital Twins can also refer to digital environments based on actual locations where a robot may be
157 operated (Blair, 2021) (Jang et al., 2021). The purpose of environmental Digital Twins in robotics is to
158 digitally represent and recreate the physical boundaries and external processes that interact with the robotic
159 device. In this way, a robot and its intended user are provided with accurate information on its surroundings,
160 leading to better and more efficient mission planning (Wright et al., 2021).

161 It is worth noting that simulations and DMUs, while effective visualisation avenues for robot states, are
162 as effective as how users interpret the data. In the recent years, the implementation of better human-robot
163 interaction strategies continue to grow as this aspect of robot operations and its contribution to the efficiency
164 of a mission is more realised; such as the case of implementing virtual and augmented reality to robotic
165 systems to increase user immersion through heightened situational awareness and control during the
166 operation (Welburn et al., 2019).

167 **2.3 Deep Learning for Nuclear Industry and DMUs**

168 With the access to advanced hardware and large training dataset, deep learning shows its potential to be
169 used in the nuclear industry to improve production efficiency, reduce operation cost, and improve safety.
170 For long range teleoperation, it is possible to stream only the vision-based detection result instead of
171 transmitting the whole point cloud or real-time videos from the decommissioning site to the operator. VR
172 environments could render the digital representation instead of the raw data that will require a large internet
173 bandwidth, otherwise, data compression and decompression might be needed (Pacheco-Gutierrez et al.,
174 2021). We introduce the application of deep learning in the nuclear industry in three fields, i.e., vision
175 based object detection, sequence data processing, and deep reinforcement learning based control system.

176 Periodic inspection of the equipment and prediction of Remaining Useful Life (RUL) are common
177 ways for ensuring the safe operation of nuclear industry. As the nuclear environment is complex, with
178 high radiation dose exposure, it is inefficient and expensive to operate manual periodic inspection. A
179 crack detection algorithm based on Naive Bayesian data fusion scheme and CNN for nuclear reactors was
180 proposed in Chen and Jahanshahi (2017). This method enables autonomous detection for each video frame
181 and it achieves 98.3% hit rate against 0.1 false positives per frame. A multi-scale attention mechanism
182 guided knowledge distillation method is proposed in Lang et al. (2021) for surface defect detection. It
183 enables a student model to mimic the complex teacher model through the use of knowledge distillation
184 techniques. A class-weighted cross entropy loss was introduced to address the imbalance of foreground

185 and background in defect detection. The efficient performance of the proposed algorithm was validated by
186 using three benchmarks. Convolution kernel was integrated with Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) in
187 Wang et al. (2020) for predicting the RUL of electric valves by using the excellent capability of sequential
188 analysis of LSTM.

189 Sequential data includes text document data and sensor sequence signal data in the nuclear industry
190 chain. The deep learning researchers mainly use Natural Language Processing (NLP) algorithms or
191 LSTM algorithms to process signal data for prediction and classification. Based on NLP techniques, a
192 rule-based expert system, Causal Relationship Identification (CaRI), is proposed in Zhao et al. (2019).
193 The proposed method is applied to analyze the abstract section of the reports from the U.S. Nuclear
194 Regulatory Commission Licensee Event Report database. Based on signal processing technique named
195 cepstral analysis (Jorge et al., 2010), an automatic speech recognition interface is developed to serve as a
196 new operator interface in VR environment for operating virtual control task through spoken commands
197 instead of keyboard and mouse. In Ramgire and Jagdale (2016), a speech control system is developed to
198 control a robotic arm with flexiforce sensor to pick and place objects. Mel-Frequency Cepstrum Coefficients
199 (MFCC) algorithms were introduced to extract features for speech and speaker recognition. The speech
200 recognition can be used for security authentication and speech automatic recognition is used for machine
201 control. The sequential data process using deep learning could improve the operating efficiency while the
202 VR operator is executing missions in VR environment.

203 Deep reinforcement learning has also become a common method in solving control problems in nuclear
204 applications, because of its efficient computing strength and because it does not require a system model
205 in advance. Deep reinforcement learning and proximal policy optimization are integrated in Radaideh
206 et al. (2021) by establishing a connection through reward shaping between reinforcement learning and the
207 tactics fuel designers follow in practice by moving fuel rods in the assembly to meet specific constraints
208 and objectives. This algorithm is applied on two boiling water reactor assemblies of low-dimensional (
209 $\sim 2 \times 10^6$ combinations) and high-dimensional ($\sim 10^{31}$ combinations) natures. The results demonstrate
210 the proposed algorithm find more feasible patterns, 4-5 times more than Stochastic Optimization (SO), by
211 taking advantage of RL outstanding computational efficiency. Another research work by Park et al. (2022)
212 applied reinforcement learning in Compact Nuclear Simulator (CNS) and key elements for reinforcement
213 learning are designed to be suitable for the heat-up mode. A neural-network structure and a CNS deep
214 RL mechanism are presented as a solution to the automatic control problem. An asynchronous advantage
215 actor-critic algorithm was integrated with a LSTM network to solve the operator task for which establishing
216 clear rules or logic was challenging in (Lee et al., 2020). The proposed neural network was trained using
217 CNS system and was proven capable of identifying an acceptable operating path for increasing the reactor
218 power from 2% to 100% at a specified rate of power increase, and its result was found to be identical to
219 that of the established operation strategy.

220 This section covered a literature review of the relevant areas of interest for a nuclear decommissioning
221 NG-DMU. The next section will set out the key features identified for an NG-DMU created for a nuclear
222 decommissioning use-case.

3 KEY SOFTWARE FEATURES

223 The aim of a next-generation DMU for nuclear decommissioning is to aid operators' understanding of
224 the environmental hazards, and help with the planning and execution of decommissioning tasks. This
225 will require the integration of environmental and robotic simulations, live sensor visualisation including

226 techniques such as object detection, and robot interfaces. Robots involved with nuclear decommissioning are
227 likely operating in an unknown, unstructured environment, which might include hazards such as confined
228 or cluttered terrain, and radioactive waste. The latter in particular distinguishes nuclear decommissioning
229 from other robotic applications.

230 The NG-DMU is a culmination of many different technologies and research areas that will all be used to
231 improve the decommissioning process. The considerations can be split into 3 main sections: the simulation,
232 the robotics, and the usability.

233 A list of desirable features was used to evaluate the simulation software investigated. The assessment is
234 qualitative due to the absence of set standards of measurement for many of the features under consideration.

235 3.1 Digital Model Simulation features

236 The Digital Model is a realistic virtual representation of the target environment and is one of the core
237 properties of the Digital Twin. It requires a variety of technologies and disciplines, including: kinematics
238 and dynamics, control, deformation, environmental simulations, radiation simulations, CAD models,
239 control system simulation, and many more.

240 The following criteria will investigate the feasibility of software by their overall simulation properties:
241 physics engines, rendering functionality, environmental simulations, rigid body dynamics and control and
242 camera/scene properties.

243 3.1.1 Physics Engines

244 A physics engine provides an approximation of physical parameters to create a more realistic
245 representation of the scene it is modelling. This work is interested in the range of physics engines
246 available, and the type of engine available - Bullet (E. Coumans and Y. Bai, 2022), ODE (Russ Smith,
247 2022), PhysX (NVIDIA Corporation, 2022d) for example.

248 3.1.2 Rendering

249 The rendering property within a simulation is defined as the process that creates photorealistic 3D model
250 within the scene and includes myriad properties (lighting, shading, texture quality, etc). This work is
251 interested in the rendering engine, and the quality of its output.

252 3.1.3 Environmental Simulations and Lighting effects

253 Environmental Simulations (Fluids, heat, radiation etc) are critical for the use case in question as the
254 environmental properties for decommissioning can vary wildly. In this work, this criterion is assessed by
255 the range of environmental simulations that can be simulated relevant to expected use case requirements,
256 and if these simulations can be run natively - within the software and not reliant on a 3rd party plugin for
257 example.

258 The lighting within 3D software is hugely important to how the user observes the scene that they are
259 operating in and a trade-off is always made between performance optimisation and lighting quality. As a
260 result, the view generation software being used must be capable of editing the lighting effects visible inside
261 the scene extensively. This criterion is interested in the availability of real time lighting, the lighting types
262 and light probes, the customisation and range of precomputation techniques (baking, compositing, caching,
263 etc), colour space (Linear and gamma), simulations beyond standard visual spectrum (IR, etc).

264 3.1.4 Rigid Body Dynamics and Control

265 Rigid Body Dynamics are critical for remote handling use cases as they use robotic actuators as an input
266 for the simulation and they must be modelled as accurately as possible. This criterion is interested in:

- 267 • Compliance
- 268 • Flexibility of joints
- 269 • Type and number of surrogate models available
- 270 • Real-time vs non-real time characteristic
- 271 • Contact interaction (rigid vs impulse)
- 272 • Soft body and advanced multi body dynamic packages
- 273 • Available API (application programming interface) and plugin options

274 3.1.5 Camera Properties

275 Camera Properties relates to how a scene is displayed, navigated and edited. The following criteria will
276 focus on the general in-scene properties that are available within the view generation software:

277 **3.1.5.1 View Control**

278 This is the basic way in which the scene can be viewed within the view generation software. The end
279 user for this NG-DMU will be operations and project engineers and other personnel that require a range
280 of controls to navigate the environment. This criterion is interested in the range of view control options
281 (orbiting, pilot, shortcuts, hardware interfacing, etc), whether the software has a tool to support changing
282 the perspective of the view (isometric and orthogonal viewpoints), whether cross section views are available,
283 and whether objects can be easily centred and the view adjusted.

284 **3.1.5.2 Camera/Scene View Properties**

285 Simulated camera representation is vitally important for remote operation of a manipulator, as it enables
286 the user to adjust their view to provide as much information as possible. For the purpose of this case study,
287 the criterion concerns the native editing of cameras within the scene (FoV, path planning, etc), the ability to
288 view multiple concurrent viewpoints, the effect of multiple cameras on performance, and the integration to
289 real camera hardware (AR, registration, etc).

290 **3.1.5.3 View Customisation**

291 The ability to customize the view of the environment is very interesting, as this can be used to improve
292 the overall quality of the image being viewed. This criterion is interested in the intrinsic camera parameters
293 (real world camera properties, FoV, Aspect Ratio, lens distortion, etc), and the extrinsic camera parameters
294 (pan, tilt, etc)

295 **3.1.5.4 Scene Graph Editing**

296 A scene graph is a generic data structure that is used in view generation software to illustrate the spatial
297 representation of a graphical scene often represented as a tree with the nodes of that tree representing
298 objects. This is the live data structure that stores the objects within the scene and how they relate to each
299 other; editing this graph enables you to change the scene properties.

300 This criterion is concerned with the availability of object transformation (e.g. translate, rotate, scale), the
301 attachment of objects (i.e. changing object parent), and functionalities such as undo & redo.

302 3.2 Robotics Features

303 3.2.1 Virtual Sensors

304 Having virtual sensors be applied within the simulation will enable the developer to create a more realistic
305 NG-DMU, where users can receive data from the sensors being used in the environment. Examples of
306 sensors that can be used include: LIDAR, IR, Force-Torque, Proximity, Cameras, IMU, etc. For this case
307 study, this criterion concerns: the range of sensors that area available within the simulation, how these
308 sensors can then be further edited, how the sensor information is displayed to the user.

309 3.2.2 Robot Model Library

310 It is important that a range of robots can be tested within this simulation to ensure flexibility within the
311 use case environment. As a result, this criterion is interested in: the range and type of robots available
312 within the software (arms, wheeled, locomotion, parallel, etc), how often the libraries are updated, the
313 extent to which the robotic actuators can be edited (different end-effectors for example), and the ease to
314 add CAD models of bespoke robotic hardware with supporting plugins for kinematic representation.

315 3.2.3 Robotic Specific Features

316 The remote handling of a robot can be assisted through software certain features within the robot
317 simulation. This criterion is concerned with: the number of features that are available within the software
318 (object detection, learning, training, path planning, locomotion etc), the kinematic movement available
319 (inverse/forward), the data that is displayed in the simulation (HMI) and the DH parameters that can be
320 used. It is also important to consider the ease of which they can be implemented and the extent of the
321 customisation available. It is important to identify what features are available internally/natively and what
322 features can be implemented using an external/plugin. Furthermore, certain features are more relative to
323 the use case in which the NG-DMU would be used.

324 3.2.4 Haptic Interface

325 The operator team will be using the software for decommissioning and may require haptic feedback to
326 ensure the remote handling provides them with as much feedback as possible. Therefore, it is important that
327 the robotic simulation software being used can interface with a haptic device. This criterion is measured by
328 the availability of a haptic interface internally within the software, the ease of which this interface can be
329 implemented (this can be an arduous process), the type of feedback that is available, and the customisation
330 of this interface (force ratios, collision parameters, etc)

331 3.2.5 Deep Learning Capabilities

332 The capability of supporting deep learning algorithms in simulation is very important as it provides us the
333 opportunity to make robot to learn variety kinds of behaviour in simulation before transferring to the real
334 robot. When robot learns navigation or control policy, it will possibly make mistake or occur operational
335 error, thus, making it learns each behaviour in simulator first will reduce the operational cost and risk
336 significantly. Moreover, it is possible to accelerate the learning process using simulator, as some simulators
337 support simulating multiple robot agents simultaneously, generating a lot of training dataset and allowing
338 each robot to learn parallelly.

339 3.3 Usability features

340 The usability of the software is a measure of how easy and intuitive the software is to use. For example,
341 the documentation fidelity, and the import and export processes available. The final criteria bracket focuses
342 on the overall usability, ergonomics and workflow of the system and User experience (UX) of the software,
343 to determine if it is suitable for use in the NG-DMU. To determine this criterion the following sub criteria
344 points have been made: Scene import and export, API and Plugin availability, overall ergonomics and UX,
345 Licensing/Maturity, Documentation and Assistance.

346 3.3.1 Import and Export/Scene Management

347 The general workflow for the project must be assessed and compared with supporting APIs and software
348 to determine the validity of the software overall. Considering most decommissioning projects are long-term
349 with multiple collaborating engineers, a shared ergonomic workflow is vital.

350 An NG-DMU project will likely require multiple engineers, designers and operators to collaborate,
351 potentially internationally, which produces a variety of logistical challenges. Therefore, it is imperative that
352 the operation of this software be as ergonomic as possible and thus the importation and exportation of a
353 scene must be user friendly. This criterion is assessed by: The use of industry standard scene file types for
354 import and export (XML, USD, etc), the quality of the exported scene (lost data, etc), exporting selected
355 objects as part of a scene, version control and collaborative editing of a project. Also of interest is whether
356 using the software result in “vendor lock-in”, where that software must be used exclusively.

357 3.3.2 Security

358 Cloud services have now become an established part of modern data storage, and simulation software
359 is no different - however this raises the question of adequate security for sensitive data, and in the case
360 of models uploaded to online libraries, the owner of the model IP. Most of the software presented in
361 this work use files stored locally, and do not require an ongoing internet connection - excepting when
362 accessing online-only content such as model libraries for example. While security of the data should be a
363 consideration for users, an in-depth analysis of encryption and security standards will not be explored in
364 this work.

365 3.3.3 Documentation & Tools

366 Although the NG-DMU is designed to be robust and intuitive, the supporting software systems should
367 have a range of technical tools and documentation to assist users. A competent software will have
368 significant support and documentation available with up-to-date wiki entries, a popular forum and tools to
369 diagnose any issues that may occur. This criterion is assessed by the quality of official and/or community
370 documentation to support development, and official tools provided by the distributor that can be used
371 throughout development.

372 3.3.4 Plugins and API Support

373 The features and evaluation section of this work is not intended to be exhaustive of all the features
374 required for an NG-DMU. The reviewed software is also unlikely to have all of the functionality that has
375 been mentioned. Therefore, it is vital that the simulation software have a wide range of API functionality
376 and plugin support. This criterion is interested in: The support available for APIs and Plugin modules
377 (documentation, community support, etc), the ease of which these APIs/Plugins can be integrated, whether
378 off-the-shelf API/Plugins for hardware connectivity (haptics, robots, etc) are available, the API/Plugin

379 capability and extensibility available, and the range of APIs/Plugins that are available for any missing
380 criteria in relation to this report.

381 For a successful NG-DMU, multiple different technologies will need to work together, thus, plugin and
382 API support is vitally important.

383 3.3.5 Licencing & Maturity

384 A successfully deployed NG-DMU would be used for several decades and thus any supporting software
385 that will be used must have considerable support. To assess the maturity and longevity of the suggested
386 software(s), the following are considered:

387 For open-source software: The git commit history (such as number of commits, forks, stars and issues),
388 with emphasis on recent git history (2020-present) and activity (new versions released, forks, commits,
389 etc). This ensures ongoing, community-driven support.

390 For licensed software: The age of the software being used and reliance on external support.

391 This section covered the key features of a simulation software used to create an NG-DMU for a nuclear
392 decommissioning use-case.

4 REVIEW & SURVEY

393 This section will look at the different simulation tools available. It will give an overview of each piece of
394 software, its intended user-base, and the notable features of the software.

395 4.1 Coppeliasim (V-Rep)

396 Coppeliasim (Coppelia Robotics, Ltd, 2022) is a robotic development toolkit developed by Coppelia
397 Robotics. Previously called V-Rep, the toolkit is opensource with commercial licences available which
398 enables extended functionality, plugin support and integration with other tools.

399 The software has a distributed control architecture, where each object or model within a scene can be
400 individually controlled - either by an API client, plugin, ROS/ROS2 node (Open Robotics, 2022b), etc.
401 The software can be tailored to bespoke requirements. The controller can be written in several different
402 languages (C, C++, Python, Java, MATLAB (The MathWorks, Inc, 2022), Lua, Octave), resulting in a
403 versatile toolkit.

404 It supports the Bullet physics library, Open Dynamics Engine (ODE), Vortex Studio (cmlabs, 2022),
405 Newton Dynamics engine (Julio Jerez and Alain Suero, 2022). The user is able to set the physics engine
406 used by the software. This is significant, as the rigid body dynamics customisation is dependent on the
407 physics engine. The rendering quality is high and it supports both simple OpenGL (Khronos Group, 2022)
408 rendering and GPU intensive rendering. While the native library is not extensive, Coppeliasim supports the
409 AutoCAD (Autodesk Inc, 2022) file format DXF for shape import. The mesh import/export functionality is
410 handled via a plugin. Collision detection is available, along with highlight of collision objects.

411 Proximity Sensors (customisable ray types, detection volume, etc) area available, as well as Vision Sensors
412 (customisable by resolution, API, etc), and Force Sensors (customisable by filters, sample size, trigger
413 settings, etc).

414 CoppeliaSim supports haptic devices through ROS support, with tutorials on its setup. A Geometric
415 plugin available to enable robotic features implemented independent of the full simulation. Path planning
416 is also implementable.

417 **4.2 Gazebo Classic**

418 Gazebo Classic(Open Source Robotics Foundation, 2022b) is an established and well-known robotic
419 simulation toolkit. It provides a large library of robots and physics engines and a variety of interfaces
420 and virtual sensors for users to design and test robotic solutions. It also has external interfaces capable of
421 working with both ROS and ROS2. It has strong support and version control, with several stable releases
422 being developed over the years.

423 Four Physics engines are available in Gazebo (ODE, Bullet, Sim-body (Michael Sherman and Peter
424 Eastman, 2022), and DART), which handle rigid body dynamics. Utilizing the OGRE rendering engine,
425 Gazebo provides realistic rendering of environments including high-quality lighting, shadows, and textures.
426 Fluid simulation is also available.

427 Gazebo has extensive sensor, robot and actuator libraries from laser range finders (Niu et al., 2021a),
428 2D/3D cameras, Kinect-style sensors (Microsoft, 2022), contact sensors, force-torque. Many robots are
429 provided including PR2 (Manny Ojigbo, 2014), Pioneer2 DX (Cyberbotics Ltd., 2022), iRobot Create
430 (iRobot Corp, 2022), Universal robot arm (Liu et al., 2021), Kuka robot arm (Niu et al., 2021b) and
431 TurtleBot (Open Source Robotics Foundation, Inc, 2022) (Lin et al., 2021). Comparing with mobile robot
432 and robotic arm, unmanned marine vehicle is more challenging to be simulated as it takes into account the
433 dynamics of wind, wave, and sea current as well to help design the energy efficient control algorithm (Niu
434 et al., 2016) (Niu et al., 2018) (Niu et al., 2020) (Niu et al., 2017) instead of just path length optimized
435 algorithm (Niu et al., 2019) (Lu et al., 2016). Thanks to the powerful dynamics simulation engine of
436 Gazebo, it also supports unmanned marine vehicle simulation Manhães et al. (2016) that has the ROS API
437 as well. Moreover, Gazebo provides the functionality of supporting multiple mobile robots Hu et al. (2020)
438 Na et al. (2022) and multiple robotic arms. Gazebo also facilitates object detection and HAPTIX (Hand
439 Proprioception & Touch Interfaces) (Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, 2015).

440 The RAIN research hub has used Gazebo to assess ionising radiation levels in nuclear inspection
441 challenges (Wright et al., 2021).

442 **4.3 Gazebo (previously Ignition)**

443 Ignition (Open Robotics, 2022c) was created as a spin off from Gazebo Classic. It is a set of open
444 source libraries Open Source Robotics Foundation (2022a) that encompass the essentials needed for robotic
445 simulation. in 2022 it was renamed Gazebo due to issues with trademarking Open Robotics (2023). It
446 facilitates the integration into other services such as ROS/ROS2 for features that are not included natively:
447 e.g. sensor integration, custom plugins, etc. Its goal is to combine the usability and variety available in
448 Gazebo with a modular, plugin based approach - moving away from Gazebo's monolithic architecture.

449 Gazebo (Ignition) uses the DART - Dynamic Animation and Robotics Toolkit (Lee et al., 2018) -
450 physics engine by default, however it does allow the user to choose a different engine, if desired. It has a
451 similar approach for rendering engines, and supports OGRE (Ogre3D Team, 2022) and OptiX (NVIDIA
452 Corporation, 2022c).

453 Models in Gazebo (Ignition) can be loaded from SDF file format. Gazebo (Ignition) supports collision
454 shapes, such as box, sphere, cylinder, mesh, and heightmap. Joint types supported include fixed, ball, screw,
455 and revolute. It can carry out step simulations, get and set states, as well as apply inputs.

456 Gazebo (Ignition) Sensors is an open source library that provides a set of sensor and noise models
457 accessible through a C++ interface. Sensors include monocular cameras, depth cameras, LIDAR, IMU,
458 contact, altimeter, and magnetometer sensors. Each sensor can optionally utilize a noise model to inject
459 Gaussian or custom noise properties. The library aims to generate realistic sensor data suitable for use in
460 robotic applications and simulation.

461 **4.4 Nvidia Omniverse Isaac Sim**

462 Nvidia Omniverse Isaac Sim (NVIDIA Corporation, 2022b) is a robotic simulation tool launched in
463 2020 which aims to simplify the entire pipeline for developing robotic simulations. It aims to capitalise
464 on the RTX GPU's (NVIDIA Corporation, 2022a) computing capability for simulations and rendering.
465 Nvidia Omniverse Isaac Sim uses the latest version of PhysX, and has the full suite of Nvidia rendering
466 tools, and access to other rendering tools as well. Omniverse Flow is available for fluid simulations, smoke
467 simulations, and customisable particle emitters for configurable simulations. Isaac Sim does have rigid
468 body dynamics, and it also supports the Omniverse connect system for external plugins. It integrates
469 with other industry standard tools (ROS/ROS2, Maya (Autodesk Inc., 2022), SOLIDWORKS (Dassault
470 Systèmes SolidWorks Corporation, 2022), Unreal 4 (Epic Games, Inc, 2022), etc) through the Omniverse
471 Nucleus. While the inter-connectivity of services such as is very attractive for collaborative purposes, it
472 does introduce the issue of 'vendor lock in', where the user is committed to a specific software solution, as
473 switching from the product is impractical.

474 **4.5 WeBots**

475 WeBots (Cyberbotics Ltd, 2022b) is an opensource, multi-platform desktop application used to simulate
476 and build robotic solutions. Developed by Cyberbotics Ltd, the software is straightforward, easy to use,
477 and has use cases in the education and research sectors (Cyberbotics Ltd, 2022a). The features available in
478 Webots are simple, powerful and provide good customisation options using the QT GUI for editing and
479 OpenGL 3.3 for rendering. Development can be done using C, C++, Python, Java, MATLAB or through
480 ROS with API integration.

481 Webots uses the ODE (Open Dynamics Engine) for collision detection and rigid body dynamics
482 simulation. The ODE library provides accurate simulation of objects' physical properties, such as velocity,
483 inertia and friction.

484 The following sensors are supported by Webots: Distance Sensor, Range Finder, Light Sensor, Touch
485 Sensor, Inclinometer, Compass, and Camera. Users can model a linear camera, a typical RGB camera or
486 even a fish eye which is spherically distorted. The virtual camera images can be displayed on a VR headset
487 device such as the Oculus Rift (Facebook Technologies, LLC., 2022), or HTC Vive (HTC Corporation,
488 2022).

489 Webots also provides access to the large Webots asset library which includes drones (Alsayed et al., 2021)
490 (Alsayed et al., 2022), mobile robots (Ban et al., 2021), sensors, actuators, objects, and materials.

491 **4.6 Choreonoid**

492 Choreonoid (Nakaoka, 2012) is an extensible virtual robot environment developed by the National
493 Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST) in Japan. Its main attraction is its
494 extensibility with other frameworks and software solutions. Choreonoid applies OpenGL3.3 for rendering
495 engine, and it supports 4 different physics engines: the Bullet physics library, Open Dynamics Engine
496 (ODE), PhysX Engine (NVIDIA Corporation, 2022d), and AGX Dynamics (Algorix Simulation AB,
497 2022b).

498 Choreonoid AGX Dynamics plugin provides the ability of real time simulation of a crawler robot, wires
499 or other functions. Users can change camera parameters, and the following sensors are supported: Range
500 Finder, Range Camera, Light Sensor, Force Sensor, Gyro Sensor, Acceleration Sensor. Choreonoid has
501 a graspPlugin that can be used to solve problems such as grasp planning, trajectory planning and task
502 planning.

503 Remote decommissioning tasks using a remotely operated robot can be simulated using the
504 HAIROWorldPlugin, which provides simulation functions such as Fluid dynamics, Camera image generator
505 effects (such as distortion, Gaussian noise, colour filter, and transparency), Communication failure emulator,
506 etc.

507 **4.7 AGX Dynamics by Algorix**

508 AGX Dynamics (Algorix Simulation AB, 2022b) is a Software Development Kit (SDK) for modelling
509 and simulation of mechanical systems. It defines itself as both a multi-purpose physics engine and
510 engineering tool, for 3D and VR. It includes contacts and friction that can be used as either a Unity or
511 Unreal integrated package or extended to a bespoke piece of software via their Software Development Kit.
512 The software comprises of a core library of basic functionality that includes rigid bodies, joints, motors,
513 automatic contact detection and much more; delivering high fidelity, stability, and speed. The 2 “off the
514 shelf” interfaces available for AGX Dynamics are Unity and Unreal Engine 4, however the potential for
515 developing a bespoke solution is possible using the SDK and customer support. More detailed sub modules
516 can be attached to the SDK depending on the user requirements, however only a portion of these sub
517 modules are included in the Unreal integration of AGX Dynamics.

518 **4.8 Modular Open Robots Simulation Engine (MORSE)**

519 Modular Open Robots Simulation Engine (MORSE) (Echeverria et al., 2011) is an academic python-based
520 simulator for robotics. It can simulate realistic 3D environments using the Blender game engine.

521 As it is an academic project, it is developed on Linux and there is limited support for MacOSX or
522 Windows. Support is limited to documentation and user-forums.

523 **4.9 VR4Robots**

524 VR4Robots (version 12) is a proprietary commercial VR system from Tree C technology (Tree-C, 2022).
525 The older version 7 has been used for remote handling at JET for several years. There are two configurations
526 for VR4Robots: a kinematic system, and a dynamic system. The kinematic system uses control system
527 data to animate virtual machines. The dynamic system provides a physics engine to simulate physics
528 processes, and must be tailored to the specific environment. The software is mature and established in the
529 remote-handling market. The systems and functionality are designed around using robots. It has suitable
530 inverse kinematics. Scenes can be connected to a network and controlled or viewed from multiple PCs.

531 The UI/UX design is reliant on dual monitors with no 4K support. Customisation is difficult to implement
532 by the user. However, these can instead be requested as additional features to the core software when
533 negotiating the software licence. The virtual environment must be imported from 3dsMax, requiring a
534 separate license. Reliance on 3DSMax can create issues with versioning. New 3DSMax versions are
535 released annually, but VR4R is not similarly updated, leading to reliance on outdated software. The
536 proprietary model format (.vmx) has no export capabilities, leading to vendor lock-in. There is limited
537 documentation, however paid training courses are available. The user is reliant on a support contract to fix
538 software bugs.

539 **4.10 RoboDK**

540 RoboDK is an offline programming and simulation software with an extensive library of kinematic robot
541 models. Its standard interface requires no programming experience, and it is easily extensible through APIs
542 in Python, C# and Matlab. It also has detailed documentation for both the basic functionality as well as
543 API support. Plugins are available for popular CAD/CAM software such as SolidWorks, Fusion 360, and
544 Inventor.

545 It provides the ability to communicate with physical robot systems, and upload robot programs generated
546 from an offline simulation.

547 However, it has no Physics engine, and low quality textures and lighting. The collision mapping is not
548 accurate, and the CAD/CAM functionality is basic and slow with larger models. RoboDK does offer
549 Inverse Kinematics, however the documentation specifies that the simulated movement may not be the
550 same as the actual movement, and does not offer rigid body dynamics.

551 The robot library is extensive, however custom robots are difficult to add.

552 **4.11 Toia**

553 Toia is a software library developed for haptic rendering and supporting multiple devices (6 DoF robotic
554 arms with haptic features, multi-finger haptic gloves, ultrasonic haptic arrays, etc.) to provide appropriate
555 haptic feedback from a DMU. The platform integrated with Carbon physics engine supporting simulation
556 of soft and rigid materials with collision and motion constraints (e.g., joints of robotic manipulator) for
557 the real-time haptic simulation. Toia utilizes the Unreal Engine 4 (UE4), which brings a full suite of 3D
558 authoring and visualization tools, as a primary front end for the development of haptic simulation. To
559 enhance performance, the platform separate haptic and graphical fidelity for deformable objects: haptic
560 physics meshes are lower in polygon count than their respective visual counterparts.

561 This section covered the different simulation tools being reviewed in this paper. It presented an overview
562 of each software, the main user-bases, and some notable features of interest.

5 DISCUSSION

563 Digital mock-ups and digital twins are fast growing areas in the development and implementation of nuclear
564 decommissioning activities. The availability and presentation of various simulation tools and libraries will
565 provide a go-to guide in industrial applications for industry professionals and will also contribute to the
566 increase in the number of new publications to be produced by academics in this field.

567 This paper provides an overview of different simulation software tools that have the potential in the
568 expanding robotics field for nuclear environments. Firstly, virtual reality, digital twin, and deep learning

569 solutions for the nuclear industry and DMUs are discussed by investigating the state-of-the-art. Then, we
570 identified a necessary list of assessment criteria features for evaluating each of the simulation tools in terms
571 of simulation, robotics, and usability details by analysing the state-of-the-art challenges of solutions. After
572 that, we examined the simulation tools by analysing their particular characteristics in three-stage concepts.
573 The Tables 1, 2, and 3 show how the existing simulation software compares and the different capabilities
574 they offer in each of the areas of interest.

575 Each of the software tools presented in this paper has its own set of features. Regarding simulation features,
576 all but one (RoboDK) of them provide physics engines and rendering capabilities. In particular, CoppeliaSim
577 and Nvidia Omniverse Isaac Sim support GPU intensive rendering, which can be useful for robotics
578 applications that require heavy computations. Most of the softwares investigated offer environmental
579 simulation beyond lighting effects and camera options. While some of them additionally include water, fog,
580 light, and light simulations, at least half of them have fluid simulations. The rigid body dynamics feature
581 that is crucial in remote handling scenarios is present in the majority of the software.

582 With respect to robotics features, a large number of software tools offer at least some functionality for
583 the integration of virtual sensors in which the sensor support is a valuable factor in supporting real-world
584 conditions. However, the types of sensors they support are variable and comparatively few support a haptics
585 interface. A number of commonly used sensors, such as vision and force sensors, especially for remote
586 handling applications, are included in simulation tools such as CoppeliaSim, Gazebo, and Choreonoid.

587 Another important factor to consider is the ability to import digital models and scenes, which allows
588 for the simulation of nuclear environments by transferring experience from various off-the-shelf drawing
589 software. With the exception of VR4Robots, the others have either internal robot models or integrations
590 to import robot and shape models from many popular third-party file formats such as CAD, DXF, STL,
591 COLLADA, URDF, and many more.

592 Deep learning capability is also a beneficial consideration and can provide AI-based learning and
593 predictive and preventive decision making in a wide range of decommissioning tasks. All reviewed
594 simulation tools are qualified to develop deep learning algorithms using Python API integration, with the
595 exception of VR4Robots. Furthermore, the virtual reality integration feature can help with an immersive
596 user experience by simulating task demonstration and inspection. For viewing the simulation and human-
597 robot interaction modalities, the virtual reality headsets HTC Vive and Oculus Rift are supported by
598 Webots.

599 Finally, usability and documentation are very variable. Although commercially licensed software
600 products have comprehensive online documentation, the others have either an online community or
601 GitHub documentation, or both. Furthermore, some only support one programming language API plugin,
602 while others support multiple programming language API plugins, such as C, C++, C#, Python, Matlab,
603 Java, and Lua.

Table 1. Comparison table of the simulation features available in the different software reviewed in this work

Criteria ID	Physics Engine(s)	Rendering	Environment Simulations	Rigid Body Dynamics	Camera Properties
CoppeliaSim CoppeliaSim (2022)	✓	✓	✓	Dependent on the physics engine.	✓
Gazebo Open Source Robotics Foundation (2022c)	✓	✓	Fluid simulations	✓	✓
Gazebo (Ignition) Open Robotics (2022a)	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
Nvidia Omniverse Isaac Sim NVIDIA (2022b)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Webots Ltd. (2022)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Choreonoid Choreonoid (2022)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
AGX Dynamics Algoryx Simulation AB (2022a)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MORSE MORSE (2022)	✓	✓	Environment modelling can be created in Blender then imported	✓	✓
VR4Robots Tree-C (2022)	Available as part of the dynamics package	✓	✓	Included in the dynamics package	✓
RoboDK Inc. (2022)	✗	OpenGL customizable shaders are available	✗	✗	✓
Toia Generic Robotics (2022)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Table 2. Comparison table of the robotics features available in the different software reviewed in this work

Criteria ID	Virtual Sensors	Robotic Model Library	Robotic Features	Haptic Interface	Deep Learning capabilities
CoppeliaSim CoppeliaSim (2022)	✓	Limited native library. Large number of file formats supported for import.	✓	Supported through ROS	✓
Gazebo Open Source Robotics Foundation (2022c)	✓	✓	✓	Available using HAPTIX packages	✓
Gazebo (Ignition) Open Robotics (2022a)	✓	✓	✓	✗	APIs can deploy deep learning algorithms
Nvidia Omniverse Isaac Sim NVIDIA (2022b)	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
Webots Ltd. (2022)	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
Choreonoid Choreonoid (2022)	✓	✓	✓	✗	APIs can deploy deep learning algorithms
AGX Dynamics Algorix Simulation AB (2022a)	Supported through the unity plugin Kallin (2019)	✗	✓	✗	✓
MORSE MORSE (2022)	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
VR4Robots Tree-C (2022)	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
RoboDK Inc. (2022)	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
Toia Generic Robotics (2022)	Supported using ROS/ROS2 plugin	✓	✓	✓	✓

Table 3. Comparison table of the usability of the different software reviewed in this work

Criteria ID	Import / Export	API/Plugins	Licensing	Documentation
CoppeliaSim CoppeliaSim (2022)	File import: OBJ, DXF, STL, DAE, URDF File export: OBJ, STL, DAE. Support for heightfield data :formats include JPEG, PNG, TGA, BMP, TIFF, GIF file, CSV, TXT	✓	GNU GPL Source code + Binary licensing (commercial license or free educational license). Other plugins have a BSD license	User manual and online forum available. Github available.
Gazebo Open Source Robotics Foundation (2022c)	File import: DAE, STL, OBJ, SVG	✓	Apache License, Version 2.0	Online community, online tutorials and Github available.
Gazebo (Ignition) Open Robotics (2022a)	File import: STL, OBJ, DAE, SVG, BVH. File export: DAE	✓	Apache License, Version 2.0	Online User manual
Nvidia Omniverse Isaac Sim NVIDIA (2022b)	File import: FBX, OBJ and GLTF. Using extenstions: STEP, IGES, and URDF	✓	Nvidia Omniverse License Agreement NVIDIA (2022a)	Online documentation available.
Webots Ltd. (2022)	File import: DAE, STL, OBJ. File export: WRL	✓	Apache License, Version 2.0	Online User Guide
Choreonoid Choreonoid (2022)	File import: WRL	✓	MIT	Online User manual and user forum
AGX Dynamics Algoryx Simulation AB (2022a)	Extensive list of file formats supported, incl STL, OBJ, DAE, FBX, URDF. Export of Functional Mock-up Interface available FMI Standard (2022)	Matlab/Simulink plugin (Windows only)	License required for either development or runtime for deployment	Online documentation and tutorials
MORSE MORSE (2022)	File import: Blender files (low-poly) File export: Requires add-on.	✓	Permissive BSD license	Github community
VR4Robots Tree-C (2022)	File import: 3DS Max VMX files	✓	Bespoke license, annual subscription	User manual and paid training available.
RoboDK Inc. (2022)	File import (static only): STEP, IGES	✓	Commercial License, annual subscription	Online documentation.
Toia Generic Robotics (2022)	File import: formats supported by Unreal Engine. File export: not supported	✓	Requires a license for both development and deployment	Customer support

6 CONCLUSION

604 This paper introduced and assessed eleven different simulation software solutions, using criteria identified as
605 important for the creation of a remote-handling Next Generation Digital Mock-up application in the nuclear
606 sector. Simulation and rendering capabilities were well served across each of the concerned software,
607 however the inclusion of haptics and robotics features are more limited. Each software reviewed in this
608 work offers use-case specific solutions, with the functionality offered tailored to their expected application.
609 As expected, there is no single solution that offers the range of requirements for a remote-handling DMU
610 out-of-the-box, however both Gazebo and Toia offer haptics and include the most features highlighted in
611 this paper. They both offer API/Plugin features, however Toia documentation is limited.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

612 This research was fully funded within the LongOps programme by UKRI under the Project Reference
613 107463, NDA, and TEPCO. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of
614 the organizations.

REFERENCES

- 615 iRobot Corp (2022). Create 2 robot. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 616 Adamov, Y. O. and Yegorov, Y. A. (1987). Development of robotic systems for nuclear applications
617 including emergencies. *American Nucl. Soc., Int. Mtg on Remote Systems and Robotics in Hostile*
618 *Environments, Pasco, WA*
- 619 Algorix Simulation AB (2022a). About agx dynamics for unity. Accessed: 2022-04-01
- 620 Algorix Simulation AB (2022b). Agx dynamics real-time multi-body simulation. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 621 Alsayed, A., Nabawy, M. R., Yunusa-Kaltungo, A., Quinn, M. K., and Arvin, F. (2021). An autonomous
622 mapping approach for confined spaces using flying robots. In *Annual Conference Towards Autonomous*
623 *Robotic Systems* (Springer), 326–336
- 624 Alsayed, A., Nabawy, M. R., Yunusa-Kaltungo, A., Quinn, M. K., and Arvin, F. (2022). Real-time scan
625 matching for indoor mapping with a drone. In *AIAA SCITECH 2022 Forum*. 0268
- 626 Autodesk Inc (2022). Autocad: Cad software with design automation plus toolsets, web and mobile apps.
627 Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 628 Autodesk Inc. (2022). Maya 3d computer animation, modelling, simulation and rendering software.
629 Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 630 Ban, Z., Hu, J., Lennox, B., and Arvin, F. (2021). Self-organised collision-free flocking mechanism in
631 heterogeneous robot swarms. *Mobile Networks and Applications*, 1–11
- 632 Barbosa, F. S., Lacerda, B., Duckworth, P., Tumova, J., and Hawes, N. (2021). Risk-aware motion planning
633 in partially known environments. In *60th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, CDC 2021, Austin,*
634 *TX, USA, December 14-17, 2021* (IEEE), 5220–5226. doi:10.1109/CDC45484.2021.9683744
- 635 Barker, P. (1). Virtual reality: theoretical basis, practical applications. *Research in Learning Technology* 1.
636 doi:10.3402/rlt.v1i1.9463
- 637 Blair, G. S. (2021). Digital twins of the natural environment. *Patterns* 2, 100359. doi:https://doi.org/10.
638 1016/j.patter.2021.100359
- 639 Blender (2023). Blender. Accessed: 2023-01-14
- 640 Blue Robotics (2022). Bluerov2 - affordable and capable underwater rov. Accessed: 2022-03-22

- 641 Burroughes, G., Keep, J., Goodliffe, M., Middleton-Gear, D., Kantor, A., Clark, E., et al. (2018). Precision
642 control of a slender high payload 22 dof nuclear robot system: Tarm re-ascending - 18346
- 643 Cheah, W., Khalili, H. H., Arvin, F., Green, P., Watson, S., and Lennox, B. (2019). Advanced motions for
644 hexapods. *International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems* 16, 1729881419841537. doi:10.1177/
645 1729881419841537
- 646 Chen, F.-C. and Jahanshahi, M. R. (2017). Nb-cnn: Deep learning-based crack detection using convolutional
647 neural network and naïve bayes data fusion. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics* 65, 4392–4400
- 648 Choreonoid (2022). Choreonoid operation manual. Accessed: 2022-04-01
- 649 cmlabs (2022). Vortex studio. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 650 Connor, D. T., Wood, K., Martin, P. G., Goren, S., Megson-Smith, D., Verbelen, Y., et al. (2020).
651 Corrigendum: Radiological mapping of post-disaster nuclear environments using fixed-wing unmanned
652 aerial systems: A study from chornobyl. *Frontiers Robotics AI* 7, 30. doi:10.3389/frobt.2020.00030
- 653 Coppelia Robotics, Ltd (2022). Coppeliasim: from the creators of v-rep. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 654 CoppeliaSim (2022). Coppeliasim user manual. Accessed: 2022-04-01
- 655 Cryer, A., Kapellmann-Zafra, G., Abrego-Hernandez, S., Marin-Reyes, H., and French, R. (2019).
656 Advantages of virtual reality in the teaching and training of radiation protection during interventions
657 in harsh environments. In *2019 24th IEEE International Conference on Emerging Technologies and
658 Factory Automation (ETFA)* (IEEE). doi:10.1109/etfa.2019.8869433
- 659 Cyberbotics Ltd. (2022). Adept's pioneer 2. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 660 Cyberbotics Ltd (2022a). User guide introduction to webots. Accessed: 2022-04-25
- 661 Cyberbotics Ltd (2022b). Webots open source robot simulator. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 662 Dassault Systèmes SolidWorks Corporation (2022). Solidworks. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 663 Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (2015). Haptix starts work to provide prosthetic hands with
664 sense of touch. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 665 Douthwaite, J., Lesage, B., Gleirscher, M., Calinescu, R., Aitken, J. M., Alexander, R., et al. (2021). A
666 modular digital twinning framework for safety assurance of collaborative robotics. *Frontiers in Robotics
667 and AI* 8. doi:10.3389/frobt.2021.758099
- 668 E. Coumans and Y. Bai (2022). Bullet real-time physics simulation. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 669 Echeverria, G., Lassabe, N., Degroote, A., and Lemaignan, S. (2011). Modular open robots simulation
670 engine: Morse. In *2011 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*. 46–51. doi:10.
671 1109/ICRA.2011.5980252
- 672 Epic Games, Inc (2022). Unreal engine. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 673 Facebook Technologies, LLC. (2022). Meta quest. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 674 FMI Standard (2022). Functional mock-up interface. Accessed: 2022-04-04
- 675 Gazzotti, S., Ferlay, F., Meunier, L., Viudes, P., Huc, K., Derkazarian, A., et al. (2021). Virtual and
676 augmented reality use cases for fusion design engineering. *Fusion Engineering and Design* 172, 112780.
677 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fusengdes.2021.112780
- 678 Gelhaus, F. E. and Roman, H. T. (1990). Robot applications in nuclear power plants. *Progress in Nuclear
679 Energy* 23, 1–33. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0149-1970(90)90012-T
- 680 Generic Robotics (2022). Generic robotics - feeling is believing. Accessed: 2022-04-28
- 681 Groves, K., Hernandez, E., West, A., Wright, T., and Lennox, B. (2021). Robotic exploration of
682 an unknown nuclear environment using radiation informed autonomous navigation. *Robotics* 10.
683 doi:10.3390/robotics10020078

- 684 Groves, K., West, A., Gornicki, K., Watson, S., Carrasco, J., and Lennox, B. (2019). Mallard: An
685 autonomous aquatic surface vehicle for inspection and monitoring of wet nuclear storage facilities.
686 *Robotics* 8. doi:10.3390/robotics8020047
- 687 HTC Corporation (2022). Vive. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 688 Hu, J., Niu, H., Carrasco, J., Lennox, B., and Arvin, F. (2020). Voronoi-based multi-robot autonomous
689 exploration in unknown environments via deep reinforcement learning. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular*
690 *Technology* 69, 14413–14423
- 691 Hyun, D., Kim, I., Lee, J., Kim, G.-H., Jeong, K.-S., Choi, B. S., et al. (2017). A methodology to simulate
692 the cutting process for a nuclear dismantling simulation based on a digital manufacturing platform.
693 *Annals of Nuclear Energy* 103, 369–383. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anucene.2017.01.035
- 694 Inc., R. (2022). Robodk basic guide. Accessed: 2022-04-01
- 695 Jang, I., Carrasco, J., Weightman, A., and Lennox, B. (2019). Intuitive bare-hand teleoperation of a
696 robotic manipulator using virtual reality and leap motion. In *Towards Autonomous Robotic Systems*, eds.
697 K. Althoefer, J. Konstantinova, and K. Zhang (Cham: Springer International Publishing), 283–294
- 698 Jang, I., Niu, H., Collins, E. C., Weightman, A., Carrasco, J., and Lennox, B. (2021). Virtual kinesthetic
699 teaching for bimanual telemanipulation. In *2021 IEEE/SICE International Symposium on System*
700 *Integration (SII)* (IEEE), 120–125
- 701 Jin-Yang, L., Long, G., Zhi-Yong, H., You-Peng, Z., Hu-Shan, X., Yang, S., et al. (2020). The development
702 and application of digital refueling mock-up for china initiative accelerator driven system. *Progress in*
703 *Nuclear Energy* 127, 103433. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnucene.2020.103433
- 704 Jorge, C. A. F., Mól, A. C. A., Pereira, C. M. N., Aghina, M. A. C., and Nomiya, D. V. (2010). Human-
705 system interface based on speech recognition: application to a virtual nuclear power plant control desk.
706 *Progress in Nuclear Energy* 52, 379–386
- 707 Julio Jerez and Alain Suero (2022). Newton dynamics. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 708 Kaigom, E. G. and Roßmann, J. (2021). Value-driven robotic digital twins in cyber–physical applications.
709 *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics* 17, 3609–3619. doi:10.1109/TII.2020.3011062
- 710 Kallin, N. (2019). Sensor simulation is-agxunity a viable platform for adding synthetic sensors
- 711 Khronos Group (2022). Opengl. the industry’s foundation for high performance graphics. Accessed:
712 2022-02-08
- 713 Lang, J., Tang, C., Gao, Y., and Lv, J. (2021). Knowledge distillation method for surface defect detection.
714 In *International Conference on Neural Information Processing* (Springer), 644–655
- 715 Lee, D., Arigi, A. M., and Kim, J. (2020). Algorithm for autonomous power-increase operation using deep
716 reinforcement learning and a rule-based system. *IEEE Access* 8, 196727–196746
- 717 Lee, J., Grey, M. X., Ha, S., Kunz, T., Jain, S., Ye, Y., et al. (2018). Dart: Dynamic animation and robotics
718 toolkit. *Journal of Open Source Software* 3, 500. doi:10.21105/joss.00500
- 719 Lin, F., Ji, Z., Wei, C., and Niu, H. (2021). Reinforcement learning-based mapless navigation with fail-safe
720 localisation. In *Annual Conference Towards Autonomous Robotic Systems* (Springer), 100–111
- 721 Liu, W., Niu, H., Mahyuddin, M. N., Herrmann, G., and Carrasco, J. (2021). A model-free deep
722 reinforcement learning approach for robotic manipulators path planning. In *2021 21st International*
723 *Conference on Control, Automation and Systems (ICCAS)* (IEEE), 512–517
- 724 Liu, Y.-k., Chen, Z.-t., Chao, N., Peng, M.-j., and Jia, Y.-h. (2022). A dose assessment method for nuclear
725 facility decommissioning based on the combination of cad and point-kernel method. *Radiation Physics*
726 *and Chemistry* 193, 109942. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2021.109942

- 727 Lopez, E., Nath, R., and Herrmann, G. (2022). Semi-autonomous grasping for assisted glovebox operations.
728 doi:10.5281/zenodo.6353535. Second fund from EP/W001128/1, Robotics and Artificial Intelligence
729 for Nuclear Plus (RAIN+)
- 730 Ltd., C. (2022). Webots user guide. Accessed: 2022-04-01
- 731 Lu, Y., Niu, H., Savvaris, A., and Tsourdos, A. (2016). Verifying collision avoidance behaviours for
732 unmanned surface vehicles using probabilistic model checking. *IFAC-PapersOnLine* 49, 127–132
- 733 Manhães, M. M. M., Scherer, S. A., Voss, M., Douat, L. R., and Rauschenbach, T. (2016). Uuv simulator:
734 A gazebo-based package for underwater intervention and multi-robot simulation. In *OCEANS 2016*
735 *MTS/IEEE Monterey* (IEEE), 1–8
- 736 Manny Ojigbo (2014). Clearpath welcomes pr2 to the family. Accessed: 2022-02-09
- 737 Michael Sherman and Peter Eastman (2022). Simbody: Multibody physics api. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 738 Microsoft (2022). Kinect for windows. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 739 MORSE (2022). The morse simulator documentation. Accessed: 2022-04-01
- 740 Na, S., Niu, H., Lennox, B., and Arvin, F. (2022). Bio-inspired collision avoidance in swarm systems via
741 deep reinforcement learning. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*
- 742 Nakaoka, S. (2012). Choreonoid: Extensible virtual robot environment built on an integrated gui framework.
743 In *2012 IEEE/SICE International Symposium on System Integration (SII)*. 79–85. doi:10.1109/SII.2012.
744 6427350
- 745 Nancekievill, M., Jones, A. R., Joyce, M. J., Lennox, B., Watson, S., Katakura, J., et al. (2018).
746 Development of a radiological characterization submersible rov for use at fukushima daiichi. *IEEE*
747 *Transactions on Nuclear Science* 65, 2565–2572. doi:10.1109/TNS.2018.2858563
- 748 Nash, B., Walker, A., and Chambers, T. (2018). A simulator based on virtual reality to dismantle
749 a research reactor assembly using master-slave manipulators. *Annals of Nuclear Energy* 120, 1–7.
750 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anucene.2018.05.018
- 751 Niu, H., Ji, Z., Arvin, F., Lennox, B., Yin, H., and Carrasco, J. (2021a). Accelerated sim-to-real
752 deep reinforcement learning: Learning collision avoidance from human player. In *2021 IEEE/SICE*
753 *International Symposium on System Integration (SII)* (IEEE), 144–149
- 754 Niu, H., Ji, Z., Savvaris, A., and Tsourdos, A. (2020). Energy efficient path planning for unmanned surface
755 vehicle in spatially-temporally variant environment. *Ocean Engineering* 196, 106766
- 756 Niu, H., Ji, Z., Zhu, Z., Yin, H., and Carrasco, J. (2021b). 3d vision-guided pick-and-place using kuka lbr
757 iiwa robot. In *2021 IEEE/SICE International Symposium on System Integration (SII)* (IEEE), 592–593
- 758 Niu, H., Lu, Y., Savvaris, A., and Tsourdos, A. (2016). Efficient path following algorithm for unmanned
759 surface vehicle. In *OCEANS 2016-Shanghai* (IEEE), 1–7
- 760 Niu, H., Lu, Y., Savvaris, A., and Tsourdos, A. (2018). An energy-efficient path planning algorithm for
761 unmanned surface vehicles. *Ocean Engineering* 161, 308–321
- 762 Niu, H., Savvaris, A., and Tsourdos, A. (2017). Usv geometric collision avoidance algorithm for multiple
763 marine vehicles. In *OCEANS 2017-Anchorage* (IEEE), 1–10
- 764 Niu, H., Savvaris, A., Tsourdos, A., and Ji, Z. (2019). Voronoi-visibility roadmap-based path planning
765 algorithm for unmanned surface vehicles. *The Journal of Navigation* 72, 850–874
- 766 NVIDIA (2022a). Nvidia omniverse license agreement. Accessed: 2022-10-11
- 767 NVIDIA (2022b). What is isaac sim? Accessed: 2022-04-01
- 768 NVIDIA Corporation (2022a). Geforce rtx 20 series. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 769 NVIDIA Corporation (2022b). Nvidia isaac sim. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 770 NVIDIA Corporation (2022c). Nvidia optix™ ray tracing engine. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 771 NVIDIA Corporation (2022d). Nvidia physx system software. Accessed: 2022-02-08

- 772 Ogre3D Team (2022). Ogre. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 773 Open Robotics (2022a). Ignition documentation. Accessed: 2022-04-01
- 774 Open Robotics (2022b). Ros - robot operating system. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 775 Open Robotics (2022c). Simulate before you build. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 776 Open Robotics (2023). A new era for gazebo. Accessed: 2023-01-14
- 777 Open Source Robotics Foundation (2022a). About gazebo. Accessed: 2022-04-25
- 778 Open Source Robotics Foundation (2022b). Gazebo: Robot simulation made easy. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 779 Open Source Robotics Foundation (2022c). Gazebo tutorials. Accessed: 2022-04-01
- 780 Open Source Robotics Foundation, Inc (2022). Turtlebot3. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 781 Pacheco-Gutierrez, S., Niu, H., Caliskanelli, I., and Skilton, R. (2021). A multiple level-of-detail 3d data
782 transmission approach for low-latency remote visualisation in teleoperation tasks. *Robotics* 10, 89
- 783 Park, J., Kim, T., Seong, S., and Koo, S. (2022). Control automation in the heat-up mode of a nuclear
784 power plant using reinforcement learning. *Progress in Nuclear Energy* 145, 104107
- 785 Radaideh, M. I., Wolverton, I., Joseph, J., Tusar, J. J., Otgonbaatar, U., Roy, N., et al. (2021). Physics-
786 informed reinforcement learning optimization of nuclear assembly design. *Nuclear Engineering and*
787 *Design* 372, 110966
- 788 Ramgire, J. B. and Jagdale, S. (2016). Speech control pick and place robotic arm with flexiforce sensor. In
789 *2016 International Conference on Inventive Computation Technologies (ICICT)* (IEEE), vol. 2, 1–5
- 790 Renard, S., Holtz, A., Baylard, C., and Banduch, M. (2017). Software tool solutions for the design of
791 w7-x. *Fusion Engineering and Design* 123, 133–136. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fusengdes.2017.04.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fusengdes.2017.04.027)
792 027. Proceedings of the 29th Symposium on Fusion Technology (SOFT-29) Prague, Czech Republic,
793 September 5-9, 2016
- 794 Russ Smith (2022). Open dynamics engine. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 795 Sibois, R., Määttä, T., Siuko, M., and Mattila, J. (2014). Using digital mock-ups within simulation lifecycle
796 environment for the verification of iter remote handling systems design. *IEEE Transactions on Plasma*
797 *Science* 42, 698–702. doi:[10.1109/TPS.2014.2298877](https://doi.org/10.1109/TPS.2014.2298877)
- 798 Skilton, R., Hamilton, N., Howell, R., Lamb, C., and Rodriguez, J. (2018). Mascot 6: Achieving high
799 dexterity tele-manipulation with a modern architectural design for fusion remote maintenance. *Fusion*
800 *Engineering and Design* 136, 575–578. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fusengdes.2018.03.026>. Special
801 Issue: Proceedings of the 13th International Symposium on Fusion Nuclear Technology (ISFNT-13)
- 802 The MathWorks, Inc (2022). Math. graphics. programming. Accessed: 2022-02-08
- 803 Tree-C (2022). Vr4robots® – the real time interactive visualization technology for remote handling.
804 Accessed: 2022-10-12
- 805 Verbelen, Y., Martin, P. G., Ahmad, K., Kaluvan, S., and Scott, T. B. (2021). Miniaturised low-cost gamma
806 scanning platform for contamination identification, localisation and characterisation: A new instrument
807 in the decommissioning toolkit. *Sensors* 21, 2884. doi:[10.3390/s21082884](https://doi.org/10.3390/s21082884)
- 808 Verbelen, Y., Megson-Smith, D., Russell-Pavier, F. S., Martin, P. G., Connor, D. T., Tucker, M. R., et al.
809 (2022). A flexible power delivery system for remote nuclear inspection instruments. In *8th International*
810 *Conference on Automation, Robotics and Applications, ICARA 2022, Prague, Czech Republic, February*
811 *18-20, 2022* (IEEE), 170–175. doi:[10.1109/ICARA55094.2022.9738575](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICARA55094.2022.9738575)
- 812 Wang, H., Peng, M.-j., Liu, Y.-k., Liu, S.-w., Xu, R.-y., and Saeed, H. (2020). Remaining useful life
813 prediction techniques of electric valves for nuclear power plants with convolution kernel and lstm.
814 *Science and Technology of Nuclear Installations* 2020

- 815 Wehe, D., Lee, J., Martin, W., Mann, R., Hamel, W., and Tulenko, J. (1989). 10. intelligent robotics and
816 remote systems for the nuclear industry. *Nuclear Engineering and Design* 113, 259–267. doi:https:
817 //doi.org/10.1016/0029-5493(89)90077-0
- 818 Welburn, E., Wright, T., Marsh, C., Lim, S., Gupta, A., Crowther, W., et al. (2019). A mixed reality
819 approach to robotic inspection of remote environments. In *UK-RAS19 Conference: "Embedded
820 Intelligence: Enabling & Supporting RAS Technologies" Proceedings*. 72–74. doi:10.31256/UKRAS19.
821 19
- 822 Williams, R. A., Jia, X., Ikin, P., and Knight, D. (2011). Use of multiscale particle simulations in the design
823 of nuclear plant decommissioning. *Particuology* 9, 358–364. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.partic.2010.
824 10.003. Multiscale Modeling and Simulation of Complex Particulate Systems
- 825 With, D., Camurri, M., and Fallon, M. (2019). Robust legged robot state estimation using factor graph
826 optimization. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters* 4, 4507–4514. doi:10.1109/LRA.2019.2933768
- 827 Wright, T., West, A., Licata, M., Hawes, N., and Lennox, B. (2021). Simulating ionising radiation in
828 gazebo for robotic nuclear inspection challenges. *Robotics* 10, 86. doi:10.3390/robotics10030086
- 829 Zhao, Y., Diao, X., Huang, J., and Smidts, C. (2019). Automated identification of causal relationships in
830 nuclear power plant event reports. *Nuclear Technology* 205, 1021–1034